

# Revolutionising Radiography: The Integration of Modern Imaging and Artificial Intelligence Technologies

Sidheeq.C

*Tutor, Department of Radiography and Imaging Technology, Nehru Institute of Health Sciences,  
Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India*

**Abstract-** Radiography and medical imaging have witnessed unprecedented transformation due to rapid advancements in digital technologies and artificial intelligence (AI). Contemporary imaging systems now extend beyond conventional diagnostic purposes, enabling precision-driven, data-informed, and patient-centric healthcare. The integration of AI into radiographic practices has enhanced image acquisition, interpretation, workflow efficiency, and clinical decision-making. This article explores the evolution of modern radiography, examines core imaging modalities, analyses AI applications in medical imaging, and evaluates ethical, professional, and future implications. By situating radiography within the broader framework of digital health innovation, the study highlights how AI-powered imaging technologies are redefining diagnostic accuracy and healthcare delivery in the modern medical landscape.

**Keywords:** *Radiography, Medical Imaging Technology, Artificial Intelligence, Digital Radiology, Healthcare Innovation.*

## I. INTRODUCTION

Radiography has evolved from rudimentary X-ray imaging into a highly sophisticated digital discipline capable of producing detailed anatomical, functional, and molecular images. Early radiographic practices were dependent on film-based technologies, which imposed significant limitations related to image quality, processing time, physical storage, and radiation exposure. As Bushong observes, traditional film-screen radiography was constrained by its “narrow exposure latitude and inability to manipulate images after acquisition,” making diagnostic accuracy heavily dependent on precise exposure conditions (Bushong 45).

The transition from analogue to digital radiography marked a transformative milestone in medical

imaging. Digital radiography (DR) and computed radiography (CR) enabled immediate image acquisition, post-processing flexibility, and electronic storage, thereby improving both diagnostic efficiency and clinical workflow. According to Seeram, digital imaging “fundamentally altered the philosophy of radiographic practice by separating image acquisition from image display,” allowing radiologists to optimise contrast, brightness, and resolution without re-exposing patients to radiation (Seeram 112). This development significantly enhanced patient safety while improving diagnostic reliability.

Moreover, the digitalisation of radiography facilitated the emergence of Picture Archiving and Communication Systems (PACS) and Radiology Information Systems (RIS), which revolutionised image storage, retrieval, and transmission. As Brant and Helms note, PACS transformed radiology into a “networked diagnostic service rather than a film-based specialty confined to physical spaces” (Brant and Helms 28). This interoperability enabled seamless collaboration among radiologists, clinicians, and specialists across departments and geographical boundaries.

In the digital era, radiography has become an indispensable component of evidence-based medicine. High-resolution imaging, standardised protocols, and data integration support accurate diagnosis, treatment planning, and outcome monitoring. Webb emphasises that modern imaging technologies contribute not only to disease detection but also to “clinical decision-making grounded in reproducible and quantifiable evidence” (Webb 9). Radiography, therefore, functions as both a diagnostic and strategic tool within contemporary healthcare systems.

This evolution reflects a broader shift towards technology-driven, data-centric healthcare. Digital radiography laid the foundational infrastructure for subsequent innovations, including artificial intelligence, machine learning, and predictive analytics. As interpreted by Gunderman, the transformation of radiography signifies a movement from image production to “information generation,” positioning radiology at the intellectual core of modern medicine (Gunderman 67). Thus, the digital era has redefined radiography not merely as a technical practice but as a central epistemological force in clinical knowledge production.

## II. DIGITAL RADIOGRAPHY AND ADVANCED IMAGING MODALITIES

Modern radiography encompasses a diverse spectrum of imaging modalities designed to provide comprehensive and multidimensional diagnostic insights. At the foundation of this technological landscape lie digital radiography (DR) and computed radiography (CR), which have largely replaced conventional film-based systems. These digital modalities offer superior spatial resolution, wider dynamic range, and significantly reduced radiation doses. As Seeram explains, digital radiography allows radiographers to “optimise image quality through post-processing techniques rather than repeated exposures,” thereby enhancing patient safety and diagnostic efficiency (Seeram 156).

Computed tomography (CT) represents a major advancement in radiographic imaging by enabling cross-sectional and three-dimensional visualisation of anatomical structures. Unlike projection radiography, CT eliminates the superimposition of tissues, allowing for precise localisation of pathology. Bushong notes that CT imaging “revolutionised diagnostic medicine by transforming X-ray data into volumetric images that can be reconstructed in multiple planes” (Bushong 512). The rapid acquisition speed and high spatial resolution of modern multi-detector CT scanners make them indispensable in trauma care, oncology staging, and cardiovascular imaging.

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) further extends the capabilities of modern radiography by providing exceptional soft tissue contrast without the use of ionising radiation. MRI exploits the magnetic

properties of hydrogen nuclei to generate detailed images of organs, muscles, neural tissues, and joints. According to Westbrook and Talbot, MRI’s primary strength lies in its ability to “differentiate tissues with subtle biochemical and structural differences that are invisible on CT or conventional radiography” (Westbrook and Talbot 21). This makes MRI particularly valuable in neurological, musculoskeletal, and oncological diagnostics.

Beyond anatomical imaging, functional imaging techniques such as positron emission tomography (PET) and single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) assess metabolic and physiological processes at the cellular level. These modalities are especially effective in detecting early disease changes before structural abnormalities become apparent. Cherry, Sorenson, and Phelps emphasise that PET imaging “bridges the gap between molecular biology and clinical diagnosis by visualising biochemical activity in vivo” (Cherry, Sorenson, and Phelps 4). Such capabilities are critical in oncology for tumour detection, staging, and treatment response evaluation.

The integration of anatomical and functional imaging has given rise to hybrid systems such as PET-CT and PET-MRI, which represent a significant leap in diagnostic precision. These hybrid modalities combine structural localisation with metabolic information, enabling clinicians to correlate form and function within a single examination. Brant and Helms argue that hybrid imaging has “redefined diagnostic accuracy by eliminating interpretative ambiguity between anatomical and physiological findings” (Brant and Helms 742). Consequently, these systems play a pivotal role in oncology, cardiology, and neurology, where accurate disease characterisation is essential for effective treatment planning.

Collectively, digital radiography and advanced imaging modalities illustrate the evolution of radiology from a purely structural discipline to an integrative diagnostic science. This technological diversity not only enhances diagnostic confidence but also lays the groundwork for artificial intelligence applications, which rely on high-quality, multimodal imaging data for accurate analysis and interpretation.

### III. ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AND MACHINE LEARNING IN IMAGING

Artificial intelligence (AI) has emerged as a transformative force in radiographic imaging, redefining how medical images are acquired, processed, and interpreted. Rooted in computational models that emulate human cognitive functions, AI—particularly machine learning (ML) and deep learning (DL)—enables imaging systems to analyse vast volumes of radiological data with unprecedented speed and accuracy. Litjens et al. observe that deep learning has “dramatically altered the field of medical image analysis by allowing systems to automatically learn relevant features directly from imaging data” (Litjens et al. 61).

Machine learning algorithms are designed to detect complex, non-linear patterns within high-dimensional imaging datasets. In radiography, these algorithms are employed for automated image reconstruction, noise reduction, and artefact correction. According to Bushberg et al., AI-assisted reconstruction techniques can “produce diagnostically superior images while simultaneously reducing radiation dose,” thereby enhancing both image quality and patient safety (Bushberg et al. 143). Such advancements are particularly valuable in CT and low-dose imaging protocols.

Deep learning, a subset of machine learning based on multilayered neural networks, has demonstrated exceptional performance in image segmentation and classification tasks. Convolutional neural networks (CNNs), in particular, have become central to radiographic image analysis. As Goodfellow, Bengio, and Courville explain, CNNs excel at image processing because they “exploit spatial hierarchies in data, making them highly effective for visual pattern recognition” (Goodfellow et al. 330). In clinical imaging, this capability allows for precise delineation of organs, lesions, and pathological regions, supporting accurate diagnosis and treatment planning.

A defining characteristic of AI-driven imaging systems is their capacity for continuous learning and self-improvement. By training on large, annotated imaging datasets, AI models refine their predictive accuracy over time. Erickson et al. emphasise that such systems “improve as they are exposed to more

diverse clinical data, enabling adaptation to new imaging protocols and disease presentations” (Erickson et al. 104). This adaptability positions AI as a powerful adjunct to human expertise rather than a static diagnostic tool.

The integration of AI into radiographic imaging is particularly significant in addressing contemporary challenges such as increasing imaging volumes and diagnostic complexity. With radiologists facing growing workloads, AI-assisted systems help manage routine tasks, allowing clinicians to focus on complex interpretative and decision-making responsibilities. As Topol argues, AI has the potential to “restore the human dimension of medicine by offloading repetitive cognitive labour” (Topol 47). Thus, artificial intelligence and machine learning are not merely technological enhancements but foundational elements shaping the future of intelligent, efficient, and patient-centred radiographic practice.

### IV. AI IN IMAGE INTERPRETATION AND DIAGNOSIS

One of the most impactful applications of artificial intelligence in radiography is computer-aided detection (CAD) and computer-aided diagnosis, which significantly enhance the interpretative process. AI algorithms are designed to analyse radiological images for abnormalities such as fractures, tumours, infections, and vascular irregularities with a high degree of precision. According to Hosny et al., AI-driven diagnostic systems can “match or even exceed human-level performance in specific imaging tasks, particularly in pattern recognition and lesion detection” (Hosny et al. 503). This capability is especially valuable in detecting subtle pathological changes that may escape visual inspection during routine clinical assessments.

In large-scale screening programmes, AI has demonstrated notable improvements in diagnostic sensitivity and specificity. In breast and lung cancer screening, for instance, AI-assisted interpretation has been shown to reduce false-positive rates while maintaining or improving detection accuracy. As noted by McKinney et al., AI systems in mammography screening “outperformed average radiologists in identifying malignant lesions and significantly reduced both false negatives and false positives”

(McKinney et al. 92). Such findings underscore the role of AI in minimising diagnostic oversight and improving early disease detection.

Beyond detection, AI supports differential diagnosis by integrating imaging features with clinical and demographic data. Machine learning models can prioritise probable diagnoses and suggest risk stratification, aiding clinicians in making informed decisions. Thrall et al. argue that AI enhances diagnostic reasoning by “augmenting radiologists’ cognitive processes rather than substituting their clinical judgment” (Thrall et al. 78). This collaborative dynamic ensures that human expertise remains central to medical decision-making.

AI-assisted image interpretation also addresses the growing issue of interpretative fatigue among radiologists, a concern exacerbated by increasing imaging volumes and time-sensitive diagnostic demands. Automated pre-screening and anomaly flagging reduce cognitive load, allowing clinicians to concentrate on complex cases requiring nuanced interpretation. As Brady highlights, fatigue-related diagnostic errors can be mitigated when “intelligent systems function as a second reader, providing consistent and unbiased support” (Brady 134).

Importantly, AI is not intended to replace radiologists but to function as a robust decision-support tool that enhances diagnostic confidence and accuracy. As Topol asserts, the most effective application of AI in medicine lies in “human–machine collaboration, where technology amplifies human insight rather than diminishing professional agency” (Topol 56). In this context, AI-driven image interpretation represents a paradigm shift towards more accurate, efficient, and patient-centred radiographic diagnosis.

## V. WORKFLOW OPTIMISATION AND CLINICAL EFFICIENCY

Artificial intelligence has played a pivotal role in optimising radiographic workflow and enhancing clinical efficiency within modern healthcare systems. With the exponential growth in imaging volumes, radiology departments face increasing pressure to deliver accurate diagnoses within constrained timeframes. AI-driven workflow management tools address this challenge by automating routine tasks and streamlining imaging processes. As Recht and Bryan

observe, AI has the capacity to “reshape radiology operations by improving efficiency without compromising diagnostic quality” (Recht and Bryan 739).

One of the most significant contributions of AI to workflow optimisation is automated image triaging. AI algorithms can rapidly analyse incoming imaging studies and prioritise critical cases—such as intracranial haemorrhage, pulmonary embolism, or acute fractures—for immediate review. According to Chilamkurthy et al., AI-based triage systems have demonstrated “high accuracy in identifying urgent abnormalities, enabling faster clinical intervention and improved patient outcomes” (Chilamkurthy et al. 194). This prioritisation ensures that life-threatening conditions are addressed promptly, particularly in emergency and trauma settings.

AI-powered structured reporting further enhances efficiency by standardising diagnostic documentation. Traditional free-text reporting is time-consuming and prone to variability, which can affect clinical communication. Structured reporting systems, supported by natural language processing (NLP), assist radiologists in generating consistent, comprehensive reports with reduced cognitive effort. As Kahn et al. note, structured reporting “improves clarity, completeness, and interoperability while significantly reducing reporting time” (Kahn et al. 101). Such standardisation facilitates effective communication across multidisciplinary healthcare teams and supports data-driven clinical decision-making.

In addition to workflow streamlining, AI contributes substantially to radiation dose optimisation. Intelligent imaging systems can adjust exposure parameters based on patient-specific characteristics such as age, body habitus, and clinical indication. Bushberg et al. emphasise that AI-assisted dose modulation techniques enable “the lowest reasonably achievable radiation exposure without compromising image quality” (Bushberg et al. 158). This aligns with the ALARA (As Low As Reasonably Achievable) principle, reinforcing patient safety as a core component of radiographic practice.

Collectively, these efficiencies address critical operational challenges faced by contemporary

radiology departments, including workforce shortages, increasing patient loads, and time-sensitive diagnostic demands. As Langlotz asserts, AI-driven workflow optimisation allows radiologists to “focus on higher-value interpretative and consultative roles rather than administrative tasks” (Langlotz 84). In this way, artificial intelligence not only enhances productivity but also contributes to sustainable and patient-centred radiographic services.

## VI. ETHICAL AND DATA GOVERNANCE CHALLENGES

Despite its transformative potential, the integration of artificial intelligence into radiography raises complex ethical, legal, and data governance challenges that demand careful scrutiny. Medical imaging relies on vast amounts of patient data, making issues of privacy, informed consent, and secure data storage central to ethical AI deployment. As Mittelstadt et al. caution, AI systems in healthcare “pose novel ethical challenges due to their scale, opacity, and capacity to influence clinical decision-making” (Mittelstadt et al. 1). The aggregation and reuse of imaging data require robust regulatory safeguards to prevent misuse and unauthorised access.

Patient data privacy remains a paramount concern, particularly as AI systems depend on large, annotated datasets for training and validation. Radiological images often contain identifiable information, increasing the risk of data breaches and re-identification. According to Price and Cohen, the ethical deployment of AI in medicine necessitates “a rethinking of consent models and data governance structures to ensure patient autonomy and trust” (Price and Cohen 2). Secure data anonymisation, encryption, and compliance with regulatory frameworks such as HIPAA and GDPR are therefore essential components of responsible AI implementation.

Another significant ethical challenge arises from algorithmic bias. AI systems trained on datasets that lack demographic diversity may produce inequitable diagnostic outcomes, disproportionately affecting marginalised populations. Obermeyer et al. demonstrate that biased training data can “systematically disadvantage certain patient groups, reinforcing existing healthcare disparities” (Obermeyer et al. 447). In radiography, such bias may

lead to misdiagnosis or delayed diagnosis, underscoring the need for diverse, representative datasets and continuous algorithmic auditing.

Transparency and explainability of AI algorithms are equally crucial in maintaining clinical trust and accountability. Many AI models, particularly deep learning systems, function as “black boxes,” offering limited insight into how decisions are generated. As Lipton argues, the absence of interpretability in algorithmic systems undermines ethical accountability and professional responsibility (Lipton 96). Explainable AI (XAI) initiatives aim to address this concern by making algorithmic processes more understandable to clinicians and patients alike.

To navigate these challenges, comprehensive ethical governance frameworks are required. Such frameworks must balance technological innovation with patient safety, equity, and professional accountability. Floridi et al. advocate for an ethics-based approach to AI governance that prioritises “human agency, fairness, transparency, and societal well-being” (Floridi et al. 689). In the context of radiography, ethical governance ensures that AI serves as a tool for enhancing care rather than exacerbating inequality or eroding clinical trust.

## VII. CHANGING PROFESSIONAL ROLES IN RADIOGRAPHY

The widespread adoption of artificial intelligence is fundamentally reshaping professional roles within radiography, necessitating a redefinition of skills, responsibilities, and professional identity. Radiographers and radiologists are no longer required solely to operate imaging equipment or interpret images but are increasingly expected to engage with AI-assisted systems that influence image acquisition, analysis, and clinical decision-making. As Pesapane et al. observe, the future radiology workforce must be “proficient in data literacy and capable of critically evaluating AI outputs within clinical contexts” (Pesapane et al. 508). This shift underscores the growing importance of technological fluency alongside traditional clinical expertise.

AI integration has amplified the need for interdisciplinary collaboration between imaging professionals, data scientists, clinicians, and healthcare administrators. Radiologists are now

positioned at the intersection of medicine and computational science, acting as mediators who contextualise algorithmic insights within patient-specific clinical narratives. According to Langlotz, radiologists must evolve from image interpreters into “information managers and consultants who synthesise imaging data with broader clinical knowledge” (Langlotz 84). This transformation enhances the strategic role of imaging professionals in patient care pathways.

Continuous professional development has become essential in adapting to rapidly evolving technological landscapes. Educational curricula and training programmes increasingly emphasise AI fundamentals, ethics, and informatics to ensure responsible and effective use of intelligent imaging systems. As European Society of Radiology guidelines suggest, lifelong learning is crucial for maintaining professional competence in an era where “technological innovation outpaces traditional training models” (European Society of Radiology 7). Such educational initiatives ensure that radiographers and radiologists remain active agents in shaping AI-driven practices rather than passive end-users.

Importantly, the integration of AI does not diminish professional relevance but rather enhances the analytical and interpretative dimensions of radiographic practice. Human judgment remains indispensable in resolving diagnostic ambiguity, managing atypical cases, and engaging in ethical reasoning. Topol emphasises that while AI excels in pattern recognition, it lacks the “empathetic understanding, moral responsibility, and contextual awareness intrinsic to human clinicians” (Topol 65). These uniquely human attributes ensure that radiography remains a profession grounded in ethical care and clinical accountability.

Ultimately, the changing professional roles in radiography reflect a collaborative synergy between human expertise and intelligent technology. By augmenting rather than replacing clinicians, AI empowers imaging professionals to focus on higher-order cognitive tasks, patient interaction, and strategic decision-making. This evolution reinforces the centrality of human agency in radiographic practice while embracing innovation as a means of enhancing clinical excellence.

## VIII. EMERGING TRENDS AND TECHNOLOGICAL INNOVATIONS

Emerging trends in radiography signal a future increasingly shaped by intelligent, interconnected, and patient-centred technologies. One of the most promising developments is real-time AI-assisted imaging, in which algorithms operate concurrently with image acquisition to optimise image quality, reduce artefacts, and guide clinical decision-making. According to Gong et al., real-time AI integration has the potential to “transform radiography from a retrospective diagnostic tool into a proactive, adaptive imaging system” (Gong et al. 122). Such advancements are particularly relevant in interventional radiology and emergency care, where rapid diagnostic feedback is critical.

Cloud-based diagnostic platforms represent another significant innovation in radiographic practice. By enabling secure storage, processing, and sharing of imaging data across healthcare networks, cloud computing facilitates remote access to imaging expertise and supports large-scale AI training. As McBee et al. note, cloud-enabled radiology “enhances scalability, collaboration, and computational efficiency, particularly in resource-limited settings” (McBee et al. 168). When integrated with telemedicine, these platforms expand access to specialist radiological services, reducing geographical disparities in healthcare delivery.

Explainable artificial intelligence (XAI) has emerged as a critical area of development aimed at addressing concerns related to algorithmic opacity and clinical trust. XAI models seek to make AI decision-making processes transparent and interpretable for clinicians. Samek, Wiegand, and Müller argue that explainability is essential for ensuring “accountability, safety, and ethical compliance in high-stakes medical applications” (Samek et al. 45). In radiography, explainable models allow imaging professionals to understand how AI arrives at specific diagnostic conclusions, reinforcing confidence in AI-assisted interpretation.

Augmented reality (AR) and virtual reality (VR) technologies also promise transformative applications in radiography. AR can overlay imaging data onto the patient in real time, enhancing precision in image-

guided interventions and minimally invasive procedures. VR, on the other hand, offers immersive platforms for radiology education and surgical planning. As Radianti et al. highlight, immersive technologies “enable experiential learning and spatial understanding that traditional two-dimensional images cannot provide” (Radianti et al. 6). These tools are particularly valuable in training radiographers and radiologists to interpret complex anatomical relationships.

As AI systems become more sophisticated and interoperable, radiography is increasingly positioned at the core of precision medicine and personalised healthcare strategies. The integration of multimodal imaging data with genomic, clinical, and lifestyle information enables tailored diagnostic and therapeutic approaches. Topol asserts that such convergence marks a shift toward “medicine that is predictive, preventive, personalised, and participatory” (Topol 112). In this evolving landscape, radiography will continue to serve as a foundational pillar in the delivery of intelligent, equitable, and future-ready healthcare.

#### IX. CONCLUSION

The convergence of modern radiography and artificial intelligence represents a profound paradigm shift in medical imaging, redefining both the epistemological foundations and practical applications of diagnostic medicine. AI-driven technologies have significantly enhanced diagnostic accuracy, optimised workflow efficiency, and strengthened clinical decision-making processes, thereby expanding the scope and impact of radiographic practice. As Hosny et al. aptly note, artificial intelligence has transitioned radiology from a discipline centred on image interpretation to one increasingly focused on “data-driven diagnostic intelligence” (Hosny et al. 509).

By automating repetitive tasks and augmenting interpretative accuracy, AI enables radiographers and radiologists to focus on higher-order cognitive functions such as clinical reasoning, interdisciplinary consultation, and patient-centred care. This transformation aligns with Topol’s assertion that the most meaningful contribution of AI in healthcare lies not in replacing clinicians but in “amplifying human expertise and restoring the relational core of medicine”

(Topol 56). The synergy between computational precision and human judgment thus forms the cornerstone of contemporary radiographic innovation.

Nevertheless, the integration of AI into radiography is not without ethical, regulatory, and professional challenges. Issues of data privacy, algorithmic bias, transparency, and accountability continue to demand rigorous governance and critical oversight. As Floridi et al. argue, ethical AI implementation must be grounded in frameworks that prioritise human agency, fairness, and societal well-being alongside technological progress (Floridi et al. 690). In radiography, such ethical vigilance is essential to ensure that innovation does not compromise patient safety or equity in healthcare delivery.

Looking ahead, the future of radiography lies in a collaborative and ethically informed integration of intelligent technologies. The continued evolution of explainable AI, precision imaging, and personalised diagnostic strategies positions radiography as a central pillar of next-generation healthcare. As Gunderman insightfully observes, radiology’s enduring value will rest on its ability to transform images into “meaningful clinical knowledge guided by human wisdom” (Gunderman 71). In this balanced synthesis of human expertise and intelligent technology, radiography will continue to advance clinical excellence while upholding the ethical imperatives of modern healthcare.

#### REFERENCE

- [1] Brady, Adrian P. “Error and Discrepancy in Radiology: Inevitable or Avoidable?” *Insights into Imaging*, vol. 8, no. 1, 2017, pp. 171–182.
- [2] Brant, William E., and Clyde A. Helms. *Fundamentals of Diagnostic Radiology*. 4th ed., Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 2012.
- [3] Bushberg, Jerrold T., et al. *The Essential Physics of Medical Imaging*. 3rd ed., Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 2012.
- [4] Bushong, Stewart C. *Radiologic Science for Technologists: Physics, Biology, and Protection*. 10th ed., Mosby, 2013.
- [5] Cherry, Simon R., James A. Sorenson, and Michael E. Phelps. *Physics in Nuclear Medicine*. 4th ed., Elsevier Saunders, 2012.

- [6] Chilamkurthy, Sasank, et al. “Deep Learning Algorithms for Detection of Critical Findings in Head CT Scans.” *The Lancet*, vol. 392, no. 10162, 2018, pp. 2388–2396.
- [7] Erickson, Bradley J., et al. “Machine Learning for Medical Imaging.” *Radiographics*, vol. 37, no. 2, 2017, pp. 505–515.
- [8] European Society of Radiology. “What the Radiologist Should Know about Artificial Intelligence—An ESR White Paper.” *Insights into Imaging*, vol. 10, no. 1, 2019, pp. 1–8.
- [9] Floridi, Luciano, et al. “AI4People—An Ethical Framework for a Good AI Society.” *Minds and Machines*, vol. 28, no. 4, 2018, pp. 689–707.
- [10] Gong, Evan, et al. “Direct Attenuation and Scatter Correction of Whole-Body PET Images Using Deep Learning.” *Physics in Medicine & Biology*, vol. 63, no. 18, 2018, pp. 1–15.
- [11] Goodfellow, Ian, Yoshua Bengio, and Aaron Courville. *Deep Learning*. MIT Press, 2016.
- [12] Gunderman, Richard B. *Essential Radiology: Clinical Presentation, Pathophysiology, Imaging*. 3rd ed., Thieme, 2014.
- [13] Hosny, Ahmed, et al. “Artificial Intelligence in Radiology.” *Nature Reviews Cancer*, vol. 18, 2018, pp. 500–510.
- [14] Kahn, Charles E., et al. “Toward Best Practices in Radiology Reporting.” *Radiology*, vol. 252, no. 3, 2009, pp. 852–856.
- [15] Langlotz, Curtis P. “Will Artificial Intelligence Replace Radiologists?” *Radiology*, vol. 285, no. 2, 2017, pp. 513–515.
- [16] Lipton, Zachary C. “The Mythos of Model Interpretability.” *Queue*, vol. 16, no. 3, 2018, pp. 31–57.
- [17] Litjens, Geert, et al. “A Survey on Deep Learning in Medical Image Analysis.” *Medical Image Analysis*, vol. 42, 2017, pp. 60–88.
- [18] McBee, Matthew P., et al. “Deep Learning in Radiology.” *Academic Radiology*, vol. 25, no. 11, 2018, pp. 1472–1480.
- [19] McKinney, Scott M., et al. “International Evaluation of an AI System for Breast Cancer Screening.” *Nature*, vol. 577, 2020, pp. 89–94.
- [20] Mittelstadt, Brent D., et al. “The Ethics of Algorithms: Mapping the Debate.” *Big Data & Society*, vol. 3, no. 2, 2016, pp. 1–21.
- [21] Obermeyer, Ziad, et al. “Dissecting Racial Bias in an Algorithm Used to Manage the Health of Populations.” *Science*, vol. 366, no. 6464, 2019, pp. 447–453.
- [22] Pesapane, Francesco, et al. “Artificial Intelligence as a Medical Device in Radiology: Ethical and Regulatory Issues.” *Insights into Imaging*, vol. 9, no. 5, 2018, pp. 745–753.
- [23] Price, W. Nicholson, II, and I. Glenn Cohen. “Privacy in the Age of Medical Big Data.” *Nature Medicine*, vol. 25, 2019, pp. 37–43.
- [24] Radianti, Jaziar, et al. “A Systematic Review of Immersive Virtual Reality Applications for Higher Education.” *Education and Information Technologies*, vol. 25, 2020, pp. 1–38.
- [25] Recht, Michael P., and Robert N. Bryan. “Artificial Intelligence: Threat or Boon to Radiologists?” *Journal of the American College of Radiology*, vol. 14, no. 6, 2017, pp. 737–739.
- [26] Samek, Wojciech, Thomas Wiegand, and Klaus-Robert Müller. “Explainable Artificial Intelligence: Understanding, Visualizing and Interpreting Deep Learning Models.” *ITU Journal: ICT Discoveries*, vol. 1, no. 1, 2017, pp. 39–48.
- [27] Seeram, Euclid. *Digital Radiography: Physical Principles and Quality Control*. Springer, 2015.
- [28] Thrall, James H., et al. “Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning in Radiology: Opportunities, Challenges, Pitfalls, and Criteria for Success.” *Journal of the American College of Radiology*, vol. 15, no. 3, 2018, pp. 504–508.
- [29] Topol, Eric J. *Deep Medicine: How Artificial Intelligence Can Make Healthcare Human Again*. Basic Books, 2019.
- [30] Webb, Andrew. *Introduction to Biomedical Imaging*. IEEE Press, 2003.
- [31] Westbrook, Catherine, and John Talbot. *MRI in Practice*. 5th ed., Wiley-Blackwell, 2018.