

# Vermicomposting And the Circular Bioeconomy: Transforming Organic Waste into Sustainable Resources

Diksha Pathania<sup>1</sup>, Neha Salaria<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup> *Department of Life Sciences Arni School of Basic Sciences and Biotechnology,*

*Arni University, Kangra, H.P, India*

doi.org/10.64643/IJIRTV12I6-191356-459

**Abstract**—The rapid increase in organic waste generation driven by urbanization, intensive agriculture, and shifting consumption patterns poses a significant environmental challenge worldwide. Conventional waste management strategies, such as landfilling and incineration, have raised concerns due to greenhouse gas emissions, nutrient loss, and soil and water contamination (Singh et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2021). In response, the circular bioeconomy has emerged as a sustainable framework that emphasizes resource efficiency, biological waste valorization, and reintegration of organic resources into productive cycles (D’Amato et al., 2017).

Vermicomposting, an eco-biotechnological process utilizing the synergistic activity of earthworms and microorganisms, offers a cost-effective, environmentally friendly approach for converting organic waste into nutrient-rich biofertilizers. By facilitating mechanical fragmentation, microbial mineralization, and humification, vermicomposting stabilizes organic residues, producing biologically active vermicast that enhances soil fertility and crop productivity (Edwards et al., 2011; Pathma & Sakthivel, 2012). The application of vermicompost has been shown to improve soil physicochemical and biological properties, augment crop resistance to pathogens, and reduce dependency on synthetic fertilizers (Arancon et al., 2006; Lazcano & Domínguez, 2011).

Within the circular bioeconomy paradigm, vermicomposting plays a pivotal role in closing nutrient loops, recycling organic residues into agroecosystems, and mitigating environmental pollution. Moreover, it contributes to climate change mitigation by diverting biodegradable waste from landfills, reducing methane emissions, and supporting sustainable agricultural systems (Awasthi et al., 2018). This paper underscores the importance of vermicomposting as a scalable circular bioeconomy tool, integrating waste management, soil restoration, and sustainable agricultural practices. The adoption of vermicomposting at community, municipal, and industrial scales can significantly advance sustainable development objectives by promoting

environmental protection, economic viability, and resource circularity.

**Index Terms**—Vermicomposting, Circular bioeconomy, Organic waste, Sustainable agriculture, Nutrient recycling

## I. INTRODUCTION

Organic waste generation has increased dramatically over the past decades due to rapid population growth, urbanization, changing dietary patterns, expansion of food processing industries, and intensification of agriculture. According to FAO (2019), approximately one-third of all food produced globally, around 1.3 billion tonnes annually, is lost or wasted. This not only represents economic losses but also exacerbates environmental problems such as greenhouse gas emissions, soil degradation, water contamination, and depletion of natural resources.

Traditional waste management approaches, largely following a linear “take–make–dispose” model, focus on extraction, consumption, and disposal. These practices result in resource depletion, accumulation of waste in landfills, methane emissions, and long-term ecological damage (Ghisellini et al., 2016). Therefore, transitioning to sustainable waste management strategies that promote circularity and resource efficiency is imperative.

The circular bioeconomy offers an alternative framework, integrating circular economy principles with the sustainable utilization of biological resources. It emphasizes resource recovery, waste minimization, and transformation of organic residues into value-added products such as biofertilizers, biostimulants, and bioenergy (Geissdoerfer et al., 2017; D’Amato et al., 2020). Biological waste treatment technologies, including composting, anaerobic digestion, and

vermicomposting, are central to achieving circular bioeconomy objectives. Among these, vermicomposting has gained attention due to its low capital and energy requirements, eco-friendliness, and high efficiency in producing nutrient-enriched compost (Edwards et al., 2011).

Unlike conventional composting, which relies on thermophilic microbial activity, vermicomposting operates under mesophilic conditions and employs a synergistic interaction between earthworms and microorganisms. Earthworms mechanically fragment organic residues, stimulate microbial growth, and accelerate humification, producing vermicompost rich in plant-available nutrients, beneficial microbes, and growth-promoting compounds (Domínguez & Edwards, 2011; Arancon et al., 2004).

From a circular bioeconomy perspective, vermicomposting contributes to closing nutrient loops, reducing landfill dependency, mitigating greenhouse gas emissions, and enhancing soil health, thereby supporting sustainable agriculture and long-term environmental sustainability (Edwards et al., 2011; Lazcano & Domínguez, 2011).

## II. CONCEPT OF CIRCULAR BIOECONOMY

The circular bioeconomy integrates principles of the circular economy with the sustainable use of biological resources. It promotes closed-loop biological cycles, renewable inputs, and minimal environmental impact, moving beyond linear, fossil-based production systems (D'Amato et al., 2017; Kirchherr et al., 2018). Unlike traditional bioeconomy models, which may still rely on extractive practices, the circular bioeconomy emphasizes nutrient recovery, waste prevention, material reuse, and ecosystem restoration.

Organic waste streams—including kitchen waste, crop residues, animal manure, food processing by-products, and agro-industrial residues—are valuable bioresources. When appropriately managed, these materials can be converted into biofertilizers, soil conditioners, bio stimulants, and bioenergy, supporting sustainable agriculture, soil fertility, and climate change mitigation (Stegmann et al., 2020; Geissdoerfer et al., 2017). Biological waste conversion technologies, such as vermicomposting, operationalize these principles by transforming waste

into nutrient-rich, biologically active compost through earthworm–microbe interactions (Edwards et al., 2011; Lazcano & Domínguez, 2011).

In regions lacking centralized waste management infrastructure, circular bioeconomy approaches provide decentralized, inclusive solutions. Vermicomposting, in particular, is well-suited to rural and peri-urban contexts due to its low energy demand, minimal technological input, and ease of small-scale implementation (Nair et al., 2021). Integrating vermicomposting into circular bioeconomy strategies not only reduces landfill burden but also produces high-value compost for local agricultural use, supporting environmental sustainability and rural livelihoods.

## III. VERMICOMPOSTING: PROCESS AND BIOLOGICAL MECHANISMS

Vermicomposting is a mesophilic, bio-oxidative process where epigeic earthworms and microorganisms stabilize organic waste into nutrient-rich, biologically active vermicompost (Domínguez & Edwards, 2011). Common earthworm species employed include *Eisenia fetida*, *Eudrilus eugeniae*, and *Perionyx excavatus*, selected for their high ingestion rates, rapid growth, and adaptability to various organic substrates (Edwards et al., 2010).

Organic waste consumed by earthworms undergoes mechanical fragmentation in the gizzard, enzymatic digestion, and microbial transformation. Gut-associated microorganisms, along with mucus secreted by earthworms, enhance microbial activity, accelerating decomposition, mineralization, and humification (Aira et al., 2007; Brown et al., 2000). These processes reduce the carbon-to-nitrogen ratio and increase bioavailable nutrients such as N, P, K, and essential micronutrients (Arancon et al., 2004; Atiyeh et al., 2002).

Vermicompost also contains diverse microbial populations, including nitrogen-fixing bacteria, phosphate-solubilizing microorganisms, and plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria, along with biologically active compounds like humic and fulvic acids, auxins, and cytokinins that enhance plant growth and soil health (Pathma & Sakthivel, 2012; Canellas et al., 2010).

#### IV. VERMICOMPOSTING FOR WASTE VALORIZATION

Vermicomposting transforms low-value organic waste into high-value bioresources, closing material loops and promoting resource efficiency. It stabilizes various waste streams—including household food waste, agricultural residues, sewage sludge, and agro-industrial by-products—producing nutrient-rich compost with enhanced physicochemical and biological properties (Lim et al., 2016; Pathak et al., 2020).

By diverting organic waste from landfills, vermicomposting reduces methane emissions and leachate formation, thereby mitigating environmental pollution and contributing to climate change mitigation (Awasthi et al., 2020). In addition, it improves soil structure, microbial diversity, water retention, and crop productivity, exemplifying the principles of waste-to-resource conversion central to the circular bioeconomy.

Economically, vermicomposting offers decentralized waste management opportunities, particularly in rural and peri-urban areas. Low operational costs, simple techniques, and potential for income generation make it an accessible livelihood option for farmers, women, youth, and local communities (Yadav & Garg, 2019).

#### V. ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFITS

##### (i) GREENHOUSE GAS MITIGATION

Conventional landfilling generates methane and nitrous oxide, potent greenhouse gases with high global warming potential (IPCC, 2021). Vermicomposting, being an aerobic process, significantly reduces methane and nitrous oxide emissions while promoting carbon sequestration in soil. The process also indirectly lowers emissions associated with chemical fertilizer production and transportation (Edwards et al., 2011).

##### (ii) SOIL HEALTH AND ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION

Vermicompost improves soil physical properties such as porosity, aggregation, and water-holding capacity, enhancing root growth and nutrient uptake (Lazcano & Domínguez, 2011). Biologically, it stimulates beneficial microbial activity, nutrient mineralization, and pathogen suppression (Edwards et al., 2011).

Long-term vermicompost application promotes soil organic carbon accumulation, resilience to drought and nutrient stress, and recovery of degraded lands (Awasthi et al., 2021).

#### VI. AGRONOMIC BENEFITS AND SUSTAINABLE AGRICULTURE

Vermicompost enhances seed germination, vegetative growth, and crop yield by supplying readily available nutrients, humic substances, enzymes, and growth-promoting compounds (Edwards et al., 2011; Lazcano & Domínguez, 2011). It also improves plant resistance to pests and diseases and reduces reliance on chemical fertilizers. Continuous application maintains soil fertility and contributes to sustainable and organic farming systems, aligning with circular bioeconomy principles.

#### VII. SOCIO-ECONOMIC IMPLICATIONS

Vermicomposting supports rural livelihoods by providing self-employment, income generation, and small-scale entrepreneurship opportunities (Sinha et al., 2010). Communities can market vermicompost and vermiwash, reducing fertilizer costs and improving farm profitability (Nagavallemma et al., 2004). Decentralized adoption reduces municipal waste management burdens, promotes green jobs, and strengthens local economies.

#### VIII. CHALLENGES AND FUTURE PROSPECTS

Challenges to widespread adoption include variability in waste composition, sensitivity of earthworms to environmental conditions, lack of large-scale mechanization, and limited policy support (Awasthi et al., 2020; Lim et al., 2016). Future research should focus on integrated microbial–earthworm systems, process optimization, life-cycle assessment, and decentralized models for urban and rural contexts (Aira et al., 2016; Pathania et al., 2024). Policy support, awareness programs, and research–industry collaborations are crucial to mainstreaming vermicomposting in circular bioeconomy frameworks.

## IX. CONCLUSION

Vermicomposting is a sustainable, low-cost, and eco-friendly technology that aligns with circular bioeconomy principles. It converts organic waste into nutrient-rich vermicompost, improves soil health, enhances crop productivity, mitigates greenhouse gas emissions, and supports sustainable agriculture. Adoption at community, municipal, and agricultural scales, coupled with scientific innovation and policy support, can advance sustainable development goals, reduce environmental impact, and promote resource circularity.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Authors are thankful to Management of Arni University for providing the necessary facility for the work.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Aira, M., Gómez-Brandón, M., Lazcano, C., & Domínguez, J. (2016). Earthworms and microbial communities: Interactions that contribute to soil fertility and plant health. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 98, 219–229.
- [2] Aira, M., Monroy, F., & Domínguez, J. (2007). Earthworms strongly modify microbial biomass and activity triggering enzymatic activities during vermicomposting independently of the application rates of pig slurry. *Science of the Total Environment*, 385, 252–261. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2007.06.031>
- [3] Arancon, N. Q., Edwards, C. A., Atiyeh, R., & Metzger, J. D. (2004). Effects of vermicomposts produced from food waste on the growth and yields of greenhouse peppers. *Bioresource Technology*, 93(2), 139–144.
- [4] Arancon, N. Q., Edwards, C. A., Atiyeh, R., & Metzger, J. D. (2006). Effects of vermicomposts on growth and marketable fruits of field-grown tomatoes, peppers and strawberries. *Pedobiologia*, 47(5–6), 731–735.
- [5] Atiyeh, R. M., Domínguez, J., Subler, S., & Edwards, C. A. (2002). Changes in biochemical properties of cow manure during processing by earthworms (*Eisenia andrei*). *Bioresource Technology*, 81, 149–156.
- [6] Awasthi, M. K., Duan, Y., Awasthi, S. K., Liu, T., Zhang, Z., & Kumar, S. (2021). Emerging trends in vermicomposting for waste management and resource recovery. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 292, 126035.
- [7] Awasthi, M. K., Pandey, A. K., Khan, J., Bundela, P. S., Wong, J. W. C., & Selvam, A. (2020). Evaluation of greenhouse gas emission and nutrient dynamics during vermicomposting of organic wastes. *Bioresource Technology*, 300, 122636.
- [8] Brown, G. G., Barois, I., & Lavelle, P. (2000). Regulation of soil organic matter dynamics and microbial activity in the drilosphere and the role of interactions with other soil fauna. *European Journal of Soil Biology*, 36, 177–198.
- [9] Canellas, L. P., Olivares, F. L., Aguiar, N. O., Jones, D. L., Nebbioso, A., Mazzei, P., & Piccolo, A. (2015). Humic and fulvic acids as biostimulants in horticulture. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 196, 15–27.
- [10] Canellas, L. P., Olivares, F. L., Okorokova-Façanha, A. L., & Façanha, A. R. (2010). Humic acids isolated from earthworm compost enhance root elongation, lateral root emergence, and plasma membrane H<sup>+</sup>-ATPase activity in maize roots. *Plant Physiology*, 153(4), 1951–1957.
- [11] D'Amato, D., Droste, N., Allen, B., Kettunen, M., Lähinen, K., Korhonen, J., Toppinen, A. (2017). Green, circular, bio economy: A comparative analysis of sustainability avenues. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 168, 716–734.
- [12] Domínguez, J., & Edwards, C. A. (2011). *Biology and ecology of earthworm species used for vermicomposting*. In C. A. Edwards, N. Q. Arancon, & R. Sherman (Eds.), *Vermiculture Technology: Earthworms, Organic Wastes, and Environmental Management* (pp. 27–40). CRC Press.
- [13] Edwards, C. A., Arancon, N. Q., & Sherman, R. (2011). *Vermiculture technology: Earthworms, organic wastes, and environmental management*. CRC Press.
- [14] FAO. (2019). *The state of food and agriculture 2019: Moving forward on food loss and waste reduction*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
- [15] Geissdoerfer, M., Savaget, P., Bocken, N. M. P., & Hultink, E. J. (2017). The circular economy –

- A new sustainability paradigm? *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 143, 757–768.
- [16] Ghisellini, P., Cialani, C., & Ulgiati, S. (2016). A review on circular economy: The expected transition to a balanced interplay of environmental and economic systems. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 114, 11–32.
- [17] IPCC. (2021). *Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis*. Cambridge University Press.
- [18] Kirchherr, J., Reike, D., & Hekkert, M. (2018). Conceptualizing the circular economy: An analysis of 114 definitions. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 127, 221–232.
- [19] Kumar, S., Malav, L. C., Malav, M. K., & Khan, S. A. (2018). Biogas slurry and vermicompost as sustainable soil amendments: A review. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*, 38, 36.
- [20] Lattaud, C., Locati, S., Mora, P., Rouland, C., & Lavelle, P. (1998). The diversity of digestive systems in tropical geophagous earthworms. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 9, 189–195.
- [21] Lazcano, C., & Domínguez, J. (2011). The use of vermicompost in sustainable agriculture: Impact on plant growth and soil fertility. In M. Miransari (Ed.), *Soil Nutrients* (pp. 230–254). Nova Science Publishers.
- [22] Lazcano, C., Gómez-Brandón, M., & Domínguez, J. (2018). Short-term effects of organic and inorganic fertilizers on soil microbial community structure and function. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, 54(5), 647–659.
- [23] Lim, S. L., Lee, L. H., & Wu, T. Y. (2016). Sustainability of using composting and vermicomposting technologies for organic solid waste biotransformation: Recent overview. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 111, 262–278.
- [24] Nagavallemma, K. P., Wani, S. P., Stephane, L., Padmaja, V. V., Vineela, C., Babu Rao, M., & Sahrawat, K. L. (2004). Vermicomposting: Recycling wastes into valuable organic fertilizer. ICRISAT.
- [25] Nair, J., Sekiozoic, V., & Anda, M. (2021). Resource recovery from organic waste using biological technologies: A circular bioeconomy perspective. *Bioresource Technology*, 337, 125379.
- [26] Nair, J., Sekiozoic, V., & Anda, M. (2022). Decentralized organic waste management through vermicomposting: A circular economy approach. *Waste Management*, 138, 80–90.
- [27] Parthasarathi, K., Ranganathan, L. S., Anandi, V., & Zeyer, J. (2007). Diversity of microflora in the gut and casts of tropical composting earthworms (*Eudrilus eugeniae* and *Perionyx excavatus*). *Journal of Environmental Biology*, 28(1), 87–93.
- [28] Pathak, V. M., Kumar, M., & Awasthi, S. K. (2020). Vermicomposting of organic wastes: A sustainable waste management practice for environmental protection. *Journal of Experimental Biology and Agricultural Sciences*, 8(SI 1), S14–S27.
- [29] Pathma, J., & Sakthivel, N. (2012). Microbial diversity of vermicompost bacteria that exhibit useful agricultural traits and waste management potential. *Springer Plus*, 1(1), 26.
- [30] Singh, J., Kaur, A., Vig, A. P., & Rup, P. J. (2020). Role of vermicomposting in sustainable agriculture and waste management: A review. *Environmental Sustainability*, 3, 201–214.
- [31] Sinha, R. K., Herat, S., Valani, D., & Chauhan, K. (2010). Earthworms: The miracle of nature (Charles Darwin's 'unheralded soldiers of mankind'). *Environmentalists*, 30(2), 1–15.
- [32] Stegmann, P., Londo, M., & Junginger, M. (2020). The circular bioeconomy: Its elements and role in European bioeconomy clusters. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 157, 104804.
- [33] Yadav, A., & Garg, V. K. (2019). Bioconversion of organic wastes by vermicomposting: A sustainable waste management approach. *International Journal of Recycling of Organic Waste in Agriculture*, 8, 145–156.
- [34] Zhang, D., Luo, W., Li, Y., Wang, G., & Li, G. (2021). Performance of co-composting sewage sludge and organic solid waste: A review. *Bioresource Technology*, 342, 125972.