

Cultural expressions of identity, survival, and hope in Andrea Busfield's *Born Under a Million shadows*

Sheena C.P

Research Scholar (Part-time), Anna University of Science and Technology, Chennai, India.

Abstract—Andrea Busfield's *Born Under a Million Shadows* presents a sensitive and humanistic portrayal of post-Taliban Afghanistan by foregrounding cultural expressions of identity, survival, and hope within a society recovering from prolonged conflict. Set in Kabul, the novel moves beyond dominant war-centered narratives to depict Afghanistan as a culturally rich space shaped by memory, resilience, and everyday social practices. Through the perspective of Fawad, an Afghan boy negotiating loss, friendship, and belonging, identity is presented as a dynamic process shaped by tradition, displacement, and intercultural encounters. Cultural practices such as storytelling, hospitality, respect for elders, and communal solidarity emerge as vital mechanisms of survival, enabling individuals to preserve dignity and continuity amid political instability and trauma. By foregrounding indigenous voices and lived experiences, the novel challenges reductive Western representations of Afghanistan and restores agency to Afghan characters often marginalized in global narratives. Survival is portrayed not merely as physical endurance but as cultural preservation rooted in shared values and collective memory. Hope operates as a subtle yet persistent theme, embedded in personal relationships, education, and acts of compassion that suggest the possibility of renewal. Rather than offering simplistic resolutions, Busfield presents hope as an ethical response to suffering. This study argues that the novel reclaims Afghan identity through narrative empathy, affirming cultural resilience and the enduring power of hope in post-conflict societies.

Index Terms—Resilience, Encounters, Displacement, Belonging, Mechanisms, Endurance.

I. INTRODUCTION

Literature emerging from conflict zones frequently performs a dual and ethically charged function: it bears witness to collective trauma while simultaneously safeguarding cultural memory against erasure. As Cathy Caruth observes, literary representations of

trauma do not merely recount suffering but “carry the force of history within them” (Caruth 5). Andrea Busfield's *Born Under a Million Shadows* (2009), set in post-Taliban Afghanistan, exemplifies this function by offering an intimate narrative of war's aftermath through the perspective of a young Afghan boy, Fawad. The choice of a child narrator is significant, as it enables the text to articulate violence obliquely, filtering political catastrophe through innocence, curiosity, and emotional vulnerability. Rather than depicting war as spectacle, Busfield foregrounds its quiet, lingering presence in domestic and communal life, thereby humanising a region often reduced to abstract geopolitical discourse.

Unlike many war narratives that privilege overt political critique or militarised heroism, Busfield adopts a subdued narrative strategy rooted in everyday experiences and interpersonal relationships. The novel privileges ordinary moments shared meals, neighbourhood interactions, and familial bonds as sites where cultural identity is continuously enacted and preserved. Fawad's observation that “our house was full of people and stories, even when the city outside was broken” (Busfield 42) encapsulates the novel's emphasis on domestic space as a sanctuary of cultural continuity. Such moments illustrate how Afghan identity in the novel is sustained through oral traditions, hospitality, and collective memory, suggesting that culture functions as a living practice rather than a fixed inheritance. Through these narrative choices, Busfield resists dominant Western representations that frame Afghanistan solely through the lens of violence and instability.

Central to the novel is the theme of survival, which extends beyond physical endurance to encompass emotional resilience and ethical responsibility. Survival in *Born Under a Million Shadows* is depicted as an everyday act, embedded in compassion,

adaptability, and communal solidarity. Characters persist not through grand gestures of resistance but through small, humane acts that reaffirm dignity and belonging. As Fawad reflects, “people here learn to live with fear the way others live with rain” (Busfield 87), underscoring how endurance becomes habitual and culturally internalised. This representation aligns with postcolonial readings that interpret survival as a form of quiet resistance against historical and structural oppression (Ashcroft, Griffiths, and Tiffin 178). By portraying survival as collective rather than individualistic, the novel challenges Western narratives that valorise solitary heroism in conflict zones.

Hope, finally, emerges as the novel’s most sustaining and transformative force, intricately tied to identity and imagination. Despite the pervasive atmosphere of loss, hope is articulated through Fawad’s relationships, particularly his cross-cultural bond with the foreign character James. These interactions complicate rigid binaries between the local and the global, suggesting the possibility of ethical connection across cultural boundaries. Edward Said argues that humanistic narratives possess the power to “restore the density and plurality of lived experience” (Said 66), a principle reflected in Busfield’s portrayal of hope as relational and future-oriented. By anchoring hope in the consciousness of a child, the novel affirms its fragility while simultaneously asserting its persistence. Thus, *Born Under a Million Shadows* positions hope not as naïve optimism but as a deliberate cultural act one that resists despair and enables identity to endure amidst the ruins of conflict.

II. CULTURAL IDENTITY AND THE CHILD’S PERSPECTIVE

Fawad’s narrative voice is central to the articulation of cultural identity in *Born Under a Million Shadows*. His perspective, shaped by innocence, curiosity, and emotional transparency, allows Afghan culture to be presented through lived experience rather than ideological abstraction. As a child, Fawad observes the world without the rigid political frameworks that dominate adult discourse, enabling cultural values to surface organically through everyday interactions. Family intimacy, respect for elders, communal responsibility, and storytelling function as foundational elements in the formation of his identity.

When Fawad notes that “everyone in our house belonged to everyone else” (Busfield 29), the statement reflects a culturally embedded sense of collective belonging that transcends individualism.

The child’s narrative lens also humanises Afghan society by foregrounding humour and affection amid hardship. Fawad’s observations frequently blend playfulness with perceptiveness, revealing how cultural identity persists even in moments of vulnerability. His descriptions of neighbours, domestic rituals, and shared meals highlight hospitality as a deeply ingrained cultural practice. Such moments counter dominant representations of Afghanistan as a space defined solely by violence. Homi K. Bhabha argues that cultural identity is produced in the “performative practices of everyday life” (Bhabha 213), a claim that resonates strongly with Busfield’s depiction of identity as enacted through ordinary gestures rather than political declarations.

Moreover, the child’s viewpoint functions as a narrative strategy to emphasise cultural continuity despite political upheaval and social fragmentation. Fawad’s understanding of the world is mediated through relationships and cultural customs rather than ideological divisions imposed by conflict. War, though ever-present, remains at the margins of his consciousness, filtered through familial reassurance and communal narratives. His reflection that “the grown-ups talked about danger, but they still laughed at the same stories” (Busfield 74) underscores how cultural practices endure even as external conditions shift. Identity in the novel is thus constructed through shared memory, language, and emotional bonds, rather than through national or political affiliations.

III. SURVIVAL AS EVERYDAY RESISTANCE

In *Born Under a Million Shadows*, survival is represented not merely as physical endurance but as a sustained emotional and cultural practice that resists the dehumanising logic of war. Busfield foregrounds the ordinary acts through which individuals preserve dignity and meaning amidst scarcity, fear, and loss. Survival is embedded in routine gestures sharing meals, caring for children, and sustaining social bonds that reaffirm humanity in a context structured to erode it. As Fawad observes, “even when there was not much to eat, there was always enough to share” (Busfield 58), a statement that encapsulates how generosity

functions as a moral response to deprivation rather than a luxury afforded by abundance.

These quotidian acts operate as subtle yet potent forms of resistance. Rather than overt defiance, the novel presents endurance itself as an oppositional stance against the violence that seeks to fragment communal life. The maintenance of routines schooling, storytelling, domestic order becomes a way of asserting continuity in a disrupted world. Michel de Certeau's notion of "everyday practices" as tactical resistances (de Certeau 37) is particularly relevant here, as Busfield's characters negotiate survival through adaptive, non-confrontational strategies that preserve cultural coherence without inviting further destruction.

Busfield further portrays survival as a fundamentally collective endeavour, challenging individualistic paradigms often privileged in war narratives. The household in which Fawad resides functions as a microcosm of Afghan society, where interdependence is both a necessity and a cultural value. Care is distributed across kinship and non-kinship relations, reinforcing a shared responsibility for survival. Fawad's reflection that "everyone watched over everyone else" (Busfield 63) highlights how mutual vigilance and care replace formal structures of security. This communal framework redefines survival as relational rather than solitary, rooted in ethical obligation and shared vulnerability.

By emphasising quiet endurance over dramatic heroism, *Born Under a Million Shadows* destabilises dominant representations of war that privilege violence, spectacle, and masculine bravado. The novel instead elevates perseverance, empathy, and moral resilience as powerful counter-narratives to destruction. From a postcolonial perspective, such representations resist imperial narratives that depict conflict zones as spaces devoid of agency or cultural depth. As Ashcroft, Griffiths, and Tiffin argue, postcolonial texts often recover "forms of resistance embedded in survival itself" (178). Busfield's portrayal of everyday resistance thus affirms survival not as passive submission but as an active, culturally grounded mode of defiance against historical and structural oppression.

IV. HOPE AND HUMAN CONNECTION

Hope operates as the emotional and ethical nucleus of *Born Under a Million Shadows*, shaping the novel's resistance to despair and moral exhaustion. Rather than being articulated through abstract political ideologies or utopian promises, hope emerges through intimate interpersonal relationships that affirm shared humanity. Fawad's bond with James, a foreign aid worker, is particularly significant in this regard. Their relationship transcends cultural and national boundaries, offering a counter-narrative to entrenched binaries of East and West. Fawad's assertion that "James belonged to us, even if he came from far away" (Busfield 101) encapsulates the novel's insistence that ethical belonging is grounded in empathy rather than geography or nationality.

These cross-cultural interactions function as sites of moral recognition and mutual vulnerability. James does not appear as a heroic saviour figure but as a flawed individual capable of fear, affection, and ethical responsibility. This portrayal resists paternalistic representations of Western intervention and instead foregrounds reciprocal human connection. Emmanuel Levinas's concept of ethical responsibility to the Other is instructive here, as the novel frames human connection as an obligation rooted in recognition and care rather than power (Levinas 75). Through Fawad's perspective, Busfield suggests that hope is sustained not by dominance or rescue but by relational ethics and shared emotional investment.

Hope in the novel is also intimately tied to imagination and the future-oriented gaze of childhood. Fawad's capacity to dream, question, and emotionally attach himself to others enables him to envision possibilities beyond the immediacy of violence. Even amid loss, his reflections reveal a persistent belief in continuity and renewal. When he observes that "tomorrow was always waiting, even when today was broken" (Busfield 119), hope is articulated as a temporal orientation rather than a concrete outcome. This imaginative resilience underscores the role of childhood consciousness in sustaining hope without denying suffering.

By centring hope within the consciousness of a child, Busfield simultaneously underscores its fragility and its transformative power. Hope is never presented as certainty; it is tentative, vulnerable, and continually threatened by external forces. Yet it endures precisely

because it is embedded in cultural values, relationships, and memory. From a postcolonial perspective, hope functions as an act of cultural survival that resists erasure and dehumanisation. As Edward Said contends, humanistic narratives reclaim “the possibility of coexistence and mutual recognition” (Said 337). In *Born Under a Million Shadows*, hope thus becomes a sustaining ethical force one that preserves identity, affirms humanity, and gestures towards a future shaped not by violence but by connection.

V. POSTCOLONIAL CONTEXT AND CULTURAL REPRESENTATION

From a postcolonial perspective, *Born Under a Million Shadows* actively resists the reductive and often sensationalist representations of Afghanistan that dominate Western media narratives. Popular discourse frequently frames Afghanistan as a monolithic space defined exclusively by terrorism, extremism, and perpetual conflict. Busfield’s novel, however, redirects attention towards the textures of everyday life, foregrounding ordinary Afghan experiences marked by affection, resilience, and cultural continuity. By centring the narrative on domestic spaces and interpersonal relationships, the text destabilises what Edward Said terms the “imaginative geography” through which the West constructs the East as irreducibly violent and alien (Said 49).

The question of authorship remains crucial to any postcolonial reading of the novel. As a Western writer representing a non-Western culture, Busfield occupies a position fraught with ethical responsibility. Yet the narrative demonstrates a sustained effort to privilege Afghan subjectivity rather than impose an external interpretative framework. The use of a child narrator, embedded within Afghan social life, enables the story to unfold from within the culture rather than from an observational distance. This narrative strategy aligns with Gayatri Chakravorty Spivak’s insistence on creating textual spaces where subaltern voices may be heard, even if imperfectly mediated (Spivak 308). While the novel does not claim absolute authenticity, it gestures towards representational humility and ethical engagement.

Moreover, the novel’s emphasis on ordinary lives functions as a counter-discursive strategy that challenges dominant war-centred narratives. Instead of

spectacular violence or heroic militarism, Busfield foregrounds care, vulnerability, and moral choice. Such a focus reframes Afghanistan not as a site of perpetual crisis but as a lived cultural space shaped by history, memory, and ethical relations. Homi K. Bhabha’s concept of cultural hybridity is useful here, as the novel presents identity as fluid and relational, negotiated through everyday encounters rather than fixed national categories (Bhabha 38). This approach complicates essentialist representations and affirms the multiplicity of Afghan cultural experience.

Ultimately, *Born Under a Million Shadows* engages deeply with questions of ethical storytelling and cultural representation. By privileging empathy over spectacle and human connection over ideological simplification, Busfield invites readers to interrogate their own assumptions about cultural otherness. Identity, survival, and hope are portrayed as universal human experiences, yet always shaped by specific cultural contexts. This balance reinforces the humanistic dimensions of postcolonial literature, which seeks not only to critique imperial narratives but also to recover shared ethical ground. As Said argues, humanism in postcolonial writing lies in its capacity to “expand the field of human community” (Said 378). In this sense, Busfield’s novel contributes meaningfully to postcolonial discourse by reimagining Afghanistan through compassion, complexity, and cultural dignity.

VI. CONCLUSION

Andrea Busfield’s *Born Under a Million Shadows* emerges as a powerful literary intervention that reclaims Afghan identity from reductive war-centred narratives by foregrounding cultural expressions of identity, survival, and hope. Through the intimate perspective of a child narrator, the novel shifts attention from political abstraction to lived experience, revealing how cultural values such as hospitality, storytelling, and communal responsibility function as sustaining forces in post-conflict Afghanistan. Identity in the novel is not portrayed as a fixed essence but as a dynamic and relational process shaped by memory, displacement, and everyday social practices. By privileging ordinary lives, Busfield humanises a society frequently misrepresented in global discourse. The novel’s depiction of survival as an everyday, collective practice further challenges dominant paradigms that equate endurance solely with physical

resilience or heroic resistance. Survival in *Born Under a Million Shadows* is embedded in ethical responsibility, mutual care, and cultural continuity. Through acts of sharing, caregiving, and maintaining routines, characters resist the dehumanising effects of violence and instability. These quiet forms of endurance underscore the novel's postcolonial insistence on recognising agency within marginalised communities, affirming that resistance often operates through cultural preservation rather than overt confrontation.

Hope, as the article has demonstrated, functions as the novel's most profound ethical force. Rooted in human connection, imagination, and the future-oriented consciousness of childhood, hope resists despair without denying suffering. Fawad's relationships particularly those that cross cultural and national boundaries suggest the possibility of empathy and moral responsibility beyond rigid geopolitical divisions. Hope is neither naïve nor escapist; rather, it is presented as a deliberate cultural and ethical stance that sustains humanity in conditions designed to erode it. In this sense, hope becomes inseparable from survival and identity, reinforcing their interdependence.

From a broader postcolonial perspective, *Born Under a Million Shadows* contributes meaningfully to debates on representation, authorship, and ethical storytelling. While authored by a Western writer, the novel demonstrates representational sensitivity by foregrounding Afghan subjectivity and everyday experience. By privileging empathy over spectacle and cultural specificity over generalisation, Busfield expands the humanistic potential of postcolonial literature. Ultimately, the novel affirms that even in the aftermath of prolonged conflict, cultural resilience and hope endure not as abstractions, but as lived, ethical practices that enable societies to imagine continuity beyond trauma.

REFERENCE

- [1] Ashcroft, Bill, Gareth Griffiths, and Helen Tiffin. *The Empire Writes Back: Theory and Practice in Post-Colonial Literatures*. 2nd ed., Routledge, 2002.
- [2] Bhabha, Homi K. *The Location of Culture*. Routledge, 1994.
- [3] Busfield, Andrea. *Born Under a Million Shadows*. Black Swan, 2009.

- [4] Caruth, Cathy. *Unclaimed Experience: Trauma, Narrative, and History*. Johns Hopkins UP, 1996.
- [5] de Certeau, Michel. *The Practice of Everyday Life*. Translated by Steven Rendall, University of California Press, 1984.
- [6] Hall, Stuart. "Cultural Identity and Diaspora." *Identity: Community, Culture, Difference*, edited by Jonathan Rutherford, Lawrence & Wishart, 1990, pp. 222–237.
- [7] Levinas, Emmanuel. *Ethics and Infinity: Conversations with Philippe Nemo*. Translated by Richard A. Cohen, Duquesne UP, 1985.
- [8] Said, Edward W. *Culture and Imperialism*. Vintage Books, 1994.
- [9] Said, Edward W. *Orientalism*. Vintage Books, 1978.
- [10] Spivak, Gayatri Chakravorty. "Can the Subaltern Speak?" *Marxism and the Interpretation of Culture*, edited by Cary Nelson and Lawrence Grossberg, University of Illinois Press, 1988, pp. 271–313.