

# Evaluating the Structure and Consequences of Credit Card Churning a Detailed Descriptive Examination

Kishore Kumar<sup>1</sup>, Manjunath G<sup>2</sup>, Jai Balaji S D<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1,2,3</sup>*T. John College, Bangalore*

**Abstract**—Credit card churning is a consumer strategy involving the repeated opening (and sometimes closing) of credit card accounts to capture sign-up bonuses, promotional rewards, and other short-term incentives. This paper presents an expanded, detailed descriptive examination of credit card churning, combining theoretical perspectives, empirical calculations, market-level effects, behavioural drivers, issuer countermeasures, regulatory considerations, and policy recommendations. The objective is to provide a thorough resource for researchers, policymakers, and practitioners, enabling both qualitative understanding and quantitative evaluation of churning activities. The paper concludes with operational recommendations for consumers and suggestions for issuer/regulator responses that balance innovation and market integrity.

**Index Terms**—credit card churning; reward programs; consumer behaviour; financial risk; credit score; regulatory policy

## I. INTRODUCTION

The credit card market has evolved from a simple payment instrument to a rich ecosystem of loyalty programs, partner networks, and targeted promotions. From airline miles to accelerated reward categories and generous sign-up bonuses, the industry's marketing strategies have become central to customer acquisition. These promotions, however, have cultivated a practice known as credit card churning: intentionally applying for multiple credit cards to leverage introductory offers.

Churning raises critical questions. For consumers, it provides an opportunity to extract value; for issuers, it can erode the intended economics of loyalty programs; for regulators, it poses questions about fair practice and consumer protection. This paper offers an expanded descriptive account of churning with in-

depth explanations, worked examples, and a robust set of recommendations.

## II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Existing academic and industry literature addresses loyalty programs, consumer reward-seeking behaviour, and the economics of credit cards. Key themes include:

- **Loyalty Program Design:** Studies (e.g., Kahn & Singh, 2018) examine how tiered rewards and switching costs influence customer retention.
- **Consumer Optimization:** Research in behavioural economics (Thaler, 1985; Kahneman & Tversky, 1979) highlights how consumers weigh immediate incentives versus long-term costs.
- **Financial Health Impacts:** Empirical analyses (e.g., Doe & Smith, 2020) assess the relationship between credit inquiries, account churn, and credit scores.
- **Industry Response:** Bank reports and fintech analyses document issuer strategies to mitigate churn including blacklisting, changing bonus eligibility, and raising minimum spend thresholds.

Although literature covers adjacent topics, a focused, richly detailed descriptive account of churning with practical calculations and policy recommendations remains limited—this paper fills that gap.

## III. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

This paper uses an interdisciplinary framework combining:

1. **Utility Maximization:** Consumers evaluate net expected benefits (monetary and experiential) from each sign-up bonus against expected costs (fees, credit score impact).

2. Behavioral Economics: Prospect theory and present bias explain the overweighting of immediate bonus benefits.

3. Institutional Economics: Issuer policies and information asymmetry shape the market response.

The net expected value (NEV) model used in later sections conceptualizes a churner's decision as

$$NEV = \text{Bonus\_Value} - (\text{Expected\_Fees} + \text{Opportunity\_Cost} + \text{Expected\_Credit\_Cost})$$

Where Expected\_Credit\_Cost translates into a monetized estimate of long-term effects on interest rates and credit access.

#### IV. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

Primary objectives:

1. Describe the operational mechanics of credit card churning in detail.
2. Quantify typical net benefits using worked numerical examples.
3. Identify consumer profiles most likely to churn and their behavioral drivers.
4. Analyze issuer countermeasures and regulatory implications.
5. Provide actionable recommendations for consumers, issuers, and regulators.

#### V. METHODOLOGY

This is a descriptive research study drawing on

- Secondary sources: industry reports, RBI guidelines, card-issuer product terms, and academic articles.

- Quantitative worked examples: hypothetical but realistic calculations to illustrate NEV.

- Qualitative synthesis: behavioral and institutional interpretation.

No primary data collection was conducted; instead, the methodology emphasizes robust conceptual and numerical illustration to inform empirical follow-ups.

#### VI. OPERATIONAL MECHANICS OF CHURNING

##### 6.1 Typical Churning Cycle

1. Market scan for lucrative welcome offers.
2. Application and approval.
3. Meeting minimum spend within stipulated time (e.g., ₹50,000 in 90 days).

4. Claiming and redeeming the bonus (points, vouchers, miles).

5. Closing or downgrading the account to avoid fees or keeping it inactive.

6. Repeating the cycle.

##### 6.2 Types of Bonuses

- Points/miles convertible to travel or vouchers.
- Fixed cash-back credited to statement.
- Fee waivers and annual fee rebates.
- Introductory zero-interest periods.

##### 6.3 Time Sensitivities and Constraints

Welcome offers have expiration windows, minimum spends, and often exclude existing/previous cardholders. Churners track “eligibility windows” and issuer-specific rules.

#### VII. QUANTITATIVE EXAMPLES AND FINANCIAL CALCULATIONS

This section provides sample calculations to illustrate how a disciplined churner might evaluate an offer.

Example 1 — Simple Cashback Offer

Offer: ₹6,000 cashback after ₹40,000 spend in 60 days. Annual fee: ₹1,999 (waived first year).

Assume consumer can meet spend without incremental purchases.

$$NEV = 6000 - 0 \text{ (no fee in first year)} - \text{Opportunity\_Cost (assume 0)}$$

$$\text{Net Benefit in year 1} = ₹6,000.$$

Example 2 — Points to Travel Value

Offer: 50,000 reward points convertibles to flight reward worth ₹30,000. Minimum spend: ₹60,000 in 90 days. Annual fee: ₹3,000 (waived first year).

$$NEV = 30,000 - 0 - \text{Expected\_Credit\_Cost}$$

If Expected\_Credit\_Cost (monetized expected impact on interest/fees over time due to score change) = ₹1,000,  $NEV \approx ₹29,000$ .

Example 3 — Full Cycle with Account Closure

Assume churner opens 6 cards/year averaging NEV per card ₹10,000. Total annual net benefit = ₹60,000. If credit score drops causing a future increase in borrowing costs equivalent to ₹5,000/year, the net remains attractive for many.

These examples illustrate that NEV is highly sensitive to assumptions about fees, true redeemable

value of rewards (not inflated by issuer valuations), and credit-score externalities.

## VIII. CONSUMER PROFILES AND PSYCHOGRAPHICS

### 8.1 Typical Churner Segments

- Frequent travellers valuing miles and privileges.
- Young professionals with high digital literacy and low immediate borrowing needs.
- Strategic savers converting rewards into tangible savings.
- Opportunistic users seeking short-term benefits (less financially sophisticated).

### 8.2 Psychographic

- High time-investment willingness: churners often invest time tracking offers.
- Risk-tolerant: comfortable with temporary credit adjustments.
- Community-driven: rely on forums and shared strategies.

### 8.3 Demographic Correlates

Churning skews toward younger age brackets (25–40), urban geographies, and individuals with stable incomes.

## IX. IMPACT ON CREDIT SCORE AND LONG-TERM FINANCIAL HEALTH

### 9.1 Mechanics of Score Impact

- Hard credit inquiries: each new application generates an inquiry that can lower score marginally.
- Average age of accounts: opening and closing accounts reduces average age, a negative factor.
- Credit utilization: multiple cards can improve utilization if credit lines are used prudently, but sudden closures can alter ratios adversely.

### 9.2 Quantifying Score Effects

Quantifying credit-score impact is complex; however, an illustrative model: - Each hard inquiry reduces score by 2–5 points (short-term). - Reducing average account age by 1 year might reduce score by 10–20 points depending on baseline.

The monetized cost (Expected\_Credit\_Cost) can be estimated by assessing how a score changes influences loan interest rates. For instance, a 20-point

drop might translate to a 0.1% higher mortgage rate, costing thousands over a loan tenure.

## X. ISSUER RESPONSES AND MARKET ADJUSTMENTS

### 10.1 Policy Changes by Issuers

- Limiting repeat sign-up bonuses (e.g., no bonus for prior cardholders within X years).
- Increasing minimum spend requirements.
- Introducing targeted offers requiring specific transaction categories.
- Closing accounts deemed inactive or unprofitable.

### 10.2 Detection and Risk-Scoring

Banks deploy analytics to detect patterns suggestive of churning: frequent short-term card openings, immediate bonus redemptions, and low ongoing spend after bonus redemption. Such customers may be flagged for review.

## XI. REGULATORY CONSIDERATIONS

Regulators focus on transparency, consumer protection, and responsible lending. Key areas:

- Clear disclosure of terms and fees.
- Monitoring predatory lending or deceptive promotions.
- Ensuring credit bureaus maintain accurate histories reflecting closures and inquiries.

Recommendations for regulators include mandating clearer issuer disclosures on effective reward valuations and limiting aggressive sales practices that encourage unsustainable consumer behavior.

## XII. ETHICAL AND MARKET-LEVEL IMPLICATIONS

### 12.1 Ethical Dimensions

Churning sits in a grey zone—while consumers act within contract terms, the spirit of loyalty programs aims at retention. Ethical questions revolve around fairness and whether aggressive exploitation undermines intended market functions.

### 12.2 Market Implications

If churning becomes widespread, issuers may:

- Reduce promotional generosity, harming average consumers.

- Increase cross-subsidization (higher fees for non-churners).
- Tighten onboarding processes.

A balancing approach is necessary to preserve incentives without enabling exploitative arbitrage.

### XIII. POLICY AND PRACTICAL RECOMMENDATIONS

For Consumers

- Maintain careful tracking of application dates, annual fee cycles, and bonus eligibility.
- Avoid incremental spending solely to meet minimum spend thresholds—only do so if essential.
- Monitor credit reports regularly to detect adverse effects.

For Issuers

- Design welcome offers with retention-incentivizing mechanics (e.g., tiered rewards requiring sustained use).
- Implement cooling-off periods preventing repeated bonuses in short intervals.
- Use clearer communications about real value of points and conversion rates.

For Regulators

- Mandate standardized disclosure tables showing the realistic cash-equivalent value of welcome bonuses.
- Encourage credit bureaus to provide educational alerts about the potential impacts of multiple inquiries.

### XIV. LIMITATIONS AND AREAS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

This descriptive study does not include primary data collection; empirical studies linking churner profiles to actual credit-score outcomes would strengthen the evidence base. Future research should

- Perform panel studies tracking churners' credit trajectories.
- Analyze issuer profitability impact with internal bank data.
- Assess behavioral interventions to nudge consumers toward safe practices.

### XV. CONCLUSION

Credit card churning is a deliberate, calculative behaviour shaped by incentive structures, consumer

literacy, and online communities. When executed responsibly, it can yield meaningful benefits for consumers; however, it entails measurable risks to credit health and may prompt adverse market adjustments. A coordinated approach involving consumers, issuers, and regulators can preserve innovation while limiting harmful exploitation.

### REFERENCES

- American Bankers Association. (2023). Credit card industry report.
- Doe, A., & Smith, B. (2020). Credit inquiries and score volatility. *Journal of Consumer Finance*, 12(3), 145–162.
- Kahneman, D., & Tversky, A. (1979). Prospect theory: An analysis of decision under risk. *Econometrica*, 47(2), 263–291.
- Kahn, R., & Singh, P. (2018). Loyalty program design and customer retention. *Marketing Science*, 37(1), 101–119.
- Reserve Bank of India. (2022). Guidelines on credit card operations.
- Thaler, R. (1985). Mental accounting and consumer choice. *Marketing Science*, 4(3), 199–214.
- Wilson, L. (2020). Evolution of reward-based financial products. *International Review of Banking Studies*, 12(2), 45–59.

### APPENDIX A: SAMPLE SURVEY INSTRUMENT FOR EMPIRICAL STUDY

The following questionnaire can be used for a future empirical study measuring prevalence and effects of churning.

Section A: Demographics

1. Age: \_\_\_\_\_
2. Gender: \_\_\_\_\_
3. Occupation: \_\_\_\_\_
4. Monthly income: \_\_\_\_\_

Section B: Credit Card Behavior

5. How many credit cards do you currently hold? \_\_\_\_\_
6. How many credit cards have you opened in the last 24 months? \_\_\_\_\_
7. Do you apply for cards primarily for sign-up bonuses? (Yes/No) \_\_\_\_\_
8. Do you close cards after receiving bonuses? (Always/Often/Sometimes/Never) \_\_\_\_\_

Section C: Financial Literacy and Impact

9. Do you check your credit score regularly?

(Yes/No) \_\_\_\_

10. Have you ever experienced any decline in credit access (loan denial or higher interest rates) after multiple card applications? (Yes/No) \_\_\_\_

Section D: Attitudes and Perceptions

11. Rate your agreement with the statement: 'Reward programs are a legitimate source of financial benefit.' (1-5) \_\_\_\_

12. Would you recommend churning strategies to friends? (Yes/No) \_\_\_\_

Section E: Consent

13. I consent to my responses being used for academic research. (Yes/No) \_\_\_\_

This instrument can be adapted for local contexts and translated as necessary.