Conceptualizing The Implementation of NISHTHA 3.0 In India: A Holistic Framework for Foundational Literacy and Numeracy

Sanjay Kumar[1], Dr. Ajay Kumar Singh[2]
[1]*Ph. D. Scholar, School of Education, Indira Gandhi National Open University (IGNOU), New Delhi*
[2]*Professor, School of Education, Indira Gandhi National Open University (IGNOU), New Delhi*

***Abstract*—The National Initiative for School Heads' and Teachers' Holistic Advancement (NISHTHA) represent a cornerstone capacity-building initiative under India's National Education Policy (NEP) 2020. Launched in its third iteration as NISHTHA 3.0 - Foundational Literacy and Numeracy (FLN), the program specifically targets the critical learning stage from Early Childhood Care and Education (ECCE) to Grade V. This conceptual paper synthesizes insights from empirical research, policy documents, and training modules to construct a comprehensive framework for understanding the implementation of NISHTHA 3.0 in the Indian context. It explores the program's alignment with the NIPUN Bharat Mission, its delivery through the DIKSHA digital infrastructure, and its intended impact on pedagogical transformation. Drawing from studies like Kanvaria and Dubey's (2022) examination of teacher experiences and the detailed NISHTHA 2.0 leadership modules, this paper analyzes the conceptual underpinnings, implementation strategies, potential challenges, and measurable outcomes of NISHTHA 3.0. It argues that successful implementation hinges on a synergistic blend of technological integration, context-sensitive pedagogical shifts, continuous professional development (CPD), and empowered school leadership. The paper concludes with recommendations for policymakers and practitioners to optimize the program's reach and efficacy in achieving universal foundational learning.**

***Index Terms*— NISHTHA 3.0, Foundational Literacy and Numeracy (FLN), DIKSHA, Continuous Professional Development (CPD), NEP 2020, Teacher Training, Digital Pedagogy, India.**

I. INTRODUCTION

The Indian education system stands at a pivotal juncture, guided by the transformative vision of the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020. A central pillar of this transformation is the unequivocal focus on achieving universal Foundational Literacy and Numeracy (FLN) by Grade 3, recognizing it as an urgent and necessary prerequisite for all future schooling and lifelong learning (MHRD, 2020). To operationalize this vision, the government launched the National Initiative for School Heads' and Teachers' Holistic Advancement (NISHTHA), an integrated capacity-building program. Evolving through phases—NISHTHA 1.0 for elementary grades, NISHTHA 2.0 for secondary levels—the program culminated in NISHTHA 3.0, specifically dedicated to FLN (Kalyani, 2024).

NISHTHA 3.0 is not merely a training program; it is a strategic intervention designed to cascade pedagogical change through the massive government school ecosystem. It is delivered via the national digital infrastructure for education, the DIKSHA (Digital Infrastructure for Knowledge Sharing) portal, making it one of the world's largest digital teacher professional development initiatives (NCERT, 2021). This paper seeks to conceptualize the multi-layered implementation of NISHTHA 3.0 in India. It moves beyond a mere description of the program to analyze its theoretical foundations, the mechanisms of its rollout, the experiences of its primary stakeholders (teachers and school heads), and the systemic enablers and barriers it encounters.

The analysis is informed by a synthesis of available literature and primary documents. Kanvaria and Dubey's (2022) empirical study on teacher perceptions of DIKSHA and NISHTHA provides ground-level insights into the CPD experience, highlighting preferences, engagement patterns, and

areas for improvement. The detailed NISHTHA 2.0 School Leadership module (Chugh et al., n.d.) offers a blueprint for the leadership and pedagogical concepts being promoted, which are equally relevant to the FLN-focused NISHTHA 3.0. Kalyani's (2024) overview establishes the program's scope and objectives. Together, these sources allow for a holistic conceptualization of how policy (NEP 2020/NIPUN Bharat) translates into platform design (DIKSHA), which delivers curated content (NISHTHA 3.0 modules) to empower agents of change (teachers and school leaders), ultimately aiming to impact classroom practice and student learning outcomes.

II. THEORETICAL AND POLICY FRAMEWORK: THE BEDROCK OF NISHTHA 3.0

A. THE IMPERATIVE OF FOUNDATIONAL LEARNING

The conceptual urgency of NISHTHA 3.0 stems from decades of research underscoring the catastrophic consequences of learning deficits in early grades. The Annual Status of Education Report (ASER) has consistently highlighted that a significant proportion of children in Grade 5 lack Grade 2-level reading and arithmetic skills. NEP 2020 identifies this crisis as the "highest priority" and calls for a "national mission" to address it. FLN is conceptualized not as mere mechanical skill acquisition but as the development of capacities to "read with meaning, and with a sense of numbers, to understand the world around them" (MHRD, 2020, p. 7). This aligns with a constructivist view of learning, where children actively build understanding through engagement, exploration, and language-rich environments.

B. NEP 2020 AND THE TEACHER AS A FACILITATOR

NEP 2020 catalyzes a paradigm shift in the teacher's role, from a "knowledge transmitter" to a "facilitator of learning" (MHRD, 2020). This shift is central to NISHTHA's design. As noted in the literature, the traditional Indian classroom often positioned the teacher as the central, authoritative figure (Kanvaria & Dubey, 2022). NISHTHA 3.0 must, therefore, facilitate a profound professional identity transformation. It aims to equip teachers with strategies for activity-based, competency-driven, and child-centric pedagogy that moves away from rote memorization. The policy mandates 50 hours of annual CPD for all teachers, institutionalizing the concept of lifelong learning for educators themselves—a principle at the heart of the Leadership for Learning (LfL) framework detailed in the NISHTHA leadership modules (Chugh et al., n.d., p. 23).

C. THE NIPUN BHARAT MISSION

NISHTHA 3.0 is the primary capacity-building arm of the NIPUN (National Initiative for Proficiency in Reading with Understanding and Numeracy) Bharat Mission. The mission provides the operational framework with specific Lakshyas (targets) for learning outcomes. NISHTHA 3.0's 12-module curriculum is designed to directly enable teachers to help children achieve these Lakshyas. This creates a direct line of sight from national policy (NEP) to implementation strategy (NIPUN) to teacher capability (NISHTHA).

D. TECHNOLOGY AS AN ENABLER: THE DIKSHA ECOSYSTEM

The integration of technology in teacher education is a bidirectional relationship, as highlighted by Kanvaria and Dubey (2022). NEP 2020 proposes the National Educational Technology Forum (NETF) to guide this integration. DIKSHA embodies this vision, serving as a "one nation, one digital platform" for hosting curriculum-linked resources, training modules, and assessment tools. For NISHTHA 3.0, DIKSHA is not just a delivery channel but a pedagogical model—demonstrating how technology can enable personalized, self-paced, and accessible professional learning. It allows for the scaling of standardized content (videos, transcripts, activities, quizzes) while offering flexibility in time and place of learning.

III. CONCEPTUALIZING THE NISHTHA 3.0 IMPLEMENTATION ARCHITECTURE

The implementation of NISHTHA 3.0 can be conceptualized as a multi-tiered, recursive system involving content, capacity, delivery, and context.

A. CONTENT LAYER: THE 12-MODULE FLN CURRICULUM

The core of NISHTHA 3.0 is its meticulously designed content. The 12 generic modules cover a holistic range of topics essential for FLN, including:

- Understanding FLN and its significance.
- Cognitive development in early years.
- Language and literacy development.
- Mathematical thinking and numeracy.
- Integrating art, sports, and ICT in FLN.
- School leadership for FLN (connecting to the broader leadership framework).
- Inclusive education and addressing learning disabilities.
- Personal-social qualities and creating a safe, stimulating environment.

These modules are activity-based, moving beyond theoretical discourse to provide practical classroom strategies, videos of best practices, and self-reflection activities for teachers. This design aligns with the call for making teacher training more engaging and applicable, as suggested by teachers in Kanvaria and Dubey's (2022) study, who requested shorter, more varied, and interactive content.

B. CAPACITY LAYER: THE CASCADE MODEL AND HUMAN RESOURCE

NISHTHA employs a cascade model for nationwide reach:

1. National Resource Persons (NRPs): Experts from NCERT, NIEPA, and other premier institutions train the first level.
2. Key Resource Persons (KRPs) & State Resource Persons (SRPs): These state-level master trainers are trained by NRPs.
3. Teachers and School Heads: Finally, KRPs/SRPs conduct the training for the end beneficiaries—teachers of grades up to V, and school principals.

This model, while efficient for scale, presents challenges in maintaining fidelity of message and quality at the grassroots level. The effectiveness of NISHTHA 3.0 ultimately depends on the skill and motivation of these human intermediaries.

C. DELIVERY LAYER: THE DIKSHA PLATFORM AND BLENDED MODELS

Delivery is primarily digital and self-paced via DIKSHA. Teachers enroll in monthly courses, consume video and text content, complete activities, and take an online assessment. A score of 70% or above grants a digital certificate. This mode offers advantages of scale, accessibility, and standardization. However, Kanvaria and Dubey's (2022) findings reveal a nuanced picture: while 78% of surveyed teachers reported a 'good' experience on DIKSHA, about 55% still preferred offline training. Reasons included the value of in-person interaction, real-time doubt resolution, and hands-on workshop experiences—elements that are harder to replicate online. This suggests that an optimal implementation model for NISHTHA 3.0 might be a blended one, using DIKSHA for core content delivery supplemented by periodic in-person cluster-level meetings for discussion, practice, and mentorship, as envisioned in the School Complex concept of NEP 2020 (Chugh et al., n.d., p. 18).

IV. ANALYZING POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND CHALLENGES

If implemented effectively, NISHTHA 3.0 has the potential for transformative impact:

- ON TEACHERS:

Shift from rote-based instruction to competency-focused, play-based pedagogy. Enhanced understanding of child development and inclusive practices. Greater confidence in using technology for self-learning and teaching.

- ON SCHOOL LEADERSHIP:

Heads transformed into pedagogical leaders focused on FLN, fostering a whole-school culture where "every stakeholder is a lifelong learner" (Chugh et al., n.d., p. 23).

- ON STUDENTS:

Improved learning environments leading to better acquisition of reading comprehension and numeracy skills, as measured by the NIPUN Bharat Lakshyas. Development of holistic skills and a love for learning.

- ON THE SYSTEM:

Creation of a massive, searchable repository of FLN best practices on DIKSHA. Establishment of a structured, continuous CPD system for elementary teachers.

A. CRITICAL CHALLENGES

Conceptualizing implementation must also involve anticipating obstacles

1. The Digital Divide: As Kanvaria and Dubey (2022) note, lack of reliable internet access, devices, or digital literacy among teachers can exclude many from the online training, exacerbating inequities.
2. Transactional vs. Transformational Training: The risk of training becoming a "tick-box" exercise for certificate acquisition, rather than leading to deep pedagogical change. The monotony of format, as reported by some teachers, can reduce engagement.
3. Limited Processing and Implementation Time: Teachers reported a lack of time between tightly packed modules to absorb, practice, and integrate new methods into their teaching (Kanvaria & Dubey, 2022).
4. Sustainability of the Cascade Model: Dilution of content quality and motivational energy as training moves down the cascade. Variable capacity of KRPs/SRPs.
5. Assessment and Accountability: While end-of-module quizzes test knowledge recall, measuring the actual application of learning in the classroom and its impact on student outcomes is more complex and resource-intensive.
6. Motivational Factors: The study by Kanvaria and Dubey (2022) suggests a need for both intrinsic and extrinsic motivators. Beyond the certificate, recognition, career progression linkages, or non-monetary incentives could enhance engagement.

V. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR OPTIMIZING IMPLEMENTATION

Based on the conceptual analysis, the following recommendations are proposed:

1. Adopt a Phased Blended Learning Model: Use DIKSHA for core asynchronous learning, but mandate and fund regular (e.g., monthly) in-person meetings at the school cluster/complex level. These sessions, facilitated by SRPs or lead teachers, should focus on practical demonstrations, lesson planning, and collaborative problem-solving, addressing the "lack of processing time" issue.
2. Enrich DIKSHA Content and Pedagogy: Heed teacher feedback by diversifying content formats. Incorporate more interactive elements, micro-videos, animated case studies, and peer-shared classroom videos. Introduce elements of gamification and social learning within the platform.
3. Strengthen the Leadership for Learning Component: Integrate the core principles of the NISHTHA 2.0 leadership module specifically for FLN. Train school heads not just as program monitors but as academic supervisors who can conduct supportive classroom observations and lead PLCs focused on foundational learning challenges.
4. Build in Structured Mentorship: Create a formal peer-mentorship system within schools or clusters, pairing teachers experienced in FLN strategies with those newer to the concepts, fostering ongoing support beyond the training period.
5. Develop Robust Monitoring & Evaluation (M&E): Move beyond course completion rates. Develop simple, classroom-based tools for teachers and school heads to self-assess the application of NISHTHA strategies. Sample-based, third-party studies (like Kanvaria & Dubey, 2022) should be commissioned regularly to gather unbiased feedback on implementation hurdles and impact.
6. Address Motivation and Incentives: Explore systemic recognition for high-engagement teachers and schools. Link NISHTHA participation and demonstrated application to career advancement pathways or professional development credits in a more formalized manner.
7. Ensure Technological Equity: Provide targeted support for teachers in low-infrastructure areas through offline content packs (on tablets/SD cards), access points in schools, or community centers, ensuring the program's inclusive reach.

V. CONCLUSION

The implementation of NISHTHA 3.0 in India is a bold and necessary experiment in systemic educational reform. It represents a complex interplay

between a progressive policy mandate (NEP 2020/NIPUN Bharat), a scalable digital platform (DIKSHA), a competency-based curriculum (the 12 FLN modules), and the human potential of millions of teachers and school leaders. Conceptualizing its success requires viewing it not as a one-off training event but as a catalyst for creating a self-improving ecosystem.

This ecosystem is characterized by teachers who are reflective practitioners, engaged in continuous, joyful learning themselves; school heads who are pedagogical leaders fostering collaborative cultures; and a digital platform that serves as a living repository of shared knowledge. The challenges—digital divides, motivational gaps, and the sheer scale of transformation required—are significant. However, by adopting a responsive, blended, and supportive implementation strategy that values teacher voice, empowers school leadership, and relentlessly focuses on the ultimate goal of children's learning, NISHTHA 3.0 can move from a conceptual framework to a lived reality in India's classrooms. Its success will be a critical determinant of whether the foundational promise of NEP 2020—that every child learns how to learn—can be truly fulfilled

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We acknowledge the silent enablers of this work—the creators and maintainers of the digital infrastructure that allows for the seamless access to information and literature essential for modern research.

REFERENCES

[1] Chugh, S., Mythili, N., & Malik, C. S. (n.d.). NISHTHA 2.0 School Leadership: Concepts & Applications [Self Instructional Module]. National Centre for School Leadership, NIEPA.

[2] Gupta, A., & Fisher, D. (2011). Teacher-student interactions in a technology-supported science classroom environment in relation to selected learner outcomes: An Indian study. MIER Journal of Educational Studies, Trends & Practices, 1(1), 41-59.

[3] Kalyani, L. K. (2024). The Role of NISHTHA in Enhancing Pedagogical Practices: An Empirical Investigation. International Journal of Scientific Research in Modern Science and Technology, 3(1), 34-40.

[4] Kanvaria, V. K., & Dubey, V. (2022). DIKSHA, NISHTHA and CPD: Experiences and Perceptions of School Learning-Facilitators. Paper presented at the National Conference on NEP 2020: Towards Transforming Teacher Education, RIE, Bhubaneswar.

[5] Ministry of Human Resource Development (MHRD). (2020). National Education Policy 2020. Government of India.

[6] NCERT. (2021). NISHTHA online: Integrated teacher training for change. Ministry of Education, Government of India. Retrieved from https://itpd.ncert.gov.in

[7] Skenderi, L. (2017, May). Teachers' perceptions of technology use in the classroom [Conference paper]. 14th International Conference on the Power of Knowledge, Thessaloniki, Greece.

[8] Tunmibi, S., Aregbesola, A., Adejobi, P., & Ibrahim, O. (2015). Impact of e-learning and digitalization in primary and secondary schools. Journal of Education and Practice, 6(17), 53-58.