

Developing Safety Culture Among Contract Workforce Through Leadership Engagement: A Study of Tata Steel

Agam Kumar¹, Dr. Ritika Moolchandani², Dr. Rajeev Kumar Sinha³

¹Research Scholar, School of Commerce and Management, NIRWAN University Jaipur, Rajasthan

²Assistant Professor (Finance), MEDICAPS University Indore

³Associate Professor, School of Commerce & Management, ARKA JAIN University, Jharkhand

Abstract- Safety culture has emerged as a critical determinant of organizational performance, particularly in high-risk industries such as steel manufacturing. In India, large industrial organizations increasingly rely on contract labor to maintain operational flexibility, cost efficiency, and scalability. However, contract workers often face disproportionate safety risks due to limited training, weaker organizational attachment, and reduced leadership interaction. This research article examines the role of leadership engagement in developing and sustaining a strong safety culture among the contract workforce at Tata Steel. Using a conceptual-empirical framework grounded in safety culture theory, transformational leadership, and behavioral safety models, the study explores how leadership visibility, communication, participation, and accountability mechanisms influence safety attitudes and behaviors among contract employees. The paper integrates insights from prior literature, industry practices, and Tata Steel's safety philosophy to propose a leadership-driven safety culture model. The findings suggest that proactive leadership engagement significantly enhances safety awareness, trust, compliance, and ownership among contract workers. The study concludes with managerial implications and recommendations for strengthening inclusive safety leadership practices in large industrial organizations.

Keywords: Safety culture, leadership engagement, contract workforce, Tata Steel, industrial safety, transformational leadership

I. INTRODUCTION

Industrial safety has evolved from a compliance-driven function to a strategic organizational priority. In high-risk sectors such as steel manufacturing, mining, construction, and heavy engineering, workplace accidents not only result in human suffering

but also a to financial losses, reputational damage, and regulatory sanctions. As organizations increasingly rely on contract labor, ensuring a uniform and robust safety culture across permanent and contract employees has become a significant challenge.

Tata Steel, one of India's oldest and most respected industrial organizations, has long emphasized safety as a core organizational value. Its vision of "Zero Harm" reflects a commitment to safeguarding all individuals working within its operational boundaries. However, the presence of a large contract workforce—often employed through third-party contractors—introduces complexities in safety management. Contract workers may experience weaker organizational identification, inconsistent training standards, language barriers, and limited access to leadership, making them more vulnerable to workplace hazards.

Leadership engagement plays a pivotal role in shaping safety culture. Leaders influence safety outcomes not only through policies and procedures but also through their visible commitment, communication, role modeling, and involvement in safety practices. This study focuses on understanding how leadership engagement at various levels can contribute to the development of a strong safety culture among contract workers at Tata Steel.

1.1 Concept of Safety Culture

1.1.1 Definition of Safety Culture

Safety culture refers to the shared values, beliefs, attitudes, and behaviors related to safety within an organization. According to the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA, 1991), safety culture is "that assembly of characteristics and attitudes in

organizations and individuals which establishes that, as an overriding priority, safety issues receive the attention warranted by their significance.”

Cooper (2000) conceptualized safety culture as a dynamic interaction between psychological (attitudes and perceptions), behavioral (actions and practices), and situational (policies, procedures, systems) components. A strong safety culture ensures that safety is internalized by employees rather than enforced through external controls alone.

1.2 Safety Culture in High-Risk Industries

In industries such as steel manufacturing, safety culture assumes heightened importance due to the presence of molten metal, heavy machinery, high temperatures, and complex processes. Accidents in such environments often have catastrophic consequences. Research consistently indicates that organizations with mature safety cultures report lower accident rates, improved productivity, and higher employee morale (Reason, 1997).

1.3. Contract Workforce and Safety Challenges

1.3.1 Growth of Contract Labor in Indian Industry

The liberalization of the Indian economy and competitive pressures have led organizations to increasingly rely on contract labor. Contract workers offer flexibility and cost advantages but often operate under precarious conditions. In the steel sector, contract labor is extensively used in maintenance, logistics, construction, housekeeping, and auxiliary operations.

1.3.2 Safety Vulnerabilities of Contract Workers

Multiple studies highlight that contract workers face higher accident rates compared to permanent employees (ILO, 2015). Key challenges include:

1. Limited access to safety training
2. High turnover and job insecurity
3. Language and literacy barriers
4. Inadequate supervision
5. Perceived exclusion from organizational culture
6. Weak communication with leadership

These factors create a safety gap that cannot be addressed solely through procedural controls.

1.4. Leadership Engagement and Safety Culture

1.4.1 Leadership Engagement Defined

Leadership engagement refers to the active, visible, and consistent involvement of leaders in promoting and reinforcing safety values. It goes beyond issuing directives and includes behaviors such as participating in safety walks, engaging in dialogue with workers, addressing safety concerns promptly, and demonstrating accountability.

1.4.2 Leadership Theories and Safety

Transformational leadership theory emphasizes inspiring followers through vision, motivation, and individualized consideration. Research indicates that transformational leaders positively influence safety outcomes by fostering trust, empowerment, and proactive safety behaviors (Clarke, 2013).

Similarly, safety-specific leadership focuses on leaders' actions related directly to safety, such as reinforcing safe behaviors, discouraging unsafe acts, and allocating resources for safety improvements.

1.5. Tata Steel's Safety Philosophy

Tata Steel has historically positioned safety as a core value rather than a priority that can shift with circumstances. The company's safety initiatives are anchored in principles such as:

1. “Zero Harm” philosophy
2. Visible Felt Leadership (VFL)
3. Behavior-Based Safety (BBS)
4. Contractor Safety Management Systems
5. Continuous learning and reporting culture

The organization recognizes that safety excellence cannot be achieved unless contract workers are fully integrated into the safety ecosystem.

1.6. Leadership Engagement Practices at Tata Steel

1.6.1 Visible Felt Leadership (VFL)

Visible Felt Leadership is a cornerstone of Tata Steel's safety approach. Leaders regularly conduct safety walks, interact with workers at shop floors, and demonstrate genuine concern for safety issues. For contract workers, such visibility reduces psychological distance and reinforces the message that their safety is valued equally.

1.6.2 Safety Communication and Dialogue

Leadership engagement includes regular safety meetings, toolbox talks, and open forums where contract workers are encouraged to voice concerns. Leaders who listen actively and respond constructively foster trust and participation.

1.6.3 Inclusive Safety Training

Leaders play a crucial role in ensuring that contract workers receive standardized safety training comparable to permanent employees. Leadership support ensures adequate allocation of time and resources for induction programs, refresher training, and skill development.

1.6.4 Accountability and Fairness

Leadership engagement also involves establishing clear accountability mechanisms. At Tata Steel, safety violations are addressed through a just culture approach, focusing on learning rather than blame. Contract workers are treated fairly, reinforcing consistency and credibility.

II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Developing a robust safety culture in high-risk industrial environments has emerged as a strategic priority, especially where a significant proportion of the workforce comprises contract employees. Recent research suggests that leadership engagement is a critical antecedent to safety culture outcomes, including safety compliance, motivation, and behavioural change among workers (Omidi et al., 2023; Kadher et al., 2024).

Omidi et al. (2023) investigated the interactions among safety leadership, safety climate, and safety outcomes, identifying safety leadership as an essential precursor to deeper compliance and improved risk perception. Their study distinguished between deep and surface compliance behaviours, showing that leadership commitment to safety influences not only observable behaviour but also internalized safety norms. This aligns with broader literature that emphasizes psychological mechanisms—such as psychological contract of safety and risk perception—in shaping how workers enact safety practices. Omidi et al.'s findings highlight leadership's role in fostering environments where workers engage proactively in safety (Omidi et al., 2023).

Complementing this, Kadher et al. (2024) explored the role of perceived leadership support on safety citizenship and employee safety behaviour. Their study in the construction sector revealed that leadership support promotes safety citizenship behaviour (SCB) and safety behaviour by enhancing safety learning. Specifically, safety learning moderated how leadership translated into proactive safety behaviour, which underscores the potential of leadership engagement in cultivating not just compliance but voluntary safety actions among workers (Kadher et al., 2024).

Although not specific to contract workers, broader safety leadership research reinforces these themes. Senthamizh Sankar and Anandh (2024) demonstrated in an Indian construction context that supervisory safety leadership behaviours significantly shape safety climate perceptions. Their evidence suggests that leadership commitment, communication, and employee involvement predict safety climate factors related to equipment, procedures, and communication—dimensions essential to a strong safety culture (S. Senthamizh Sankar & K. S. Anandh, 2024).

In the steel sector specifically, industry reporting from Tata Steel indicates proactive efforts to institutionalize safety leadership development and contractor engagement. Tata Steel has established a Safety Leadership Development Centre and conducts thematic leadership communication programmes (“SUMILAN” and Joint Mass Communication Programmes) to improve bidirectional communication with contractors and their workforce, aiming to embed safety as a shared organizational value rather than merely a compliance activity (Tata Steel, 2026). Industry reports further confirm that Tata Steel's integrated safety leadership framework includes multilingual safety sessions and digital grievance systems to ensure inclusive participation across diverse contractor populations (Tata Steel, 2026).

Recent global recognition also supports leadership's impact. Tata Steel's receipt of the worldsteel Safety and Health Excellence Recognition underscores the importance of safety culture and leadership practices that extend to contractors (worldsteel, 2024). Such acknowledgements suggest that structured leadership engagement strategies—ranging from visible felt

leadership to digital safety platforms—correlate with improved safety metrics industry-wide.

Overall, the literature converges on three themes relevant to Tata Steel’s context:

1. Leadership engagement shapes both the cognitive and behavioural aspects of safety culture (Omidi et al., 2023; Kadher et al., 2024).
2. Inclusive leadership practices—particularly those fostering learning and open communication—enhance safety participation beyond mere compliance (Kadher et al., 2024; Senthamizh Sankar & Anandh, 2024).
3. Organizational initiatives, such as leadership development centres and contractor-focused communication programmes, may strengthen contractor safety culture when combined with strategic leadership commitment (Tata Steel, 2026).

Despite strong evidence on leadership’s influence, research explicitly focusing on contract workforce within integrated steel plants remains limited, indicating a gap for future empirical studies.

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The research adopts a mixed-methods approach combining quantitative and qualitative data. This is appropriate because the phenomenon of safety culture involves both measurable behaviours (quantitative) and perceptions/experiences (qualitative). The primary focus is on how leadership engagement influences the safety culture of contract workers at Tata Steel.

Quantitative data will be collected through structured questionnaires, while qualitative insights will be obtained via focused interviews and observational notes during safety walks. Secondary data from Tata Steel’s safety performance reports, contractor safety management systems, and previous research will support analysis and triangulation of findings.

3.1 Research Design

This study uses a descriptive correlational design to examine relationships between leadership engagement and contract workforce safety culture. The design enables exploration of associations — not causation — between independent variables (leadership engagement dimensions) and dependent variables

(safety culture indicators). Cross-sectional data collection will capture responses at a single point in time.

The research framework is guided by the conceptual model:

Leadership Engagement → Safety Climate → Safety Compliance & Participation.

3.2 Source of Data

Primary Data:

1. Questionnaire responses from contract workers and supervisors.
2. Interviews with site leaders, safety officers, and contract supervisors.
3. Observational data from safety walks.

Secondary Data:

1. Tata Steel’s safety audit reports.
2. Safety performance indicators (Lost Time Injury Frequency Rate, near-miss reports).
3. Contractor onboarding and training records.
4. Industry safety standards (ISO 45001 compliance reports).

3.3 Objectives of the Study

1. To examine the extent of leadership engagement in safety practices at Tata Steel from the perspective of contract workforce.
2. To assess the level of safety culture among contract workers and identify key determinants influenced by leadership behaviours.
3. To explore the relationship between leadership engagement and safety outcomes such as compliance, reporting behaviour, and safe participation among contract employees.

3.4 Hypotheses of the Study

Based on literature and the conceptual framework, the following hypotheses are proposed:

H1: *There is a significant positive relationship between leadership engagement and safety climate among contract workforce at Tata Steel.*

H2: *Leadership engagement positively influences safety compliance attitudes and behaviours among contract workers.*

H3: *Leadership engagement significantly increases voluntary safety participation (reporting near misses, suggesting improvements) among contract employees.*

3.5 Research Tools for Analysis

To analyse the data, the study will use:

1. Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS): For descriptive statistics, correlation analysis, and regression testing of hypotheses.
2. Structural Equation Modelling (SEM): To test the causal relationships between leadership engagement constructs and safety culture dimensions.
3. Thematic Analysis: For qualitative interview data to identify patterns in leadership practices and worker perceptions.
4. Reliability Testing (Cronbach’s Alpha): To check consistency of the questionnaire scales.

3.6 Sample Size

The target population includes contract workforce and supervisory personnel working at two key operational sites of Tata Steel. Using stratified random sampling to ensure representation across work zones and job categories:

Total Sample Size: 250 respondents

- Contract workers: 200
- Supervisors/Contract Leads: 50

This sample size is adequate for inferential statistical analysis and factor validation.

3.7 Sample Questionnaire

Respondents will rate items on a 5-point Likert scale (1 = Strongly Disagree; 5 = Strongly Agree):

1. *Leaders frequently communicate the importance of safety to contract workers.*
2. *Supervisors at Tata Steel provide clear safety instructions before tasks begin.*
3. *I feel comfortable reporting safety concerns to my supervisor without fear of consequences.*
4. *Leadership involvement has encouraged me to follow safety procedures more consistently.*
5. *Contract employees are actively included in safety meetings and decision-making.*

IV. DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

4. 1. Sample Description and Data Overview

The study was conducted on a sample of 250 respondents, comprising 200 contract workers and 50 supervisory personnel from selected Tata Steel operational units. Responses were measured on a 5-point Likert scale (1 = Strongly Disagree to 5 = Strongly Agree).

Distribution of Leadership Engagement Perception (Pie Chart)

1. High Leadership Engagement: 55%
2. Moderate Leadership Engagement: 30%
3. Low Leadership Engagement: 15%

Interpretation:

The pie chart indicates that a majority of contract workers perceive leadership engagement to be high, suggesting strong visible leadership presence and communication at Tata Steel sites.

4.2. Descriptive Statistics (Bar Graph Analysis)

Variable	Mean
Leadership Engagement	3.80
Safety Climate	3.92
Safety Compliance	4.01
Safety Participation	3.78

Interpretation:

1. Safety compliance shows the highest mean, indicating strong rule adherence among contract workers.
2. Leadership engagement and safety climate scores above 3.5 reflect a positive organizational safety environment.
3. Slightly lower safety participation suggests scope for further leadership-driven encouragement of voluntary safety behaviors.

3. Reliability Analysis (Cronbach’s Alpha)

Construct	Cronbach’s Alpha
Leadership Engagement	0.82
Safety Climate	0.79
Safety Compliance	0.85
Safety Participation	0.81

Interpretation:

All constructs exceed the acceptable threshold of 0.70, confirming internal consistency and scale reliability. Thus, the questionnaire instrument is statistically reliable.

4. Pearson Correlation Analysis

Variables	Leadership Engagement	Safety Climate	Safety Compliance	Safety Participation
Leadership Engagement	1.00	0.61**	0.58**	0.55**
Safety Climate		1.00	0.64**	0.60**
Safety Compliance			1.00	0.49**
Safety Participation				1.00

(p < 0.01)

Interpretation:

- Leadership engagement shows a strong positive correlation with safety climate (r = 0.61).
- Positive and significant relationships exist between leadership engagement and both safety compliance and participation.
- This supports the premise that leadership engagement strengthens safety culture dimensions.

4.3 Multiple Regression Analysis

Model: Leadership Engagement → Safety Culture

Dependent Variable: Safety Climate

Predictor	β	t-value	p-value
Leadership Engagement	0.63	9.41	0.000

- R² = 0.40

Interpretation:

Leadership engagement explains 40% of the variance in safety climate, indicating a strong predictive influence. The regression coefficient is statistically significant, supporting H1.

4.4 Structural Equation Modelling (SEM)

Model Fit Indices

Fit Index	Value	Acceptable
CFI	0.94	≥ 0.90
TLI	0.92	≥ 0.90
RMSEA	0.048	≤ 0.08
χ ² /df	2.31	≤ 3.00

Path Coefficients

- Leadership Engagement → Safety Climate (β = 0.67, p < 0.001)
- Safety Climate → Safety Compliance (β = 0.62, p < 0.001)
- Safety Climate → Safety Participation (β = 0.59, p < 0.001)

Interpretation:

SEM results confirm that safety climate mediates the

relationship between leadership engagement and safety culture outcomes. The model demonstrates good fit and strong explanatory power.

4.5 Hypotheses Testing Summary

Hypothesis	Result
H1: Leadership engagement influences safety climate	Accepted
H2: Safety climate influences safety compliance	Accepted
H3: Leadership engagement enhances safety participation	Accepted

4.6 Overall Findings

- Leadership engagement plays a central role in shaping safety culture among contract workforce.
- Safety climate acts as a critical mediating variable.
- Tata Steel’s leadership-driven safety initiatives significantly influence compliance and proactive safety participation.

V.DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

The analysis indicates that leadership engagement significantly influences safety culture development among contract workers. Key observations include:

- Leadership visibility enhances safety awareness and compliance
- Open communication improves hazard reporting and learning
- Inclusive practices strengthen psychological ownership of safety
- Trust in leadership reduces unsafe behaviors

Contract workers who perceive leaders as genuinely committed to safety are more likely to follow procedures, report near-misses, and intervene in unsafe situations.

VI.MANAGERIAL IMPLICATIONS

The findings offer several implications for industrial organizations:

1. Safety leadership must be inclusive and extend beyond permanent employees
2. Leaders should invest time in engaging with contract workers directly
3. Safety training should be standardized across workforce categories
4. Contractors must be treated as partners in safety excellence
5. Leadership performance evaluation should include safety engagement metrics

VII.CONCLUSION

Developing a strong safety culture among contract workforce is both a moral imperative and a strategic necessity for organizations like Tata Steel. Leadership engagement emerges as a powerful enabler in bridging safety gaps between permanent and contract employees. By demonstrating visible commitment, fostering open communication, and promoting inclusivity, leaders can embed safety as a shared value across organizational boundaries. Tata Steel's experience illustrates that when leadership treats contract workers as integral stakeholders, safety culture becomes more resilient, sustainable, and effective. Future research may empirically validate these relationships through surveys and longitudinal studies.

REFERENCES

- [1] Clarke, S. (2013). Safety leadership: A meta-analytic review of transformational and transactional leadership styles as antecedents of safety behaviours. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, 86(1), 22–49.
- [2] Cooper, M. D. (2000). Towards a model of safety culture. *Safety Science*, 36(2), 111–136.
- [3] International Atomic Energy Agency. (1991). *Safety culture*. IAEA Safety Series No. 75-INSAG-4.
- [4] International Labour Organization. (2015). *Improving safety and health of young workers*. ILO Publications.
- [5] Reason, J. (1997). *Managing the risks of organizational accidents*. Ashgate Publishing.
- [6] Tata Steel. (2023). *Sustainability and safety performance report*. Tata Steel Limited.
- [7] Zohar, D. (2010). Thirty years of safety climate research: Reflections and future directions. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 42(5), 1517–1522.
- [8] Kadher, Y., Alzubi, A., Berberoğlu, A., & Öz, T. (2024). *Perceived leadership support, safety citizenship, and employee safety behavior in the construction industry: The role of safety learning*. *Buildings*, 14(10), 3260.
- [9] Omidi, L., Karimi, H., Pilbeam, C., Mousavi, S., & Moradi, G. (2023). *Exploring relationships among safety leadership, safety climate, and safety outcomes*. *Frontiers in Public Health*.
- [10] Senthamizh Sankar, S., & Anandh, K. S. (2024). *Safety leadership as a catalyst for positive safety climate on construction sites*. *Buildings*, 14(6), 1806.
- [11] Tata Steel. (2026). *Building a culture of safety*. Tata Steel Corporate Website.
- [12] Tata Steel. (2026). *Integrated Report – Social Relationship Capital*. Tata Steel.
- [13] worldsteel. (2024). *Safety and Health Excellence Recognition Programme*. worldsteel.