A Comprehensive Survey on Wage Discrimination Analysis Using Decomposition and Meta- Analytical Approaches

Neha Sheetal Kodakandla[1], P Sushanth Chakravarthy[2], N Munindra[3]
[1,2,3]*Computer Science and Engineering, VNR Vignana Jyothi Institute of Engineering and Technology, Hyderabad-500090, Telangana, India*

Abstract- Wage discrimination Stayed again a persistent socio- economic issue across different demographic groups, Especially along racial and gender lines[1][2]. Over the decades, Researchers have proposed a value of econometric and sociological methods to describe, explain and interpret this wage differentials[2][5].
This survey paper gives a comprehensive review of classical and modern approaches wage discrimination Analyze, focus decomposition techniques, Structural and reduced models, meta- regression analysis, and task-specific frameworks[2][3][4]. Especially drawing eight Fundamental and influential research papers, In this survey, methodology, applications, empirical findings, And limits[3].
The paper More highlights to emerge research gaps And methodological challenges, Furnish instructions for future research in wage inequality Studies[4].

Keywords- Wage discrimination, Oaxaca- Blender Rot, income inequality, gender differences in reimburse, racial wage gap, Meta regression analysis, task specificity, Labor economics.

I. INTRODUCTION

Wage inequality across demographic groups Has been for a long time a central concern in labor economics And social science research[1][2]. Differences in income between men and women and between racial groups Consistently documented across countries and time periods[2].
While part of these wage gaps can be attributed differences Related to education, experience, occupation and other productivity factors[6][7], A rather unknown component often remains, usually interpreted as such discrimination[2][5].
Early empirical studies Tried to finish the sources of wage differentials Using regression frameworks[2][5]. Over time, these approaches Evolved into more refined decomposition techniques capable to separate wage gaps Explanation and unexplained components[2]. More recent work has spread the analytical scope By incorporating meta- analytic techniques and explanations based on job structure task specificity[3][4].
This survey Reviews these developments I an unified manner By using eight seminal papers as its empirical and theoretical basis.

II. METHODOLOGY

This survey Adopts a qualitative and comparative approach review methodology. Eight Mondays were reviewed research papers Systematically analyzed in relation to their objectives, data sources, econometric techniques, and key findings[2][3]. The methodologies I reviewed these studies can be broadly classified four categories: 1.Classical decomposition methods[2][5] 2.Structural and reduced- form econometric models[2] 3.Meta- regression and meta-analysis techniques[3] 4.Based on task specificity and job structure models[4] Each paper Checked to identify its contribution to wage discrimination analysis, Assumptions based on the method, and the robustness of its empirical results[3]. Emphasizes synthesis methodological evolution Instead of a copy of numerical results.

III. SURVEY OF CORE METHODOLOGICAL APPROACHES

III.1 Decomposition-Based Approaches

One Most of all influential methodologies I wage

discrimination research is the decomposition approach[2][5]. These methods rot observed wage differentials I components attributable Differences in properties(e. G education and experience) and differences in returns those characteristics[2].The classical two- component decomposition framework Allows researchers to quantify how much the wage gap is due endowment differences And what a reason coefficient differences[2]. An extension of this framework was introduced multi- component decompositions, Enables interaction effects between functions and returns[5]. These methods have been widely applied to ethnic and gender wage gaps By using large- scale census and labor survey data[1][2].

III.2 Structural and Reduced-Form Models

Structural models Extension decomposition analysis Obvious when modeling the wage determination process[2]. These models incorporate behavioral and institutional factors such as labor market segmentation, professional choice, and employer discrimination[2]. Reduced profile models, on the other hand, Produce an estimate wage equations without direct orders strong structural assumptions[2].

Comparative studies Illustrate this structural models Often described a larger share of wage differentials discrimination of reduced- form models[2]. However, they are needed stronger assumptions And richer datasets, to create them more complex To estimate and interpret.

III.3 Meta-Regression and Meta-Analysis

Expansive and sometimes given contradictory literature But wage discrimination, Meta- regression analysis(MRA) has similarly emerged a powerful tool to synthesize empirical findings[3]. MRA treatment published estimates of wage gaps Seam dependent variables And explains their variation By using study-level characteristics Appreciate data sources, model specifications, and researcher attributes[3].

Meta- analytical evidence Suggests that the message is done wage discrimination estimates are sensitive to model details, omission of key variables, and selection bias[3]. Importantly, these studies Disclosure a declining trend After estimation gender wage gaps over time, to emphasize the dynamic nature of labor market inequality[3].

III.4 Task-Specificity Models

Task- specificity models The challenge the traditional human capital framework By emphasizing the role of job characteristics instead of individual attributes[4][6]. According to this perspective, Salary is not decided only by him workers' skills But also the nature of the tasks They perform especially in terms of monitoring difficulty And firm- specific asset investments[4].

Empirical applications of task- specificity models Manifest lack of explanation for this job- task characteristics Leads to obscurity component of wage gaps[4]. Addition of work specificity is significantly reduced the unexplained component Often attributed discrimination[4].

IV. APPLICATIONS

The methodologies I reviewed this survey As shown, it has been widely used in a statistic of empirical and policy- oriented contexts their robustness and adaptability.

Analysis K racial wage gaps I the United States By using census and survey data[1][2] Decomposition techniques It has been widely used for analysis wage differentials between racial groups I the United States From employment large- scale census and labor survey datasets. These studies usually control for education, experience, occupation, region, and industry to separate wage differences From being born observable characteristics Because of them differential returns.

Empirical findings Demonstrate this interval permanently observable factors Explain a portion K the racial wage gap, A significant unknown component remains, often attributed to birth market discrimination. An important role has been played in highlighting such applications persistent racial inequality and inform civil rights debates and labor market reforms.

Examination of gender wage discrimination across different industries and occupations[2][5] Based on gender wage discrimination Industries are analyzed and occupational categories to understand sector-specific inequality. From a decomposition point of view, this is clear gender wage gaps It also varies significantly across sectors higher unexplained gaps Often observed in high- paying or male- dominated occupations. These studies Recommend occupational

segregation, Glass ceiling effects, etc differential career progression play a significant role In creating gender wage disparities.
By searching decomposition methods on the industry and occupation level, Scientists are able to identify structural patterns of inequality which are masked in aggregate analyses.

Cross-national comparisons of wage inequality [3][4]
Meta- regression and task- specificity frameworks has been activated comparative analysis of wage inequality Across countries. These applications Highlight how institutional differences–
e. G labor market regulations, Wage- setting mechanisms, and social welfare systems- implications the magnitude and composition of wage gaps.
Meta- analytical studies Total results from multiple countries to identify systematic trends, Like falling gender wage gaps I some economies And persistent disparities In others Task- specificity The models further illustrate that differences in job structures and employment relations It has a significant impact across countries wage outcomes beyond individual characteristics.

Policy evaluation related to equal pay legislation [2]
Decomposition And structural models has been widely used to estimate the effectiveness K equal pay and anti- discrimination legislation. For comparison wage gaps Before and after policy interventions, Researchers consider whether legal frameworks reduce the unexplained component of wage differentials. These studies often locate that when legislation contributes to narrowing wage gaps, Enforcement mechanisms and labor market structures come into execute a critical role By deciding actual outcomes.
Such applications provide empirical evidence Regarding policy makers the strengths and limitations K regulatory approaches to wage equality.
These applications Demonstrate collectively the versatility of decomposition And meta- analytical techniques In addressing complex labor market Questions in empirical, comparative and policy dimensions.

V. CHALLENGES AND LIMITATIONS

Despite methodological sophistication, wage discrimination research continues to face several important challenges.

Sensitivity to model specification and choice of reference group [2][5]
One Most of all significant limitations of decomposition methods is their sensitivity For model specifications. Results It depends the selection of control variables, functional form, and the choice of reference group in decomposition analysis. Different basic conditions can coordinate to significant increases different estimates Explanation and unexplained components of wage gaps.
This sensitivity Complicated cross- study comparisons And raises concerns about it the robustness of discrimination estimates.

Difficulty in measuring non-observable factors such as discrimination [2][3]
Discrimination It is inherently difficult to measure directly, as it represents behavior and institutional practices instead of observable characteristics. Can capture the unknown component of the wage gap discrimination, But it can also reflect factors linked to uncertain productivity, measurement errors, or variable variables. Seam a result, Interpretation the unexplained component clean as discrimination Can be misleading, requires careful and balanced results.

Limited availability of direct measures for task specificity [4]
Task- specificity models Demand detailed information But job characteristics such as difficulties in monitoring, asset specificity, and working conditions. However most labor market datasets lack direct measures of these dimensions. Scientists often rely on occupational proxies, which can only imperfectly capture the specificity of the task. This data limitation compulsion the empirical validation Task oriented explanations of wage inequality

Potential publication bias in meta-analytical studies [3]
Meta- regression Analysis may be affected publication bias, Seam studies reporting According to statistics significant discrimination effects More likely to be published. This bias Can estimate wage gaps And distort the results about it the prevalence and magnitude of discrimination. Though meta- regression attempts To correct such biases, complete elimination

It is difficult.
These challenges highlight the importance K careful model design, Transparent assumptions, etc cautious interpretation K empirical findings.

VI. RESEARCH GAPS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

The survey identifies several areas where future research can significantly advance the understanding of wage discrimination.
Need for datasets with direct measures of job-task characteristics [4]
Future research will greatly benefit from datasets that include direct indicators of task characteristics, such as monitoring requirements, organization- specific expertise and contract structures. More than such numbers shall be allowed precise testing of task-specificity models And reduce addiction indirect occupational proxies.

Integration of dynamic labor market models with decomposition techniques [2][3]
For the most portion existing studies Rely on a static model that capture wage gaps on a single point in time. Integrated dynamic labor market models will allow researchers to analyze how wage discrimination Development over the life cycle, For accounting career interruptions, promotions, and job mobility. This will provide integration deeper insights I the long- term mechanisms driving wage inequality.

Expansion of meta-regression frameworks to include non-linear effects [3]
Current meta- regression Studies often assume linear relationships between study characteristics And estimated wage gaps. Extension these frameworks To include non- linear effects and interaction terms. Could have been better the explanatory power K meta-analytical models And better capture complex empirical patterns.

Greater focus on intersectional wage inequality (e.g., gender and race combined) [1][2]
Most wage discrimination studies Analyze gender and race separately, ignore their combined effects. An intersectional approach will allow researchers to examine how overlapping social identities shape wage outcomes, Revealing inconsistencies hidden in univariate analyses.
Address these research gaps Will increase both the explanatory depth and policy relevance of future wage discrimination studies.

VII. CONCLUSION

This survey offered a comprehensive review of wage discrimination analysis Using decompositional, structural, meta- analytic and task- specific approaches[2][3][4]. By synthesizing insights from eight influential studies, The paper is highlighted the evolution of methodologies And their implications to understanding wage inequality[2].
While not single approach Presentation a complete explanation, combination these frameworks Gives a comprehensive and more nuanced understanding of wage discrimination[3][4]. Future research should be prioritized data quality, Methodological integration, and policy- based analysis[2].

REFERENCES

[1] https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Michael-Ransom/publication/279711710_Calculation_of_Approximate_Variances_for_Wage_Decomposition_Differentials/links/5ab272afaca2721710019abd/Calculation-of-Approximate-Variances- for-Wage-Decomposition-Differentials.pdf
[2] https://cpi.stanford.edu/_media/pdf/Reference%20Media/Oaxaca_1973_Discrimination%20and%20Prejudice.pdf
[3] https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Eric-S-Lin-2/publication/4885046_On_the_standard_errors_of_Oaxaca-type_decompositions_for_inter-industry_gender_wage_differentials/links/54b4bb840cf28ebe92e4823c/On-the-standard- errors-of-Oaxaca-type-decompositions-for-inter-industry-gender-wage-differentials.pdf
[4] http://www.asasrms.org/Proceedings/y1971/Components%20Of%20Negro-White%20Income%20Differences.pdf
[5] https://www.econstor.eu/bitstream/10419/73202/1/wp0311.pdf
[6] https://www.dol.gov/sites/dolgov/files/OALJ/PUBLIC/FOIA/Frequently_Requested_Records/Oracle_2017_OFC_00006/Oracle_2017OFC00006_DOC_590.pdf
[7] https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Javier-

Polavieja/publication/249285610_Task_Specificity_and_the_Gender_Wage_Gap_Theoretical_Considerations_and_Empirical_Analysis_of_the_Spanish_Survey_on_Wage_Structure/links/564b459408aeab8ed5e73800/Task-Specificity-and-the-Gender-Wage-Gap-Theoretical-Considerations-and-Empirical-Analysis-of-the-Spanish-Survey-on-Wage-Structure.pdf

[8] https://home.cerge-ei.cz/munich/ecm04/in/blinder.pdf