To study the Soxhlet extraction technique and its application in the extraction of phytochemicals from Arjuna bark (Terminalia arjuna)

Saraswati Purohit[1], Apurva Gore[1], Janhavi Sarvaiya[1], Shalaka Pathare[1], Ashmi Kuriakose[1]
Nayana Borole[2], Dr. Rajashree Saoji[3], Dr. Vibha Kapoor[4]
[1]*Students of MSc. Beauty Cosmetology, Department of Beauty Cosmetology, School of Design, Sandip University, Nashik, India*
[2]*Associate Professor, Department of Beauty Cosmetology, School of Design, Sandip University, Nashik, India*
[3]*HOD Beauty Cosmetology, School of Design, Sandip University, Nashik, India*
[4]*Dean, School of Design, Sandip University, Nashik, India*

Abstract- The Soxhlet apparatus is one of the most universally used standard techniques for the extraction of phytochemicals from solid matrix. Ever Since its initiation in the late nineteenth century, Soxhlet extraction has stayed a reference method in analytical chemistry, pharmaceutical sciences and natural product study due to its modesty, authenticity, and duplicability. This review offers a comprehensive panorama of the Soxhlet apparatus, involving its principle of operation, construction, working mechanism, and frequently used solvents. The application of Soxhlet extraction in various domains such as phytochemical analysis, cosmetic and pharmaceutical formulation is reviewed. Furthermore, the benefits of the technique such as thorough extraction and standardized methodology are crucially assessed beside its limitations, containing long extraction times, high solvent intake, and thermal aging of thermal sensitive mixtures. Current amendments and improvements, such as automated Soxhlet systems and comparisons with modern extraction techniques like Soxhlet assisted extraction, ultrasound assisted extraction, and supercritical fluid extraction, are also underlined. The review purpose to present Soxhlet extraction as a fundamental technique during emphasizing its significance and versatility in modern research and industrial applications.

Keywords: Soxhlet extraction, Ultrasound assisted extraction, Supercritical fluid extraction, analytical, pharmaceutical.

I. INTRODUCTION

The main sources of bioactive compounds are natural herbs and plant parts; the extraction process is still essential for their analysis and use in pharmaceuticals, nutraceuticals, and cosmetics. Extraction techniques have a significant impact on the biological efficacy, composition, and yield of target compounds from complex solid matrices; solid-liquid extraction is the most dependable because of its versatility and fundamental role in natural product research.(1) Through diffusion and partitioning into appropriate solvents, this basic procedure isolates soluble components alkaloids, flavonoids, tannins, and other pharmacologically/cosmetically significant secondary metabolites improving formulation potency through concentrated actives. Through continuous solvent cycling (distillation, percolation, and siphoning). (2) Franz von Soxhlet's Soxhlet extractor, created in 1879, operationalized these ideas and achieved exhaustive recovery that overcame the saturation limitations of manual methods. While operating under reflux conditions typically 6-48 hours that maximize analyte solubility at elevated temperatures.
The Soxhlet method became a standard because it gives consistent results has a high recovery rate and is approved by pharmacopeias like the Chinese Pharmacopoeia and AOAC protocols for things like lipids, PAHs and herbals. The Soxhlet method is also

very flexible. Can be used with different types of samples, such as powders with a particle size of 0.5 to 5 mm and different solvents like hexane for lipids, methanol or ethanol for polar phenolics and dichloromethane for moderately polar triterpenoids. [5] This makes the Soxhlet method very useful.

The Soxhlet method also works well at temperatures and can be automated, which means people do not have to watch it all the time and this reduces variability. The Soxhlet method is also considered as a standard to compare and validate other extraction methods, like ultrasound and supercritical extraction, which is why the Soxhlet method is still used even though it takes longer. This method is still widely used because of all these things. And moderate solvent usage 5-10 m L / g sample. [7]

Soxhlet's optimized parameters thus make it the standard method for exhaustive extraction of cardioprotective phytochemicals from Terminalia arjuna bark, an esteemed Ayurvedic medicinal herb rich in flavonoids, and tannins, where it has always produced better yields (12-18% w/w) of therapeutically active triterpenoids compared to other methods, thus forming the basis of this review. The bark originally found in Indian subcontinents has triterpenoids, which include arjunolic acid and arjungenin and flavonoids which include quercetin and luteolin and tannins, best suitable for pharmaceutic and cosmetic formulations. [3]

The Soxhlet extraction process was found to be the standardized procedure for the isolation of these phytochemicals from Arjuna bark because of its ability to exhaustively extract lipophilic and moderately polar compounds using a continuous hot solvent extraction process, which resulted in a higher yield of arjunolic acid and tannins than maceration and reflux extraction methods. [4] This review compiles the extraction optimizations, yield comparisons using different solvents, and structure-activity relationships of Arjuna bark phytochemicals extracted using the Soxhlet process. [6]

II. PRINCIPLE

Soxhlet extraction is a continuous solid-liquid extraction technique widely employed for the exhausted isolation of soluble phytochemicals from solid plant material using a volatile organic solvent under reflux conditions. [8] The method operates on the principal of repeated washing of the solid material with freshly distilled hot solvent, it enhances solubility, diffusion, and mass transfer of the bioactive compound into the solvent phase. [9] In this process, finely powdered plant material is placed in a porous bag as a thimble, in the Soxhlet chamber. The selected hydroalcoholic solvent was headed in a round bottom flask to its boiling point, generating vapors that travel through the distillation arm and condense in the condenser, the condensed solvent percolates through the plant material, dissolving the soluble constituents. [9,10] Ones the solvent level reaches the siphon tube, the extract solvent is automatically transferred back into the round bottom flask. [9] This cycle of vaporization, condensation, extraction and siphoning is repeated multiple times until exhausted extraction is achieved, after which the solvent is removed to obtain a concentrated extract. After that the extract was kept for the evaporation on a hot plate and the extract was collected and will be used in any cosmetic formulation.

III. COMPONENTS AND WORKING

The Soxhlet apparatus is composed of

1. Thimble
2. Round bottom flask
3. siphon
4. Condenser
6. Heat source

The finely divided plant material is placed in Thimble made from filter paper. The round bottom flask is filled with required solvent. The solvent is heated to its boiling point and turns into vapor, passes through sample Thimble, condenses in condenser and drips back allowing phytochemicals to be extracted. When liquid reaches overflow level in the Thimble, it is siphoned back into flask, carrying Extracted substances back into flask carrying extracted substance into main solution. This process is repeated until complete extraction is achieved. The final extract may be concentrated by evaporation. [11,12]

Fig. 1 Soxhlet Apparatus

Fig. 2 Arjuna extract

IV. SOLVENT SELECTION CRITERIA

The most important element affecting Soxhlet extraction efficiency is always thought to be solvent choice. [13,14,15]

Numerous studies emphasize that the solubility of the target compound which is mostly determined by solvent polarity should steer solvent selection. [13,14]

Under solvent selection, the "like dissolves like" rule guides how non-polar solvents preferentially dissolve hydrophobic compounds, while polar solvents are more efficient for polar components. [13]

Research assessing solvent performance in Soxhlet extraction shows that no one solvent can effectively extract all compound groups, especially in complex matrices like plant materials or algal biomass where analytes have a broad polarity range. [13,14,15]

Experimental studies on Soxhlet extraction of algal lipids offer quantitative support for polarity-based choice of solvent. [16]

While solvents with moderate polarity such as ethanol show greater dissolving ability, extracting both polar and non-polar lipid components, non-polar solvents like hexane and petroleum ether have been proven to efficiently extract neutral lipids. [16]

Highly polar solvents like methanol, while successful in dissolving polar compounds, sometimes result in less selectivity as co-extraction of unwanted components like pigments takes place. [14]

Moreover, binary solvent systems mixing polar and non-polar solvents have been shown to boost extraction efficiency by widening the polarity range, therefore enhancing solubilization of chemically varied substances. [14]

Because of the approach's dependence on sustained reflux circumstances, boiling point is yet another crucial factor in Soxhlet solvent choice. [13]

During Soxhlet extraction, throughout the extraction period the sample is maintained at the boiling point of the solvent, which helps to boost diffusion and analyte dissolution. [13]

However, solvents with too high boiling points may expose specimens to extended thermal stress, therefore raising the deterioration risk of heat-sensitive compounds. [13,15]

Conversely, solvents with very low boiling points can evaporate rapidly, therefore leading to inefficient solvent cycling and possible solvent loss. [13]

Good Soxhlet solvents must have a suitable balance of boiling point, stability, and reflux behaviour so as to maximize extraction efficiency while minimizing analyte degradation. [13,15]

In Soxhlet extraction, solubility factors are intimately tied with both polarity and temperature. [13,14]

Though inadequate solvent choice can still cause poor extraction efficiency or excessive co-extraction of undesirable matrix components, continuous solvent replacement partially balances for limited solubility. [13,14]

Reviews of extraction methods repeatedly stress that selective and effective Soxhlet extraction requires wise solvent selection rather than reliance on conventional methods only. [13,15]

In recent years, environmental and safety issues related to Soxhlet extraction have become increasingly important. [11,15,16]

Effective but problematic with regard to toxicity, flammability, and disposal are classic Soxhlet solvents including hexane, petroleum ether, chloroform, and methylene chloride. [11]

Many reviews point out the rising demand for more ecologically friendly solvents like ethanol, which has reduced toxicity and better environmental compatibility while still providing acceptable extraction efficiency. [11,16]

At the same time, modern extraction methods like microwave-assisted, pressurized liquid, supercritical fluid extraction, and ultrasound-assisted extraction are increasingly investigated as alternatives to conventional Soxhlet extraction. [11,15,17]

Still, Soxhlet extraction remains a reference approach against which new technologies are evaluated, especially in solvent comparison research and validation tests. [13,15,17]

Overall, Soxhlet extraction is generally shown in the literature to be a reliable and thoroughly tested method whose effectiveness is quite reliant on criteria for solvent selection including polarity, solubility, boiling point, and environmental impact. [13,14,15]

V. FACTORS AFFECTING EXTRACTION EFFICIENCY

The efficiency of extraction using the Soxhlet apparatus is affected by a number of interrelated factors, which include the properties of the solvent, the nature of the sample, and the operational conditions. [18,19]

The choice of solvent is an important consideration, as the polarity, boiling point, and solubilizing power of the solvent determine the dissolution and diffusion of the analytes of interest from the solid sample matrix. [18]

The particle size of the sample is a significant factor in the efficiency of extraction, with smaller particle sizes providing a larger surface area for contact with the solvent, thus enhancing mass transfer, although sizes that are too small may restrict the passage of the solvent. [19]

The ratio of solid to solvent also influences the extent of extraction, with an optimal ratio providing a means for effective solute extraction without unnecessary dilution. [18]

The time factor and the number of reflux cycles are important parameters, as multiple cycles provide a means for continuous washing of the sample with freshly distilled solvent, thus enhancing extraction efficiency. [18,19]

Temperature, which is solvent boiling point dependent, is a factor that increases the solubility and diffusion rates of analytes but can cause decomposition of thermolabile compounds if not properly handled. [19]

Moisture content and matrix structure can also affect the diffusion of the solvent, hence affecting the extraction efficiency. [18]

VI. APPLICATIONS

In pharmaceutical, food, environmental, and natural product studies, Soxhlet extraction has been widely used to separate and quantify organic molecules from solid matrices. [13,14,15]

Particularly for lipids, fats, oils, and non-volatile bioactive molecules, several reviews point to Soxhlet extraction as a reference approach for exhaustive extraction. [14,16]

Owing to its capacity to recover almost all non-polar components, Soxhlet extraction has traditionally been used for estimating total oil content in seeds, plant materials, and biological samples in lipid and fatty acid analysis. [16]

Recent research and reviews also show the application of Soxhlet extraction in biomass and algal studies, whereby it is employed to assess solvent efficiency and lipid recovery. [16,17]

Soxhlet extraction in these cases serves as a baseline tool against which more recent extraction methods are examined, underlining its ongoing value in technique validation and solvent comparison research. [15,17]

Advantages:

One of the primary advantages of Soxhlet extraction consistently reported in the literature is its ability to provide exhaustive extraction. [13,14,15]

The constant reflux and siphoning mechanism ensure frequent exposure of the solid matrix to fresh solvent, hence maintaining a high concentration gradient and maximizing mass transport. (13)

This makes Soxhlet extraction particularly beneficial for compounds with low solubility in just one solvent contact. (13,14)

Another benefit is methodological simplicity and repeatability. (13,15)

The Soxhlet device requires basic laboratory glassware and is easy to operate, promoting good reproducibility across laboratories. (13,15)

This explains why Soxhlet extraction is frequently used as a benchmark or standard technique in comparative and analytical research. (14,15,17)

Soxhlet extraction also offers broad solvent compatibility, allowing the use of both polar solvents such as ethanol and methanol and non-polar solvents such as hexane and petroleum ether. (13,14)

Continuous recycling of solvent within the apparatus further reduces the need for repeated manual solvent additions during extraction. (13)

Disadvantages:

Although effective, Soxhlet extraction has several limitations frequently reported in the literature. (11,15,16)

The long extraction time ranging from a few hours to more than a day depending on the matrix and solvent used is a major drawback, leading to low analytical throughput and increased energy consumption. (11,15)

High solvent consumption is another significant disadvantage, as many solvents used in Soxhlet extraction are volatile, flammable, or toxic. (11,15,16)

Thermal degradation of heat-sensitive compounds is also reported, since samples are exposed to elevated temperatures for prolonged periods during continuous reflux. (13,15)

This may result in loss of thermolabile bioactive compounds and reduced extract selectivity, often accompanied by co-extraction of undesirable matrix components. (13,14,15)

Finally, several studies note the low sample throughput and limited scalability of traditional Soxhlet extraction. (15,17)

These operational limitations have contributed to the development of modified Soxhlet systems and the increasing adoption of ultrasound-assisted, microwave-assisted, and supercritical fluid extraction methods. (11,15)

VII. CONCLUSION

The Soxhlet apparatus stays one of the most authentic and broadly used techniques for the extraction of phytochemicals from plant materials. Regardless of being a traditional method, its simplicity, reproducibility, and ability to obtain complete extraction is continue to make it appropriate in laboratory scale research. In this review, the structural components, operating principle, working mechanism, solvent selection criteria, and the advantages and limitations of Soxhlet extraction have been addressed to deliver a comprehensive knowledge of the technique.

The efficient extraction of Terminalia arjuna bark using the Soxhlet apparatus emphasizes its efficiency in isolating phytoconstituents from hard and fibrous plant matrix. Appropriate selection of solvent and enhancement of extraction conditions play an essential role in maximizing yield and preserving the therapeutic capacity of the extracted compounds

Among the most genuine and widely used methods for the extraction of phytochemicals from plant materials, the Soxhlet device still is. Although it is a conventional approach, its ease, repeatability, and capacity to get full extraction keep it suitable in lab scale research. The structural elements, operating principle, working method, solvent selection criteria, and benefits and drawbacks of Soxhlet extraction have been covered in this review to provide a thorough grasp of the method. The Soxhlet device's effective extraction of Terminalia arjuna bark highlights its ability to separate phytoconstituents from tough and fibrous plant matrix. Maximizing yield and maintaining the therapeutic potential of the extracted chemicals rely mostly on correct selection of solvent and optimization of extraction circumstances

These imperfections point out the need of deliberate approach selection depending on the kind of the plant material and the desired use of the extract. Usually, Soxhlet extraction still helps as a basic tool in phytochemical, pharmaceutical, and cosmetic research, therefore providing a robust theoretical foundation for the development and standardization of plant-based formulations.

REFERENCES

[1] Hlatshwayo, S., Thembane, N., Krishna, S. B. N., Gqaleni, N., & Ngcobo, M. (2025). Extraction and Processing of Bioactive Phytoconstituents from Widely Used South African Medicinal Plants for the Preparation of Effective Traditional Herbal Medicine Products: A Narrative Review. Plants (Basel, Switzerland), 14(2), 206.

[2] Sun, S., Yu, Y., Jo, Y., Han, J. H., Xue, Y., Cho, M., Bae, S. J., Ryu, D., Park, W., Ha, K. T., & Zhuang, S. (2025). Impact of extraction techniques on phytochemical composition and bioactivity of natural product mixtures. Frontiers in pharmacology, 16, 1615338.

[3] Nadeem, M. A., & Abrar, R. (2026). Pharmacological and Phytochemical Insights into Methanol and Hexane Bark Extracts of Terminalia arjuna: A Comprehensive Review. Global Research Journal of Natural Science and Technology.

[4] Farwick, M., Köhler, T., Schild, J., Mentel, M., Maczkiewitz, U., Pagani, V., ... & Gauglitz, G. G. (2014). Pentacyclic triterpenes from Terminalia arjuna show multiple benefits on aged and dry skin. Skin pharmacology and physiology, 27(2), 71-81.

[5] Olunusi, S. O., & Ramli, N. H. (2025). Soxhlet Solvent Extraction of Phytoconstituents. In Essential Oil Extraction, Scalability Concerns, and Process Intensification (pp. 40-74). CRC Press.

[6] Muddapur, U. M., Illanad, G., Naik, K., Dawoud, A., Kamat, K., Mudgal, S., ... & Iqubal, S. S. (2023). Isolation Of Bioactive Compounds from Terminalia Arjuna Leaves and Its Applications. Journal of Pharmaceutical Negative Results, 14.

[7] Torres-Rodriguez, A., Darvishzadeh, R., Skidmore, A. K., Fränzel-Luiten, E., Knaken, B., & Schuur, B. (2024). High-throughput Soxhlet extraction method applied for analysis of leaf lignocellulose and non-structural substances. MethodsX, 12, 102644.

[8] Hussain, Ghulam. (2023). Soxhlet Extraction principal, working & Usage.

[9] Kasiramar, Gopalasatheeskumar & K, Gopalasatheeskumar. (2019). Significant Role of Soxhlet Extraction Process in Phytochemical Research. 7. 43-47.

[10] S, Anusiyasri & D, Hemavathi & Kumar, Sasi & Kumar T, Sampath. (2025). Comparative Evaluation of Maceration and Soxhlet Extraction Techniques using Acetone and Aqueous Acetone: A Comprehensive Study on the Extractive Yield of Azadirachta Indica and Terminalia Chebula. World Journal of Pharmaceutical Research. 14. 771-784.

[11] Bitwell, C., Indra, S. S., Luke, C., & Kakoma, M. K. (2023). A review of modern and conventional extraction techniques and their applications for extracting phytochemicals from plants. Scientific African, 19.

[12] Martins, R., Barbosa, A., Advinha, B., Sales, H., Pontes, R., & Nunes, J. (2023). Green Extraction Techniques of Bioactive Compounds: A State-of-the-Art Review. Processes, 11(8), 2255.

[13] Zhang, Qing-Wen & Lin, Li-Gen & Ye, Wen-Cai. (2018). Techniques for extraction and isolation of natural products: A comprehensive review. Chinese Medicine. 13. 10.1186/s13020-018-0177-x.

[14] Tzanova, M., Atanasov, V., Yaneva, Z., Ivanova, D., & Dinev, T. (2020). Selectivity of Current Extraction Techniques for Flavonoids from Plant Materials. Processes, 8(10), 1222.

[15] Zhang, M., Zhao, J., Dai, X., & Li, X. (2023). Extraction and Analysis of Chemical Compositions of Natural Products and Plants. Separations, 10(12), 598.

[16] Lima-Pereira, Y., de Souza, E. M. O., dos Reis, D. S., Barcellos-Silva, I. G. C., Miki, K. S. L., Veiga-Júnior, V. F., & Teixeira-Costa, B. E. (2025). Current Perspectives on the Extraction, Isolation, and Identification of Fats and Fatty Acids Using Conventional and Green Methods. Separations, 12(6), 160.

[17] Torres-Rodriguez, A., Darvishzadeh, R., Skidmore, A. K., Fränzel-Luiten, E., Knaken, B., & Schuur, B. (2024). High-throughput Soxhlet extraction method applied for analysis of leaf lignocellulose and non-structural substances. MethodsX, 12, 102644.

[18] De Castro, M. L., & García-Ayuso, L. (1998). Soxhlet extraction of solid materials: an outdated technique with a promising innovative future. Analytica Chimica Acta, 369(1–2), 1–10.

[19] Azmir, J., Zaidul, I., Rahman, M., Sharif, K., Mohamed, A., Sahena, F., Jahurul, M., Ghafoor,

K., Norulaini, N., & Omar, A. (2013). Techniques for extraction of bioactive compounds from plant materials: A review. Journal of Food Engineering, 117(4), 426–436.