

Cultivating Minds Under Empire: Western Education and the Rise of Assamese Intellectual Consciousness

Biswajit Adhikary

Assistant Professor and HOD, Tufanganj Mahavidyalaya, Cooch Behar, West Bengal, India

Abstract- This paper explores how Western education reshaped the intellectual fabric of nineteenth-century Assam under British rule. After the annexation of 1826, the region's learning environment gradually shifted from indigenous religious institutions to state-regulated schools and colleges inspired by English models. Guided by colonial policy and missionary zeal, this system became both an instrument of imperial governance and a space for local awakening. Institutions such as the Guwahati Seminary (1835) and Cotton College (1901) reflected the colonial administration's dual mission—to produce a class of loyal intermediaries and to expand the reach of modern knowledge. Yet, Assamese intellectuals such as Anandaram Dhekial Phukan and Gunabhiram Barua interpreted Western learning through vernacular lenses, transforming education into a means of self-assertion and cultural renewal. Drawing from theoretical perspectives offered by Gauri Viswanathan in *Masks of Conquest* and regional analyses by Jayeeta Sharma, Amalendu Guha, and Bandana Baruah, this study situates the evolution of Assam's education within the wider project of colonial modernity. It argues that while British education in Assam was conceived as a tool of subordination, it ultimately generated a distinct intelligentsia whose consciousness transcended imperial intention and paved the way for Assam's cultural and intellectual renaissance.

Keywords: Assam, Western Education, colonial, Intellectual History, Cultural transformation, Missionary, Assamese Identity.

I. INTRODUCTION

The story of colonial education in India reveals how the British Empire extended its influence not only through arms and administration but also through ideas. As Gauri Viswanathan points out in her influential study *Masks of Conquest*, English education in colonial India was not simply an act of cultural exchange. It functioned as a deliberate political strategy—an artful form of governance

through which the British sought to secure consent by spreading the moral ideals and literary values of Europe¹. Within the wider framework of the British imperial experiment, Assam offers a particularly revealing example of how education functioned on two levels at once. It served as a mechanism of control, designed to align local minds with colonial interests, yet it also became a catalyst for cultural self-expression. The founding of Western-style schools, the use of English and Bengali as languages of instruction, and the vigorous activity of Christian missionaries together reshaped Assamese society throughout the nineteenth century. Yet, in a striking irony, this very system of instruction—created to discipline and domesticate—awakened among Assamese intellectuals a new awareness, one that questioned subordination and sought to redefine identity through the very tools the empire had introduced.

II. EDUCATION AND EMPIRE: THE IDEOLOGICAL FRAMEWORK

By the early nineteenth century, the East India Company consolidated its political and economic dominance over many parts of India. The Charter Act of 1813 and Macaulay's Minute of 1835 marked a decisive shift from Orientalism to Anglicism in British educational policy. This transition, as Viswanathan observes, signified the transformation of English literature into a moral discourse that could reconcile the contradictions of conquest: “to humanize and govern simultaneously.”². Thus, education became a means of cultivating minds amenable to British values - discipline, rationality, and loyalty without recourse to overt coercion. In Gramscian terms, the British state sought hegemony not through force but through “intellectual and moral leadership,” implanting in the

colonized the very principles that justified their subjugation.

The annexation of Assam following the Treaty of Yandaboo in 1826 marked the beginning of a new political and cultural era. Initially annexed as part of the Bengal Presidency, Assam was treated as a peripheral frontier, an uncivilized territory requiring both economic exploitation and cultural improvement. Colonial administrators, led by David Scott and later Francis Jenkins, recognized the inadequacy of indigenous institutions that imparted traditional and religious instruction. To remedy this, Jenkins emphasized the creation of schools that would train a new cadre of clerks and assistants conversant in English³. The establishment of the Guwahati Government Seminary in 1835, the first English school in Assam, symbolized the beginning of this intellectual transformation⁴.

In the first half of the nineteenth century, British India underwent a decisive shift in educational philosophy. The debates between Orientalists and Anglicists culminated in Thomas Babington Macaulay's famous Minute of 1835, which endorsed English education as a means of producing a class of intermediaries "Indian in blood and colour, but English in tastes, in opinions, in morals, and in intellect." This utilitarian logic was articulated earlier by Charles Grant and William Bentinck, who linked education with empire, arguing that instruction in English would create both a loyal administrative cadre and a morally docile populace. Gauri Viswanathan observes that English literary study, born in the metropole as a humanizing discipline, acquired in India a new function: to instil obedience and reverence under the guise of moral cultivation⁵.

In Assam, this ideological framework found immediate application. The colonial state viewed education as a means of consolidating control over a region that was geographically remote and culturally distinct. Early administrators—most notably David Scott, appointed Agent to the Governor-General in the Northeast Frontier—were charged with the dual tasks of political stabilization and cultural reformation. Scott's initial approach combined pragmatism and paternalism. While he recognized the value of traditional institutions such as *pathshalas* and *tols*,

which imparted religious and moral instruction, he deemed them insufficient for the requirements of modern administration. The lack of numeracy, record-keeping skills, and secular instruction, in his view, rendered the Assamese populace "unfit for official employment"⁶.

Scott's successors, particularly Francis Jenkins, who served as Commissioner of Assam from 1834 to 1861, gave concrete shape to these early proposals. Jenkins believed that the "ignorance" of the Assamese people hindered revenue collection and governance. Thus, his educational reforms had a distinctly utilitarian purpose: to train a subordinate class of clerks, interpreters, and police officers who could function within colonial bureaucracy. This new pedagogy, as Arthur Mayhew later observed in *The Education of India*, was based on the "filtration theory"—the belief that enlightenment should first reach the upper and middle classes, who would then transmit it to the masses⁷.

III. EDUCATION AND THE MAKING OF MODERN ASSAM

The first tangible outcome of this policy was the establishment of the Guwahati Government Seminary in 1835, which was the earliest English school in Assam⁸. The institution, staffed by a European headmaster, represents a departure from the indigenous mode of instruction. English was introduced as a medium of higher learning, and the curriculum emphasized reading, writing, arithmetic, and basic moral instruction. The school sought to produce a limited number of literate youths who could serve in minor administrative positions.

Between 1840 and 1856, several other English schools opened in Sylhet, Sibsagar, and Guwahati, often under the supervision of district officers. However, these schools face several obstacles. The Assamese populace, accustomed to the religious and community-centred pedagogy of *pathshalas*, was initially resistant to Western education. Suspicions of colonial motives were widespread. Many feared, as the *General Report of Public Instruction in Assam (1881–82)* later recorded, that "the society will be polluted by imparting instruction to the children of the soil in English"⁹.

Nevertheless, a small number of Assamese elites, particularly those exposed to Calcutta, began to appreciate the material advantages of learning English. Among them was Anandaram Dhekial Phukan, who established an informal English school in his residence in the 1840s and emerged as a vocal advocate of Western education. His writings and translations exemplified the new synthesis of European rationalism and Assamese cultural pride. The Guwahati Seminary and similar institutions thus became the nuclei of nascent intelligentsia, bridging colonial bureaucracy and vernacular society.

The early decades of British rule also witnessed the growing involvement of Christian missionaries in education. The American Baptist Mission, led by Miles Bronson and Nathan Brown, became instrumental in spreading literacy and printing Assamese texts, most notably through the publication of *Orunodoi*, in 1846. Their contributions extended beyond evangelism; they became advocates for the Assamese language itself, opposing the imposition of Bengali as the official medium of instruction¹⁰. The language controversy that unfolded between 1836 and 1873 revealed the political dimension of education. The colonial decision to replace Assamese with Bengali reflected an attempt to administratively assimilate Assam into a larger Bengali orbit. However, Assamese intellectuals, such as Anandaram Dhekial Phukan and Gunabhiram Barua, both products of Western education, resisted this linguistic subjugation. Their writings, ranging from essays on rationalism to moral conduct, illustrate how colonial engendered a new class of vernacular modernizers who sought to reclaim cultural autonomy through tools introduced by the empire.

The establishment of Cotton College at Guwahati in 1901 represented the culmination of seventy years of colonial educational development. Conceived by the liberal British administrator Sir Henry Stedman Cotton and supported by Assamese leaders like Manik Chandra Baruah, the college embodied a dual purpose: to extend the empire's civilizing mission through higher learning and to provide the Assamese middle class with a centre for intellectual self-definition. As Bandana Baruah observes, "the birth of Cotton College marked the beginning of a new era in the history of modern education in Assam."¹¹ The

corridors of Cotton College produced not only clerks and teachers but poets, journalists, and reformers who would shape modern Assamese thought. Students such as Laxminath Bezbarua, Hemchandra Goswami, and Benudhar Rajkhowa later emerged as literary pioneers, while others became administrators who carried Assamese sensibilities into the colonial bureaucracy. By providing systematic exposure to Western liberal education within the local milieu, Cotton College mediated between Calcutta's metropolitan culture and Assam's regional aspirations. Amalendu Guha aptly notes that the institution "anchored the Assamese intelligentsia to a provincial centre while linking them ideologically to the pan-Indian nationalist mainstream"¹².

Despite its evangelical intent, missionary education inadvertently fostered an intellectual environment conducive to critical thought. The printing press at Sibsagar and the circulation of periodicals allowed the dissemination of secular and reformist ideas. As Anindita Ghosh observes in her study of early print cultures, vernacular presses in colonial India often became "arenas of contesting moralities" that challenged elite monopolies of knowledge¹³. In Assam, this dynamic was amplified by the fusion of Christian pedagogy, colonial administration, and indigenous adaptations. The school thus emerged as a hybrid institution— a part mission chapel, part government office, and part laboratory of modernity.

Parallel to the emergence of higher learning, Christian missions continued to broaden the reach of elementary and secondary education. The American Baptist Mission, the Church Missionary Society, and indigenous philanthropic bodies like the Assam Education Society established hundreds of vernacular schools across rural Assam between 1900 and 1930. Missionary reports record a steep rise in female and tribal enrolment during this period, especially among the Khasis, Nagas, and Garos¹⁴.

While their immediate aim remained evangelical, the missionaries' pedagogical emphasis on literacy, hygiene, and vocational skills fostered social mobility and regional integration. By 1910, several of these schools were incorporated into the provincial government's education department under the revised Calcutta University regulations that made English a

compulsory subject¹⁵. The colonial state thus absorbed missionary pedagogy into its administrative framework, transforming Assam's frontier literacy into a structured provincial network. The graduates of Cotton College and the missionary schools formed a new intelligentsia who dominated the region's print culture, journalism, and reform movements. The emergence of Assamese periodicals such as *Jonaki* (1889), *Bijuli*, and *Banhi* (1909) mirrored the proliferation of newspapers and literary journals in Bengal during its renaissance. Edited largely by college-educated youth, these journals debated issues of language reform, women's education, and economic self-reliance.

IV. INTELLECTUAL AWAKENING

If the first decades of British rule in Assam witnessed the imposition of an alien curriculum and moral code, the latter half of the nineteenth century saw the gradual emergence of a self-conscious Assamese intelligentsia who learned to inhabit eventually challenge the ideological terrain of the empire. Education, designed to produce efficient clerks and loyal subordinates, has become a crucible for distinctive regional modernity. By the 1870s, the generation of Western-educated Assamese men and women had begun to reinterpret the language of the empire through local idioms of reform, identity, and culture. This appropriation of Western knowledge constituted what Partha Chatterjee calls the "inner domain" of nationalist modernity—where colonized elites sought to reclaim spiritual and cultural autonomy even as they mastered the forms of colonial reason.

The first cohort of Assamese students trained in English institutions emerged from the Guwahati Government Seminary (1835) and missionary schools at Sibsagar and Nowgong. Among them, Anandaram Dhekial Phukan (1829-1859) stands as the prototype of a colonial intellectual. Educated partly at the Hindu College in Calcutta, Dhekial Phukan absorbed Enlightenment rationalism and Victorian morality yet remained deeply attached to his Assamese heritage. In his essay *A Few Remarks on the Assamese Language and Literature* (1855), he criticized British administrators for replacing Assamese with Bengali and pleaded for vernacular education. He argued that a nation's intellectual progress depended on its mother

tongue, echoing the Herderian ideas filtered through British Romanticism¹⁶.

Dhekial Phukan's contemporary Gunabhiram Barua (1837-1894), educated in Calcutta and associated with Brahmo Samaj, embodied the hybrid product of colonial education. His play *Ramnabami Nataka* (1857) and essays in *Orunodoi* introduced rationalist and reformist ideas into Assamese society, advocating widow remarriage and women's education. The writings of these early reformers mark the first articulation of what Amalendu Guha terms the "proto-national consciousness" of Assam—an awareness of belonging to a distinct cultural community within the larger colonial polity¹⁷.

The reinstatement of Assamese as the official language of education and administration in 1873 became the symbolic turning point in the assertion of cultural autonomy. The campaign for linguistic recognition, sustained for nearly four decades, was not merely about pedagogy; it was a struggle for the recovery of identity after a period of cultural eclipse under Bengali dominance. As Jayeeta Sharma argues in *Empire's Garden*, the movement reflected a "collective desire to reclaim the public voice of the Assamese, silenced by the linguistic imperialism of both Calcutta and London"¹⁸. This reclamation of linguistic and cultural sovereignty exemplifies what Partha Chatterjee terms the construction of the "inner domain" of nationalist modernity—a protected spiritual and cultural sphere through which colonized elites could assert moral independence even while remaining within the material domain of colonial power¹⁹. The Assamese intelligentsia linked this linguistic revival to moral reform. Education in the vernacular, they contended, would not only preserve cultural purity but also render the populace virtuous and industrious. This rhetoric closely mirrored the colonial discourse of improvement but inverted its direction: now the moral uplift was to serve the nation, not the empire²⁰.

Despite the progressive tone of this awakening, the Assamese intelligentsia remained entangled in the contradictions of colonial modernity. Their advocacy of rational reform often mirrored the moral paternalism of the British. As Gauri Viswanathan cautions, "the colonial curriculum created a class who

saw themselves as both the product and the protector of empire”²¹. Many Assamese elites internalized the notion that civilization flowed from the West, even as they sought to indigenize it. Yet, within this imitation lay the seeds of resistance. The very education that taught them deference also taught them rights, reason, and representation. By the dawn of the twentieth century, this intellectual awakening had matured into political consciousness. Educated Assamese leaders who had passed through colonial schools became active in the emerging nationalist movement and provincial politics, forming associations such as the Assam Sahitya Sabha in 1917 A.D. The cultural nation imagined in the classrooms and presses of the nineteenth century thus provided the moral infrastructure for the political nation that followed.

V.CONCLUSION

The history of Western education in colonial Assam embodies one of the most enduring paradoxes of empire — that domination, when pursued through the moral vocabulary of enlightenment, often breeds its own critique. Introduced as a mechanism of control and improvement, British education sought to cultivate loyal subjects who would internalize imperial discipline. Yet in Assam, as in other regions of India, this cultivation produced an unintended harvest: an intellectual consciousness that questioned the very foundations of colonial authority. From the establishment of the Guwahati Seminary in 1835 A.D. to the rise of Cotton College in 1901 A.D., education transformed the Assamese landscape from a frontier of

ignorance (in colonial eyes) into a field of contesting ideas.

Through the circulation of Western literature, missionary pedagogy, and vernacular print culture, Assamese reformers like Anandaram Dhekial Phukan, Gunabhiram Barua, and Laxminath Bezbarua redefined learning as a moral and cultural awakening. The empire’s classroom became, in Homi Bhabha’s sense, a site of *mimicry*— “almost the same but not quite”—where Assamese intellectuals absorbed colonial rationalism yet redirected it toward the regeneration of their own society. Education provided the vocabulary of both civility and dissent, shaping what Amalendu Guha calls the “pedagogical nationalism” of modern Assam — a nationalism grounded less in confrontation than in moral cultivation and cultural self-respect.

In comparison with Bengal’s metropolitan renaissance, Assam’s modernity was quieter, more provincial, and more deeply vernacular, but no less significant. It forged a community of thinkers who used the tools of empire to recover their voice. By 1947, Western education had not merely produced clerks and teachers; it had nurtured a generation of poets, reformers, and public intellectuals whose writings defined Assamese identity in the modern age. Thus, the “cultivation of minds under empire” was neither simple indoctrination nor pure liberation — it was a dialectic of dependence and discovery, through which Assam learned to think itself into being.

¹ Viswanathan, Gauri. *Masks of Conquest: Literary Study and British Rule in India*. Oxford University Press, 1989. pp. 3-5

² Ibid. pp. 68–94.

³ Baruah, Bandana. “Educational Scenario in the Early Years of British Rule in Assam (1826–1901).” *Proceedings of 31st Research World Conference*, 2017. pp. 1–3.

⁴ Rahman, Aatur. “English Education in Assam.” *IRJMSH*, Vol. 11, Issue 12 (2020). pp. 361–363.

⁵ Viswanathan, Gauri. op. cit. pp. 23–25.

⁶ Baruah, Bandana. op. cit. P. 2

⁷ Mayhew, Arthur. *The Education of India: A Study of British Educational Policy (1835–1920)*. Faber & Gwyer, 1926. pp. 83–91.

⁸ Rahman, Aatur. op. cit. pp. 361–363.

⁹ Ibid. pp. 361–363.

¹⁰ Borkakoty, Bidyananda. “Growth and Development of Education in Assam and Missionary Contribution.” *IJNRD*, Vol. 9, Issue 7 (2024). pp. 409–410.

¹¹ Baruah, Bandana. op. cit. pp. 1–3.

¹² Guha, Amalendu. op. cit. pp. 70–72.

¹³ Ibid. pp. 24–25.

¹⁴ Borkakoty, Bidyananda. op. cit. p. 410.

¹⁵ Rahman, Aatur. “English Education in Assam.” *IRJMSH*, Vol. 11, Issue 12 (2020), pp. 362.

¹⁶ Ibid. p. 362.

¹⁷ Guha, Amalendu. op. cit. pp. 27–28.

¹⁸ Sharma, Jayeeta. op. cit. pp. 147–150.

¹⁹ Chatterjee, Partha. *The Nation and Its Fragments*. Princeton UP, 1993, pp. 6–13.

²⁰ Baruah, Bandana. op. cit. pp. 1–3.

²¹ Viswanathan, Gauri. op. cit. p. 94.