Effect Of OTT Platforms on Traditional Television Technology

Dr. Snehal Godse[1], Omkar Chavan[2], Pranay Koli[3], Rohit Khomane[4]
[1,2,3,4]*Dept. of Computer Applications, JSPM's Rajarshi Shahu College of Engineering, Pune 411033, India*

***Abstract*—Over-the-Top (OTT) platforms have transformed how audiences consume media by providing on-demand and personalized viewing experiences that challenge the traditional broadcast television model. With widespread internet availability, affordable smartphones, and smart TVs, OTT has become a dominant entertainment medium, especially among younger demographics. This study examines the technological, behavioral, and economic impacts of OTT platforms on traditional television technology. It also investigates the implications for broadcasters, advertisers, and policy-makers while proposing strategies to achieve technological and market convergence. Using survey data, secondary industry reports, and trend analysis, the study demonstrates a measurable shift in audience preference from scheduled broadcasting to personalized streaming ecosystems. The findings suggest that traditional television must innovate and integrate digital solutions to remain relevant in the streaming era.**

***Index Terms*—OTT, Television, Streaming Media, Consumer Behavior, Digital Transformation, Broadcasting Technology, Connected TV**

I. INTRODUCTION

India and the global media ecosystem are undergoing a structural transformation due to rapid digitization and internet penetration. Over-the-Top (OTT) platforms such as Netflix, Amazon Prime Video, Disney+, SonyLIV, Zee5, and Hotstar now deliver content directly through IP networks, bypassing cable and satellite television systems. This shift has altered not only viewing habits but also content production, distribution models, and advertising strategies.

Traditional television was built around linear scheduling, limited viewer control, and mass-audience broadcasting. In contrast, OTT platforms offer personalization, on-demand access, multi-device compatibility, and algorithm-driven recommendations. These features have significantly changed user expectations, especially among the 18–35 age group.

This paper argues that OTT is not merely a competing platform but a technological disruption that forces traditional television to evolve toward hybrid and connected delivery systems. The study analyzes usage trends, technological convergence, economic effects, and behavioral shifts to propose an adaptation framework for the television industry.

II. BACKGROUND AND CONTEXT

Digital infrastructure growth, cheaper mobile data, and smart device adoption are the three major drivers behind OTT expansion. India's low-cost data revolution and smartphone penetration have enabled video streaming even in semi-urban regions. Smart TVs and streaming sticks have further reduced the entry barrier for OTT consumption in households At the same time, broadcasters are transitioning toward Connected TV models, where broadcast signals and internet services merge. Hybrid standards and app-enabled set-top boxes represent early convergence steps. This background establishes the need to examine OTT impact not only as a media trend but as a technological shift.

III. LITERATURE REVIEW

Recent industry and academic studies confirm the OTT disruption effect. Nielsen (2025) reported streaming surpassing traditional broadcast share in total viewing hours. Ampere Analysis linked OTT growth with Smart TV adoption. PwC and FICCI-EY

reports show strong digital ad growth alongside declining TV ad share. Multiple researchers conclude that OTT success is driven by personalization, regional content, and flexible pricing models. However, fewer studies analyze technological convergence and broadcaster adaptation strategies, which this paper addresses.

IV. PROBLEM STATEMENT

OTT platforms have disrupted traditional broadcasting by changing how content is produced, distributed, monetized, and consumed. Scheduled programming struggles to retain audiences who prefer flexible and ad-light viewing. This threatens existing revenue models, infrastructure investments, and regulatory frameworks. There is a need for structured analysis of how deep this disruption is and what adaptation paths are viable.

V. OBJECTIVES AND HYPOTHESES

Objectives include analyzing OTT vs TV trends, studying delivery technologies, evaluating economic impact, understanding consumer behavior, and proposing integration strategies.

Hypotheses:
H1: OTT usage inversely correlates with traditional TV viewership.
H2: On-demand personalized content drives OTT adoption.
H3: Younger demographics prefer OTT predominantly.
H4: Advertising investment is shifting to digital platforms.

VI. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study follows a mixed-method design combining quantitative survey analysis and secondary industry data review.
Study Design: Descriptive and analytical mixed-method study. Study Location: Academic research conducted at Department level using digital datasets.
Sample Size: 200 respondents across urban and semi-urban Maharashtra. Data Sources: Survey forms, Statista datasets, Nielsen reports, PwC and FICCI-EY reports.
Tools Used: Microsoft Excel and SPSS for correlation and trend analysis. Variables Measured: Viewing hours, device preference, subscription behavior, ad tolerance, and platform choice.

VII. DATA ANALYSIS AND GRAPHICAL TRENDS

Table I shows OTT and Traditional TV viewership trends from 2019–2025 based on industry datasets.

Table I — OTT vs Traditional TV Viewership (%)

2019	20	90
2020	40	85
2021	55	78
2022	70	72
2023	80	65
2024	88	60
2025	90	55

Fig. 1 shows a steady increase in OTT usage and a continuous decline in traditional TV viewership, with a crossover around 2022 indicating behavioral tipping point.

Table II — Functional Comparison of OTT and Traditional TV

Feature	Traditional TV	OTT Platforms
Schedule Control	Fixed	On-demand
Device Support	TV only	Multi-device
Ad Targeting	Low	High
Personalization	None	Algorithmic
Interactivity	Minimal	High
Analytics	Sample-based	Real-time

Fig. 2 illustrates a comparative feature analysis between Traditional Television and OTT platforms across key operational and user-experience parameters. The comparison shows that OTT platforms outperform traditional television in personalization, multi-device support, targeted advertising, analytics capability, and interactivity. Traditional TV retains strength mainly in broadcast stability and standardized delivery but lacks user-level customization and real-time measurement.

The figure highlights the technological shift from one-to-many broadcast architecture toward user-centric, data-driven streaming systems. This functional

advantage is a major driver behind increasing OTT adoption and declining dependence on fixed-schedule television viewing.

VIII. FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

Survey results show 84% of respondents spend more time on OTT than television. Multi-device viewing and content control are the top drivers. Advertising agencies now allocate 60–70% of budgets to digital media formats. Broadcasters are experimenting with hybrid OTT-TV distribution and app-integrated set-top boxes.

Technological transition toward IP-based delivery enables targeted ads, adaptive bitrate streaming, and viewer analytics. However, migration costs and digital rights management remain major barriers.

IX. INDUSTRY AND POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Broadcasters must adopt hybrid models combining broadcast reliability with OTT flexibility. Policy makers should define unified digital broadcast regulations. Investment in regional content and affordable bundles is essential. Measurement standards for cross-platform ratings should be standardized.

X. CHALLENGES

Key challenges include rural bandwidth gaps, subscription fatigue, platform fragmentation, regulatory uncertainty, and cybersecurity risks. Content overload also reduces user retention.

XI. FUTURE SCOPE

Future research should measure long-term revenue convergence models, AI-driven content recommendation effects, and interactive television formats. Comparative studies across countries will further clarify convergence trajectories.

XII. CONCLUSION

OTT platforms have reshaped media consumption through mobility, personalization, and data-driven delivery. Television remains relevant but must evolve into a connected and hybrid ecosystem. Convergence—not competition—defines the future. Innovation, analytics, and flexible delivery models are essential for sustainability.

XIII. PLATFORM ARCHITECTURE COMPARISON

Traditional television and OTT platforms differ significantly in their technical architecture. Traditional TV uses satellite, cable, or terrestrial broadcast infrastructure with one-to-many signal transmission. In contrast, OTT platforms use IP-based packet delivery over broadband networks with adaptive bitrate streaming.

Broadcast systems are optimized for reliability and scale but lack personalization. OTT systems are optimized for interactivity, analytics, and personalization but depend on network quality. OTT platforms also integrate content delivery networks (CDNs), cloud storage, and AI-driven recommendation engines.

This architectural difference explains why OTT platforms can deliver targeted advertising and viewer analytics, while traditional TV relies on sample-based rating systems. The shift toward IP delivery is therefore both a technical and economic transformation.

XIV. USER BEHAVIOR ANALYSIS

User behavior has shifted from passive viewing to active content selection. Survey responses indicate that users prefer binge-watching, pause-resume functionality, and cross-device continuity. Over 70% of respondents reported watching content on mobile devices at least once per day.

Time-shifted viewing has reduced the importance of fixed program schedules. Recommendation algorithms further influence consumption patterns by increasing session duration and content discovery. Younger viewers show lower tolerance for advertisements and prefer subscription or hybrid ad-lite models.

These behavioral changes directly reduce the effectiveness of traditional prime-time broadcasting strategies.

XV. ADVERTISING MODEL TRANSFORMATION

Advertising models are undergoing rapid transformation due to OTT adoption. Traditional television depends on mass advertising slots priced by estimated reach. OTT platforms use targeted digital advertising based on user data and behavior.

Programmatic advertising, dynamic ad insertion, and personalized ad delivery improve advertiser ROI. Industry reports indicate that digital video ad spending is growing at more than double the rate of traditional TV advertising.

However, privacy regulation and ad-blocking technologies create new constraints. Future advertising models will likely be hybrid, combining subscription revenue with selective targeted advertising.

XVI. LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

This study relies partly on secondary industry datasets and self-reported survey responses, which may introduce reporting bias. Sample size was regionally concentrated and may not fully represent rural populations. Market statistics vary by source and year, which may affect trend precision.

Technological evolution in OTT platforms is rapid, so findings represent a time-bound snapshot rather than a permanent industry state.

XVII. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors thank JSPM's Rajarshi Shahu College of Engineering, Pune, and the academic guide for continuous support and guidance.

REFERENCES

[1] Nielsen Streaming Report, 2025.
[2] Ampere Analysis Smart TV Study, 2025.
[3] FICCI-EY Media and Entertainment Report, 2024.
[4] PwC Global Entertainment Outlook, 2024.
[5] Statista OTT Market Data, 2024.
[6] Deloitte Digital Media Trends, 2023.
[7] Cisco Systems, “Cisco Annual Internet Report (2018–2023) White Paper,” Cisco, 2023.
[8] Ofcom, “Media Nations Report: UK,” Office of Communications, 2023.
[9] Statista Research Department, “Video Streaming (OTT) Market Worldwide – Statistics & Facts,” Statista, 2024.
[10] Deloitte, “Digital Media Trends Survey,” Deloitte Insights, 2023.
[11] K. Lotz, Portals: A Treatise on Internet-Distributed Television, Michigan Publishing, 2017.
[12] M. Jenner, “Is this TVIV? On Netflix, TVIII and binge-watching,” New Media & Society, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 257–273, 2016.
[13] A. Sundar and S. Limperos, “Uses and Grats 2.0: New gratifications for new media,” Journal of Broadcasting & Electronic Media, vol. 57, no. 4, pp. 504–525, 2013.
[14] TRAI, “The Indian Telecom Services Performance Indicators Report,” Telecom Regulatory Authority of India, 2023.
[15] EY, “Future of Television in India – Turning Digital,” Ernst & Young Media Report, 2022.
[16] Accenture, “Global Media & Entertainment Pulse Survey,” Accenture, 2023.
[17] KPMG, “India’s Digital Future: Mass of Niches,” KPMG Media and Entertainment Report, 2023.
[18] S. Cha, “Over-the-top (OTT) video services: Implications and policy responses,” Telecommunications Policy, vol. 37, no. 9, pp. 725–736, 2013.