

An Overview of Materiovigilance in Medical Device Safety: Regulatory Framework, challenges, and Future Direction

Siddhi Pashte¹, Ainul Shafeeque², Devyani Patil³

^{1,3} B. Pharmacy Student, M.S College of Pharmacy

² Assistant Professor, M.S college of Pharmacy

doi.org/10.64643/IJIRTV12I10-194918-459

Abstract—Materiovigilance focuses on the systematic monitoring of medical devices associated with adverse events, referred to as medical device adverse events (MDAEs). Materiovigilance and pharmacovigilance share a common objective and methodological approach aimed at ensuring patient safety. Through continuous evaluation of safety data, materiovigilance plays a vital role in identifying and facilitating the recall of defective or malfunctioning medical devices. All MDAEs, whether known or unknown, critical or non-critical, frequent or infrequent, or resulting from inadequate or missing specifications must be reported and thoroughly assessed. Materiovigilance contributes to the prevention of device-related complications and failures while enhancing the safety, performance, and design of medical equipment. It also serves to alert healthcare professionals and users to counterfeit or substandard devices. Commonly reported adverse events include device malfunction or breakage, infections at insertion or exit sites, organ damage or perforation, the need for surgical intervention, life cycle related device issues, and, in severe cases, death. Regulatory authorities worldwide have established structured and time-bound reporting systems for MDAEs, such as CDSCO in India Medsafe in New Zealand and MedWatch in the United States. Emphasizing the role of materiovigilance is essential to ensure the safe use of medical devices and to educate healthcare professionals and consumers about reporting mechanisms and appropriate response strategies following device related adverse events.

Index Terms—Materiovigilance, Medical device adverse Event, Patient safety, Post Marketing surveillance.

I. INTRODUCTION

Materiovigilance is the systematic process of identifying, collecting, reporting, and evaluating adverse events associated with the use of medical

devices, with the objective of protecting patient health and preventing their recurrence. Although post-marketing surveillance systems for medical devices have been implemented in many countries, they are not yet as advanced or comprehensive as those established for pharmaceuticals. In India, the Materiovigilance Programme of India (MvPI) was launched on 6 July, 2015 by the Indian Pharmacopoeia Commission (IPC). The programme aims to monitor adverse events related to medical devices, generate evidence-based safety data, raise awareness among stakeholders, and recommend best practices and regulatory interventions to enhance patient safety[1]. The IPC, in collaboration with the National Health Systems Resource Centre (NHSRC) and the Central Drugs Standard Control Organization (CDSCO), established MvPI to create a nationwide system for the collection, analysis, and dissemination of data related to medical device associated adverse events[2]. Key stakeholders involved in the programme include manufacturers, importers, distributors, healthcare professionals, and patients. The MvPI also provides training and guidance to promote effective reporting practices and to minimize device-related adverse events. With the increasing use of medical devices in clinical practice, concerns regarding their safety and performance have also grown, highlighting the critical importance of a robust materiovigilance system. Similar pharmaceuticals, post marketing surveillance data on medical devices provides critical evidence for evaluating their safety, effectiveness, and overall impact on healthcare delivery [3].

Materiovigilance programme in India

Medical devices in India are regulated under the Drugs and Cosmetics Act, 1940, and the Drugs and Cosmetics Rules, 1945. To streamline the regulation of medical devices, the Government of India, in consultation with the Drug Technical Advisory Board, notified the Medical Devices Rules 2017, which came into effect on January 1, 2018, following their notification on January 31, 2017. The Drug Controller General of India introduced the Materiovigilance Programme of India (MvPI) at the Indian Pharmacopoeia Commission, Ghaziabad, on July 6, 2015. The primary objective of this programme is to educate healthcare professionals about the importance of reporting medical devices associated with adverse events and to promote a culture of patient safety. In addition to adverse event monitoring, the programme emphasizes the benefit-risk assessment of medical devices and the systematic sharing of safety-related information with all relevant stakeholders. The National Coordination Centre for MvPI, located at the Indian Pharmacopoeia Commission, is responsible for collecting, analyzing, and monitoring adverse events associated with medical devices reported across the Indian population. The Sree Chitra Tirunal Institute for Medical Sciences and Technology functions as a National Collaborating Centre under the MvPI framework. The programme is regulated by the Central Drugs Standard Control Organization, while the National Health Systems Resource Centre provides technical support. To facilitate effective reporting and evaluation, Medical Device Adverse Event Monitoring Centers have been established. These centers are responsible for reviewing MDAE reports, ensuring case completeness, and forwarding validated data to the NCC [4].

Objective of Materiovigilance Programme of India

1. Develop and implement a nationwide system in India to monitor and report adverse events related to medical devices.
2. Evaluate the causality and benefit-risk profile associated with the use of medical devices.
3. Support regulatory authorities in decision-making processes regarding medical device safety.
4. Provide safety data and issue medical device alerts to regulators and the healthcare system.
5. Communicate safety guidelines for the use of medical devices to various stakeholders to minimize risks.

6. Collaborate with other national healthcare organizations to exchange data and related information [4].

Class 1	Class 2	Class 3	Class 4
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (Low risk) • Eg. Nasopharyngeal Catheter, Surgical dressing 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (Low Moderate risk) • Eg. Arterial catheter, cervical Drain 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (Moderate Risk) • Eg. Cerebrospinal Catheter, Colonic Stents 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (High Risk) • Eg. Drug Eluting Stents, Heart valves

Fig.1 Classification of Medical Device in India [4]



Fig.2 Vision, Aim, and objective of the materiovigilance programme of India [5]



Fig.3 Application of Materiovigilance [6]

Stakeholders In MVPI

All professionals, including employees of SCTIMST NHSRC, IPC, and other similar organizations. Officials from the Medical Device Monitoring Center. CDSCO employees and advisors. Everyone who makes healthcare policy, but especially those who deal with medical device policy. Hospital technology managers work with physicians, nurses, biomedical engineers, pharmacists, and clinical engineers. CDSCO recommends medical device makers. innovators and medical Technologist [7-9].

Roles and Responsibilities of units of Materiovigilance Programme in India

Medical Device Monitoring Centers (MDMCs) are responsible for collecting and assessing the completeness of medical device associated adverse event (MDAE) reports, analyzing failure modes, establishing causality, and submitting consolidated monthly reports to the National Collaborating Centre (NCC) in accordance with standard operating procedures (SOPs). In line with the Mvpi guidelines, medical colleges across India have been designated as MDMCs. Maharashtra, for example, hosts 13 of the 174 active MDMCs participating in the program. These centers are required to submit case reports for review and analysis. The NCC compiles and analyzes adverse event reports from MDMCs, conducts signal detection, and communicates the findings to the National Collaborating Centre. In addition, MDMCs regularly conduct training sessions, awareness campaigns, and workshops on materiovigilance in different regions of the country. The Indian Pharmacopoeia Commission (IPC), functioning as the NCC for MvPI, is primarily responsible for coordinating all program activities, including convening steering committee and working group meetings. Its responsibilities also include identifying newly established MDMCs nationwide, preparing and distributing training manuals, newsletters, guidance materials, and SOPs. Furthermore, the NCC consolidates data obtained from the Sree Chitra Tirunal Institute for Medical Sciences and Technology (SCTIMST) and provides recommendations to the Central Drugs Standard Control Organization (CDSCO). The Drug Controller General of India (DCGI)–CDSCO formulates regulatory decisions based on these recommendations and communicates them to all relevant stakeholders. Additionally, as the national regulator, CDSCO participates in international forums, including the International Medical Device Regulators Forum (IMDRF), for the exchange of post-marketing safety data. The National Health Systems Resource Centre (NHSRC), under the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India, provides technical support to the NCC. This includes assistance in the preparation of SOPs, training manuals, newsletters, and guidance documents, as well as support in identifying and establishing new MDMCs across the country [10].

Medical device adverse event monitoring Centres

As of 2025, 639 Medical Device Adverse Event Monitoring Centres have been established nationwide, reflecting the significant strengthening of India’s materiovigilance infrastructure and regulatory oversight [11].



MEDICAL DEVICE ADVERSE EVENT REPORTING FORM
Materiovigilance Programme of India (MvPI)

This form is intended to collect information on Medical Devices Adverse Event in India. The form is designed to be used voluntarily by Manufacturer/Importer/Distributor of Medical Devices, Healthcare Professionals and anyone with direct/indirect knowledge of Medical Devices Adverse Event.

General Information		
1. Date of Report :		
2. Type of Report : Initial <input type="checkbox"/> Follow up <input type="checkbox"/> Final <input type="checkbox"/> Trend <input type="checkbox"/>		
3. Reporter Reference for MDMC only: Centre Location Month-Year Case No.		
Reporter Details		
1. Type of Reporter : (a) Manufacturer <input type="checkbox"/> (b) Importer <input type="checkbox"/> (c) Distributor <input type="checkbox"/> (d) Healthcare Professional <input type="checkbox"/> (e) Patient <input type="checkbox"/> (f) Others <input type="checkbox"/> specify		
2. In case, where the reporter is not manufacturer, fill the following details:-		
(a) Has the reporter informed the incident to the manufacturer? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>		
(b) Is the reporter also submitting the report on behalf of the manufacturer? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>		
3. Reporter contact information:		
a) Name :		
b) Address :		
c) Tel./Mobile :		
d) Email :		
Device Category		
Medical Device	In Vitro Diagnostics (IVD)	Medical Equipments / Machines
I. Therapeutic <input type="checkbox"/> Diagnostic <input type="checkbox"/>	I. Kits <input type="checkbox"/>	I. Therapeutic <input type="checkbox"/> Diagnostic <input type="checkbox"/>
Both <input type="checkbox"/> Preventive <input type="checkbox"/>	II. Reagents <input type="checkbox"/>	II. Therapeutic & Diagnostic <input type="checkbox"/>
Assistive <input type="checkbox"/>	III. Calibrator <input type="checkbox"/>	III. Preventive <input type="checkbox"/>
II. Implantable device <input type="checkbox"/>	IV. Control Material <input type="checkbox"/>	IV. Assistive <input type="checkbox"/>
Non-Implantable device <input type="checkbox"/>	V. Others <input type="checkbox"/>	V. Imaging <input type="checkbox"/>
III. Invasive <input type="checkbox"/> Non-Invasive <input type="checkbox"/>	VI. IVD electronic reader/ Analyzer <input type="checkbox"/>	VI. Invasive <input type="checkbox"/> Non-Invasive <input type="checkbox"/>
IV. Single use device <input type="checkbox"/>		VII. Others <input type="checkbox"/>
Reusable device <input type="checkbox"/>		
Reuse of manufacture marked Single use device <input type="checkbox"/>		
V. Sterile <input type="checkbox"/> Non Sterile <input type="checkbox"/>		
VI. Personal use / Homecare use <input type="checkbox"/>		
Instruction for use Section A-F		
• If Medical Devices/Equipments/Machines : Please fill all the sections i.e. A, B, C, D, E & F		
• If In Vitro Diagnostics (IVD) : Please fill sections i.e. A (except 6, 7, 9, 13, 14 & 16), B (except 1, 2, 4 & 8), D, E, & F		

(A) Device Details

Device Name / Trade Name / Brand Name:

Details	Name	Address
Manufacturer		
Importer		
Distributor		

- a) Is the device notified/regulated in India : Yes No
- b) Device Risk Classification as per India MDR 2017 : A B C D
2. License No. (Manufacturer/Import)
3. Catalogue No.
4. Model No.
5. Lot / Batch No.
6. Serial No.
7. Software Version / Accessories
8. Associated Devices / Accessories
9. Nomenclature Code if applicable; GHDI/UMDNS
10. UDI No. (if applicable)
11. Installation Date
12. Expiration Date
13. Last preventive maintenance date (dd/mm/yyyy)
14. Last calibration date (dd/mm/yyyy)
15. Year of manufacturing
16. How long was device/Equipment/Machine in use
17. Availability of device for evaluation : Yes No
If no, was the device destroyed Still in use return to manufacturer or importer/distributor
18. Is the usage of device as per manufacturer claim/Instruction for use/user manual: Yes No
If no specify usage
19. For devices not regulated / notified in India : Regulator / Regulatory status in country of origin

(B) Event Description

1. Date of Event / Near miss incident:
 2. Date of Implant/Explant (if applicable):
 3. Location of Event:
 Hospital Premise Manufacturer/Distributor premise
 Home Others

4. Device Operator:-
 Healthcare Professional Patient Others
 Problem noted prior to use/near miss event

5. Device disposition / Current location:
 a) Returned to company If yes, date /..... /.....
 b) Remains implanted in patient
 c) Within the healthcare facility
 d) At patient home
 e) Destroyed
 f) Others (specify)

6. Is device in use after incidence? Yes No

7. Serious event:
 If serious, Tick the appropriate reason
 a) Death (DD/MM/YY) /..... /.....
 b) Life Threatening
 c) Disability or permanent damage
 d) Hospitalization
 e) Congenital anomaly /birth defect
 f) Any other serious (Imp. medical event)
 g) Required intervention to prevent / permanent Impairment / damage device

8. Non serious event

9. Whether other medical devices were used at same time with above device if yes, please specify name(s)/use(s).....

10. Detail description of Event:-

For manufacturer/authorized representative only

11. Frequency of occurrence of similar Adverse Event in India in past 3 years	Year	No. of Similar Adverse Events	Total No. Supplied	Frequency of Occurrence (%)
12. Frequency of occurrence of similar Adverse Event in globally in past 3 years	Year	No. of Similar Adverse Events	Total No. Supplied	Frequency of Occurrence (%)

(C) Patient Information, History & Outcome

1. Patient hospital ID :
 2. Patient Initial :
 3. Age :
 4. Gender : Male Female Others
 5. Weight :
 6. Other relevant history, including pre-existing medical conditions.....

7. Patient Outcomes:
 a) Recovered Date (DD/MM/YY) /..... /.....
 b) Not yet recovered
 c) Death (DD/MM/YY) /..... /.....
 d) Others
 Please specify.....

(D) Healthcare Facility Information (if available)

1. Name :
 2. Address :
 3. Contact Person Name at the site of event :
 4. Tel. No. :

(E) Causality Assessment

1. Investigation action taken:
 2. Root cause of problem (Applicable for follow up / final reports):

(F) Manufacturer/Authorized Representative Investigation & Action taken

1. Manufacturer/Authorized Representative device risk analysis report:
 2. Corrective / preventive action taken:
 3. Device history review:

Where to report?
 Duly filled Medical Device Adverse Event Reporting Form can be sent to Indian Pharmacopoeia Commission, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India, Sector-23, Rajnagar, Ghazabad-20002, Tel-0120-2783400, 2783401 and 2783392, FAX:0120-2783311 or email to mvd@ipcindia@gmail.com Or Call on Helpline no. 1800 180 3024 to report Adverse event.

Partnering Organizations

Disclaimer:
 Confidentiality: The patient's identity is held in strict confidence and protected to the fullest extent. Programmes staff is not required to and will not disclose the reporter's identity in response to a request from the public. Submission of a report does not constitute an admission that medical personnel or manufacturer of the product caused or contributed to the adverse event.

multiple sources, such as social media, electronic health records, adverse event reports, and other types of realworld evidence by employing advanced machine learning algorithms. This feature makes it possible to spot patterns and trends that might point to new safety issues or efficacy challenges that would be challenging for people to spot right away. Additionally, AI systems have the capacity to continuously learn and adapt, improving their accuracy and forecasting abilities over time. Manufacturers and regulatory bodies can more efficiently distribute their resources. By evaluating and classifying possible hazards based on the severity and likelihood of unfavorable outcomes, manufacturers and regulatory bodies can more efficiently deploy their resources. Additionally, by generating useful insights and visual representations, AI can improve communication and decision-making process. This helps stakeholders lower risks and perform timely solutions. In conclusion, the effectiveness and efficiency of monitoring operations are increased when artificial intelligence (AI) is included into postmarket surveillance. Additionally, by enabling a proactive approach to risk management throughout the product lifetime, it supports improved patient outcomes and safety [13].



Fig.4 Steps of post marketing surveillance [13]

Medical Device Adverse Event Reporting Form [12]

Integration of Artificial intelligence in medical device Post market Monitoring

AI greatly enhances postmarket surveillance by increasing the ability to track, evaluate, and react to information about the efficacy and safety of products after they are put on the market. Artificial intelligence can efficiently analyze vast amounts of data from

Innovations in Materiovigilance

Materiovigilance plays a critical role in ensuring patient safety, maintaining the security of medical devices, and monitoring their performance. It involves systematic collection, assessment, and reporting of adverse events associated with medical devices. Recent advancements in materiovigilance have substantially enhanced patient safety, particularly

through the implementation of improved monitoring methodologies. To further strengthen patient protection, materiovigilance systems are increasingly incorporating machine learning and artificial intelligence. These technologies facilitate the identification of patterns and correlations, enable the prediction of potential adverse outcomes, and support the efficient analysis of large datasets generated from medical devices. Such real-time analytical capabilities allow for timely interventions, thereby minimizing the risk of serious harm. Consequently, regulatory authorities are better equipped to ensure the safety of medical devices and to mitigate associated risks [14].

Enhancing Patient Safety Through Enhanced Monitoring

By identifying trends and anomalies within large volumes of data generated from medical devices, electronic health records, and other real-world data sources, materiovigilance systems that incorporate artificial intelligence and machine learning have significantly improved patient safety. These technologies enable real-time analysis of data from medical devices, allowing for the early detection of device malfunctions and potential safety risks. This proactive approach enhances patient protection by facilitating rapid intervention and timely corrective actions in the event of adverse incidents. Furthermore, predictive analytics and advanced algorithms can identify patient-specific patterns and risk characteristics. The integration of advanced analytical methods and diverse data sources enhances diagnostic accuracy, improves signal detection, supports personalized treatment strategies, and strengthens materiovigilance decision-making, ultimately leading to improved patient outcomes [14].

Recent Regulatory Advances in Materiovigilance

To promote consistency and convergence in national medical device regulatory frameworks, the United States, Canada, Japan, Europe, and Australia established the Global Harmonization Task Force in 1992. Since the early 1980s, the regulatory landscape for medical devices has evolved substantially, making regulatory harmonization increasingly important to reduce barriers, streamline approval processes, and facilitate timely access to high quality, safe, and effective medical devices. Recent advancements in materiovigilance, particularly those incorporating

artificial intelligence and machine learning, have further strengthened regulatory oversight and decision-making processes. These technologies enhance monitoring capabilities, improve patient safety, and enable large data analysis for the early detection of safety signals and potential risks. In this context, regulatory agencies such as the U.S. Food and Drug Administration are actively exploring the use of AI and machine learning to improve the identification, evaluation, and management of safety concerns associated with medical devices [14].

Materiovigilance practice in Dentistry

In dentistry, materiovigilance refers to the systematic monitoring, evaluation, and reporting of adverse events associated with the use of dental materials and devices. These events may include infections, allergic reactions, device malfunctions, breakages, and other unforeseen complications occurring during clinical use. Numerous dental products including toothbrushes, orthodontic appliances, implant instruments, prefabricated arch wires, milled crown materials, impression materials, porcelain glaze sprays, and craniomaxillofacial distraction systems have been recalled globally due to safety concerns. The increasing availability of counterfeit and non-CE marked dental products through online platforms further elevates risks to patient safety. These incidents underscore inconsistencies in regulatory enforcement and highlight the urgent need for standardized international guidelines to ensure patient protection across dental care systems. Globally, approaches to dental materiovigilance vary; while some countries rely primarily on manufacturers and regulatory authorities for post-marketing surveillance, others actively involve healthcare professionals and patients in adverse event reporting. Nevertheless, challenges such as underreporting and limited awareness persist, emphasizing the need for improved collaboration, education, and harmonized reporting frameworks. Despite the critical importance of materiovigilance in dentistry, this field remains underrepresented in broader discussions on medical device safety [15].

Enhancing Materiovigilance in Dentistry: Through Effective reporting and Risk control

In dentistry, materiovigilance refers to the systematic monitoring, assessment, and reporting of adverse events associated with dental devices, including

malfunctions, breakages, infections, allergic reactions, and other unexpected outcomes during clinical use. To ensure comprehensive safety oversight, all suspected medical device associated adverse events, regardless of their severity, frequency, or established causality, should be documented. Active reporting by dental healthcare professionals is essential, as it enhances risk awareness and contributes to overall patient safety. Patient confidentiality is strictly maintained, and reports are treated with full discretion. Serious incidents are thoroughly investigated to assess the device's role, considering factors such as timing, accuracy, and potential contributory elements. These evaluations facilitate the identification of safety signals and provide critical information for regulatory actions, including product recalls, safety alerts, updates to user manuals, and the development of training programs. Ultimately, data from materiovigilance systems support targeted educational initiatives and strengthen benefit risk assessments, promoting the safe and effective use of dental devices across healthcare settings [15].

Challenges in Advancing Materiovigilance in Dentistry

The implementation of materiovigilance programs in dentistry faces challenges like those encountered in pharmacovigilance, particularly in encouraging active participation from both practitioners and patients. Reporting adverse events is often perceived as burdensome, and many stakeholders remain uncertain about when, how, and why to report. The lack of mandatory reporting requirements further impedes the integration of materiovigilance into routine clinical practice. Additionally, negative perceptions among some practitioners who may associate reporting with admitting clinical errors can discourage transparency and open communication. Another major challenge is the shortage of trained personnel and the absence of robust reporting infrastructure. One potential solution is for regulatory authorities to require that all dental devices include package inserts and a Summary of Product Characteristics (SPC), which would enhance awareness and standardize reporting procedures. As dentistry increasingly adopts digital workflows, 3D printed implants, and bioactive materials, ensuring patient safety amid rapid technological innovation becomes more complex. Existing post-market surveillance systems may not be agile enough to

address the unique risks associated with these emerging technologies. Therefore, adapting materiovigilance frameworks to support innovation while protecting public health is a critical global priority.[15]

Importance of Materiovigilance in Orthopedics

With a population of 1.4 billion and millions of orthopedic surgeries performed annually, India presents a unique opportunity for advancing materiovigilance. By analyzing extensive data on patient outcomes and implant performance, India can identify trends, detect potential issues, and improve the quality and design of orthopedic implants. This approach has the potential to position India as a global leader in medical device optimization, promoting safer, more effective orthopedic treatments and fostering innovation. Orthopedic surgery is distinct due to the frequent use of implants that remain in the body for extended periods. Devices such as external fixators, screws, plates, and joint replacements are continuously exposed to biomechanical stress, making them susceptible to wear, tear, and, in rare cases, failure. Effective materiovigilance ensures the early detection of such issues, enhancing patient safety and improving long-term surgical outcomes.

The inherent complexity of orthopedic implants introduces several potential risks:

1. **Mechanical failures:** Implants may experience wear, breakage, or loosening, particularly in joint replacements where components are subjected to high mechanical loads.
2. **Material degradation:** Corrosion or deterioration of implant materials, such as metal-on-metal components, can cause local tissue damage or systemic complications, including metallosis.
3. **Biocompatibility issues:** Some devices can trigger adverse immune responses, leading to inflammation or, in rare cases, implant rejection.
4. **Surgical and human factors:** Improper implantation techniques or intraoperative errors can compromise device functionality and patient outcomes [16].

The role of social media in Materiovigilance

Social media platforms such as LinkedIn, Facebook, Twitter(X), and YouTube play an important role in disseminating timely and accurate information regarding the rational selection of medical devices and the reporting of adverse events. These platforms also

contribute to the promotion of scientific advancements and emerging issues in healthcare. When device-related defects are identified, social media can facilitate the rapid communication of safety alerts and product recalls. As a result, healthcare professionals and users may remain informed about recent regulatory updates and related development. However, excessive dependence on information obtained from social networking platforms may expose individuals to potential physical and psychological risks. Misinformation or unverified content can be more harmful than the absence of information. Therefore, it is essential to critically evaluate published content and verify its sources before applying such information in clinical practice or healthcare decision-making.[17].

Responsibilities of Healthcare Professionals in Medical Device Incident Reporting

Healthcare professionals have a significant responsibility in identifying and reporting problems associated with medical devices. Enhancing the ability of both individuals and healthcare institutions to recognize and report harmful effects related to these devices is essential. Surgeons, physicians, nurses, and pharmacists all play an important role in this process. The use of database information systems that generate safety signals for medical devices can further support effective monitoring and reporting. Moreover, healthcare professionals can educate and train their colleagues and patients about the importance of medical device vigilance (MDV), especially in the context of device recalls. The ultimate goal is to establish and promote a strong institutional culture that encourages the reporting of medical device adverse events, which can contribute to improving device safety and preventing similar events in the future.[17].

II. FUTURE DIRECTIONS

The Materiovigilance Programme of India (MvPI) seeks to enhance patient and healthcare professional safety by minimizing the recurrence of adverse events related to medical devices. Vigilant monitoring of medical devices is as critical as pharmacovigilance in ensuring patient safety, as widely used devices can occasionally lead to serious complications if not properly monitored. MvPI raises awareness of adverse event reporting among patients, healthcare providers, and other stakeholders, fostering a proactive reporting

culture and encouraging timely documentation of device-related incidents. It enables oversight of manufacturers, regardless of their engagement with safety concerns, and supports research on device-related adverse outcomes as a valuable public health tool. A long-term goal is to make reporting of device-related adverse events mandatory for manufacturers, strengthening patient safety and regulatory compliance. Additionally, MvPI collaborates with international regulatory agencies such as the FDA and European Medicines Agency to adopt best practices, standardize reporting systems, and facilitate global data sharing. The programme provides training and capacity-building initiatives for healthcare professionals to improve detection, documentation, and reporting of device-related adverse events. By maintaining a centralized database and analyzing trends, MvPI helps identify high-risk devices, issue safety alerts, guide regulatory interventions, and inform policy decisions. Through these measures, MvPI not only safeguards patients but also contributes to the global effort to improve the quality, reliability, and safety of medical devices in clinical practice.

REFERENCES

- [1] Yadav L, Pareek P, Kumar A, Jaswal A, Bamal R. Pharmacovigilance and materiovigilance in India: a review. *Int J Adv Community Med.* 2022;5(1):41-44. doi: 10.33545/comed.2022.v5.i1a.224.
- [2] Kakkar S, Dadhich J. Overview of Materiovigilance Programme of India. *Int J Drug Regul Aff.* 2019;7(3):12-18.
- [3] Kumar M, Sharma AR, Singh A, PK S, Raja A, Medhi B. An overview on surveillance of biomedical devices under the Materiovigilance Programme of India. *Perspect Clin Res.* 2020;11(4):190-196.
- [4] Deshwal M, Nagpal M, Dhingra GA, Aggarwal G. An updated review on materiovigilance for safe use of medical devices. *Int J Drug Regul Aff.* 2020;8(4):5-13.
- [5] Rani S, Singh K. Materiovigilance: An emerging discipline. *Int J Sci Res.* 2018;7(6):15-16
- [6] Gupta P, Janodia MD, Jagadish PC, Udupa N. Medical device vigilance systems: india, US, UK, and Australia. *Med Devices (Auckl)* 2010; 3:67.

- [7] Mandal S, Mandal M. Evolution of Pharmacovigilance Programme: Present status in India. *Pharma Times* 2017; 49: 31-36
- [8] Kalaiselvan V, Srivastava S, Singh A. et al. Pharmacovigilance in India: Present scenario and future challenges. *Drug Safety* 2019; 42: 339-346
- [9] Mal DK, Ehsan I, Mukherjee B. MATERIOVIGILANCE and HAEMOVIGILANCE. Available from; <https://www.asianhbm.com/healthcare-management/materiovigilance-haemovigilance> Accessed on January 9, 2020
- [10] Date AP, Date AA, Siddiqui RA, Shende TR, Salankar HV, Quazi SH, et al. Materiovigilance programme of India: a step towards patient safety. *International Journal of Basic & Clinical Pharmacology* [Internet]. 2023 Jun 27;12(4):621–5.
- [11] PharmaBiz News. IPC expands MDMCs network to 639 centres to report medical device related adverse events: 28 Oct 2025
- [12] Indian Pharmacopoeia Commission. *Medical Device Adverse Event Reporting Form*. Materiovigilance Programme of India (MvPI). Ghaziabad: IPC; Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India.
- [13] Khinvasara T, Tzenios N, Shankar A. Post-market surveillance of medical devices using AI. *J Compl Altern Med Res*. [Internet]. 2024 Jun 29 [cited 2026 Jan 11];25(7):108–122. Available from: <https://journaljocamr.com/index.php/JOCAMR/article/view/552>
- [14] Vikas D, Venkataraman R, Hafis A. Advancements in materiovigilance: A comprehensive overview. *Perspect Clin Res*. 2025; 16:111–117.
- [15] Anand S, Sönmez ÖF. Strengthening dental materiovigilance: a review of international reporting frameworks. *Int Dent J*. 2025 Aug 7;75(5):100943–100945.
- [16] Kale S, Arvind Janardhan Vatkar, Ashok Shyam, Deepak Langade. Elevating Orthopaedic Care through Materiovigilance. *Journal of Orthopaedic Case Reports*. 2025 Jan 1;15(6):1–4.
- [17] Sharma R, Rizvi W, Naeem SS. Navigating the landscape of medical device failures: challenges, regulations, and materiovigilance. *J Pharmacovig Drug Saf*. 2024;21(1):8–14.