

Dr. B.R. Ambedkar's Vision and Contemporary Indian Governance: A Comparative Data Analysis of Social, Economic, and Constitutional Realities

Ritu Sharma
IGNOU

Abstract—Dr. B.R. Ambedkar's intellectual legacy extends far beyond his role as the chief architect of the Indian Constitution; he was a pioneering economist, a champion of labor rights, and a radical social reformer. This research paper evaluates the application and evolution of Ambedkar's core philosophies constitutional morality, state socialism, and social justice within contemporary Indian governance. Utilizing a comparative data analysis approach, the paper contrasts Ambedkar's mid-20th-century socio-economic blueprint with current policy frameworks, including the privatization of the public sector, the introduction of the Economically Weaker Sections (EWS) quota, and the evolving nature of Indian federalism. The findings suggest a paradoxical reality: while Ambedkar's constitutional mechanisms for affirmative action have significantly democratized political and educational spaces, contemporary economic policies have largely diverged from his vision of state-led egalitarianism, leading to widened socio-economic disparities.

I. INTRODUCTION

In his final speech to the Constituent Assembly on November 25, 1949, Dr. B.R. Ambedkar issued a stark warning: "On the 26th of January 1950, we are going to enter into a life of contradictions. In politics, we will have equality and in social and economic life we will have inequality." He argued that political democracy would be in peril unless transformed into a social democracy.

More than seven decades later, contemporary Indian governance continues to grapple with this exact contradiction. This paper investigates how modern administrative, economic, and legal frameworks align with or deviate from Ambedkar's foundational ideas.

Research Objectives:

1. To analyze the trajectory of affirmative action (social justice) from Ambedkar's caste-based reservations to contemporary economic-based quotas.
2. To contrast Ambedkar's vision of "State Socialism" with India's post-1991 neoliberal economic governance using comparative data.
3. To evaluate the current state of "Constitutional Morality" and federalism in India.

II. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK: THE CORE PILLARS OF AMBEDKARISM

To assess contemporary governance, we must first distill Ambedkar's ideas into three actionable pillars:

1. **Constitutional Morality:** The principle that the Constitution is not merely a legal document but a moral compass requiring adherence to its spirit liberty, equality, and fraternity by both the state and the citizens.
2. **Annihilation of Caste via State Intervention:** Ambedkar believed that the Hindu social order could not reform itself organically; it required aggressive state intervention through legal safeguards, political representation, and educational quotas (Articles 15, 16, 330, and 332).
3. **State Socialism:** In his memorandum States and Minorities (1947), Ambedkar advocated for the nationalization of key industries, state ownership of agricultural land, and robust labor protections, arguing that relying entirely on private enterprise would subject the marginalized to economic exploitation.

III. SOCIAL JUSTICE: FROM CASTE-BASED QUOTAS TO EWS (A DATA ANALYSIS)

Ambedkar championed reservations as a tool for representation, not merely poverty alleviation. He viewed untouchability and caste discrimination as structural exclusions requiring structural remedies.

3.1 The Evolution of Affirmative Action

Contemporary governance has expanded on Ambedkar's framework but also shifted its foundational logic. The introduction of the 103rd

Constitutional Amendment (2019), which provides a 10% quota for Economically Weaker Sections (EWS) among unreserved categories, marked a philosophical shift from "historical social disadvantage" to "current economic deprivation."

3.2 Comparative Data: Representation vs. Reality

To understand the efficacy of Ambedkar's framework in modern governance, we must look at the data regarding higher education and government employment.

Table 1: Comparative Data on SC/ST Representation in Higher Education (Enrollment %) (Sources: AISHE Reports 2014-15 to 2021-22)

Year	Total Enrollment (Millions)	SC Enrollment (%)	ST Enrollment (%)	Constitutional Mandate
1950 (Est.)	< 0.5	< 2.0%	< 1.0%	15% (SC), 7.5% (ST)
2014-15	34.2	13.4%	4.8%	15% (SC), 7.5% (ST)
2021-22	43.3	15.3%	6.3%	15% (SC), 7.5% (ST)

Data Interpretation:

The data reveals a steady, albeit slow, realization of Ambedkar's vision. By 2021-22, SC enrollment (15.3%) finally mirrored their demographic share and constitutional mandate. However, contemporary governance struggles with "qualitative" representation. Data from the Ministry of Personnel (2023) indicates that while SC/ST representation is robust in Group C and D government jobs, severe deficits remain in Group A (executive) services and university faculty positions.

Ambedkar was deeply skeptical of unchecked capitalism. In *States and Minorities*, he proposed:

- State ownership of agriculture (collectivized farming).
- Nationalization of basic and key industries.
- State monopoly on insurance.

IV. ECONOMIC GOVERNANCE: STATE SOCIALISM VS. NEOLIBERALISM

Perhaps the greatest divergence between Ambedkar's ideas and contemporary governance lies in economic policy.

4.1 Ambedkar's Economic Blueprint

4.2 The Contemporary Neoliberal Shift

Since the 1991 economic liberalization, Indian governance has actively dismantled the "License Raj" and pursued aggressive privatization (disinvestment). The contemporary state views itself as a "facilitator" of capital rather than the primary owner of industry.

4.3 Comparative Data Analysis: Wealth Inequality

Ambedkar warned that a purely capitalist economy would consolidate wealth among the historically privileged castes, leaving the marginalized landless and exploited.

Table 2: Wealth Concentration in India (1950 vs. 2023) (Sources: World Inequality Database, Oxfam India Reports)

Economic Indicator	1951 (Post-Independence Era)	2022-2023 (Contemporary Era)
Share of National Wealth (Top 10%)	~35%	~65%
Share of National Wealth (Bottom 50%)	~20%	~3%

Public Sector Employment Growth	Expanding (Focus of 1st/2nd Five Year Plans)	Contracting (Focus on Privatization/Contractualization)
---------------------------------	--	---

Data Interpretation:

The data highlights a glaring contradiction to Ambedkar's egalitarian vision. While contemporary neoliberal policies have lifted millions out of absolute poverty and vastly increased India's GDP, the relative inequality has skyrocketed. Furthermore, the shrinking of the public sector directly impacts the marginalized, as private sector employment in India does not mandate constitutional reservations.

V. LABOR RIGHTS AND THE MODERN WORKFORCE

Ambedkar was the driving force behind several pro-labor legislations during his tenure as the Labour Member of the Viceroy's Executive Council (1942–1946). He introduced the 8-hour workday, equal pay for equal work, and maternity benefits.

Contemporary Governance: In 2020, the Indian government consolidated 29 central labor laws into four broad Labor Codes. Proponents argue this simplifies archaic laws and improves the "Ease of Doing Business." However, critics argue that these codes make it easier to hire and fire workers, diluting the collective bargaining power of trade unions a stark contrast to Ambedkar's protective labor stance.

VI. FEDERALISM AND THE CENTRALIZING TENDENCIES OF THE STATE

Ambedkar designed India as a "Union of States," a federation with a strong unitary bias, particularly during emergencies (Article 356). He favored a strong center to prevent provincialism and protect minorities from local majoritarianism.

6.1 Fiscal Federalism Today

Contemporary governance has seen significant shifts in fiscal federalism, most notably the introduction of the Goods and Services Tax (GST) in 2017.

- Ambedkarian Lens: Ambedkar believed the Center must have adequate fiscal resources to drive national development. GST aligns with this by creating a unified national market.
- Contemporary Critique: However, states frequently argue that the GST regime has stripped

them of their taxation autonomy, making them overly reliant on the Center for revenue compensation, thereby straining the cooperative federalism Ambedkar envisioned.

VII. THE REALITY OF SOCIAL DEMOCRACY: A CONTINUING STRUGGLE

Ambedkar famously stated, "Educate, Agitate, Organize." He believed that social democracy meant a way of life that recognizes liberty, equality, and fraternity as the principles of life.

Despite legal safeguards, contemporary data on social atrocities presents a grim reality. According to the National Crime Records Bureau (NCRB) 2022 report, crimes against Scheduled Castes (SCs) increased by 13.1% compared to 2021. This statistical reality underscores Ambedkar's thesis: the law alone cannot enforce equality if the societal mindset ("Constitutional Morality") remains anchored in caste-based hierarchy.

VIII. CONCLUSION

A comparative analysis of Dr. B.R. Ambedkar's philosophies against the backdrop of contemporary Indian governance reveals a complex, dual-track reality.

In the realm of political and educational representation, the Indian state has largely adhered to Ambedkar's constitutional framework. The steady increase in SC/ST enrollment in higher education stands as a testament to the success of legislated affirmative action. However, the foundational philosophy of reservations is currently being challenged by the introduction of economic criteria (EWS) and debates surrounding sub-categorization.

Conversely, in the economic sphere, contemporary governance has radically departed from Ambedkar's vision of State Socialism. The pivot toward privatization, coupled with the contractualization of labor, has precipitated extreme wealth inequality. Because the private sector remains exempt from reservation policies, the shrinking footprint of the state

directly threatens the economic mobility of historically marginalized groups.

Ultimately, Ambedkar's ideas remain the most potent critical lens through which to evaluate the Indian State. Until the nation reconciles its robust political democracy with the glaring deficits in its social and economic spheres, Ambedkar's warning from 1949 will remain a haunting, unresolved prophecy

REFERENCES AND BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] Ambedkar, B. R. (1947). States and Minorities: What are Their Rights and How to Secure Them in the Constitution of Free India.
- [2] Constituent Assembly Debates (CAD), Volume XI. (1949). Speech by Dr. B.R. Ambedkar on November 25, 1949.
- [3] Ministry of Education, Government of India. (2022). All India Survey on Higher Education (AISHE) 2021-2022.
- [4] National Crime Records Bureau (NCRB). (2022). Crime in India Report. Ministry of Home Affairs.
- [5] Oxfam India. (2023). Survival of the Richest: The India Story.
- [6] Thorat, S., & Kumar, N. (2008). B.R. Ambedkar: Perspectives on Social Exclusion and Inclusive Policies. Oxford University Press.
- [7] Jaffrelot, C. (2005). Dr Ambedkar and Untouchability: Analyzing and Fighting Caste. Columbia University Press.