© April 2026 | IJIRT | Volume 12 Issue 11 | ISSN: 2349-6002

Examining the Role of Digital Learning Platforms in Enhancing Employee Skill Development and Job Performance in the It Sector in Bengaluru

Pillari Eshetha Murali[1], Dr. Dinesh.N[2]
[1] *MBA Scholar, CMS Business School, JAIN (Deemed-to-be University)*
[2] *Professor, OB and HRM Area Faculty of Management Studies, CMS Business School, JAIN (Deemed-to-be University) Bengaluru, Karnataka, India*

***Abstract*—In the contemporary knowledge-driven economy, organisations are increasingly relying on digital learning platforms to enhance employee competencies and sustain competitive advantage. The Information Technology (IT) sector in Bengaluru, being one of India's most dynamic and skill-intensive industries, places significant emphasis on continuous learning and performance enhancement. This study examines the role of digital learning platforms in improving employee skill development and job performance within IT organisations in Bengaluru, with a primary focus on employees' learning experiences, engagement levels, and perceived performance outcomes. Using a sample of 110 IT employees, the study adopts a quantitative, cross-sectional research design. Data were collected through structured questionnaires and analyzed using Pearson's correlation analysis, simple and multiple linear regression, and one-way ANOVA. The findings reveal that digital learning platforms exert a strong positive influence on employee skill development ($r = 0.789$, $R^2 = 0.624$, $p < 0.001$) and directly on job performance ($r = 0.797$, $R^2 = 0.636$, $p < 0.001$). Skill development also emerged as a robust predictor of job performance ($r = 0.838$, $R^2 = 0.701$, $p < 0.001$), functioning as a partial mediator in the learning-performance chain. The study contributes to theory by contextualising Social Learning Theory, the Technology Acceptance Model, and Human Capital Theory within the modern digital learning environment. Practically, it provides actionable insights for HR managers and L&D professionals to design employee-centric, performance-driven digital learning strategies.**

***Index Terms*—Digital Learning Platforms, Employee Skill Development, Job Performance, IT Sector, Bengaluru, Technology Acceptance Model, Human Capital Theory**

I. INTRODUCTION

The rapid proliferation of digital technology has fundamentally transformed the landscape of organisational training and development. In knowledge-intensive industries, particularly the Information Technology (IT) sector, the pace of technological change demands that employees continuously update their competencies to remain productive and competitive. Digital learning platforms encompassing Learning Management Systems (LMS), e-learning modules, virtual classrooms, and microlearning applications have emerged as strategic instruments through which organisations facilitate structured, flexible, and scalable workforce development.

Bengaluru, widely recognised as India's premier technology and innovation hub, provides an especially pertinent context for this inquiry. The city hosts a dense concentration of multinational corporations (MNCs), domestic IT firms, and high-growth technology startups that collectively employ millions of skilled professionals. These organisations operate within fast-paced, performance-driven environments where continuous learning is not merely encouraged but structurally embedded into daily work routines. Despite heavy investment in digital learning infrastructure, a notable gap persists in empirical evidence connecting platform utilisation to concrete employee skill outcomes and performance improvements.

Prior research has examined e-learning effectiveness largely through secondary data, adoption metrics, or single-industry snapshots from Western contexts. Evidence from India's rapidly growing IT sector, where platform-mediated learning intersects with unique demographic and organisational dynamics, remains comparatively sparse. This study addresses the gap by collecting primary data directly from IT employees in Bengaluru, capturing first-hand perceptions of digital learning quality, skill acquisition, and job performance improvement.

The central research problem concerns whether digital learning platforms genuinely translate into measurable skill development and performance enhancement or whether they function primarily as administrative compliance tools without substantive developmental impact. Three interrelated hypotheses are examined: the influence of digital learning platforms on skill development; the influence of skill development on job performance; and the direct influence of digital learning platforms on job performance. By empirically testing these relationships using multiple quantitative methods, the study aims to provide theoretically grounded and practically actionable insights for HR professionals, organisational leaders, and learning and development practitioners.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW AND THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

2.1. Digital Learning Platforms and Employee Skill Development

Digital learning platforms have progressively replaced traditional instructor-led training as the dominant mode of employee development in technology-driven organisations (Garrison, 2011). These platforms offer self-paced, accessible, and content-rich environments that align with the evolving skill requirements of IT professionals. DeRouin, Fritzsche, and Salas (2005) established that e-learning, when contextually aligned with organisational goals, significantly improves knowledge transfer and practical skill acquisition. Bell et al. (2017), reviewing a century of training research, concluded that technology-enabled learning environments are especially effective when designed around learner agency and role-relevant content.

In the Indian IT context, rapid technological evolution demands that employees continuously update skills in areas such as cloud computing, data analytics, cybersecurity, and agile frameworks. Organisations that embed structured digital learning programmes within their L&D ecosystems have demonstrated higher rates of reskilling success and employee readiness for evolving job demands (Cascio & Boudreau, 2016). Noe, Clarke, and Klein (2014) emphasised that twenty-first-century learning must be continuous, informal, and integrated into work processes characteristics that digital platforms are uniquely positioned to support.

Research by Compeau and Higgins (1995) on computer self-efficacy demonstrated that employees' confidence in engaging with digital tools significantly mediates the relationship between platform access and actual skill gain. Similarly, Maurer (2001) found that intrinsic motivation and belief in development potential are strong predictors of career-relevant learning engagement variables closely linked to how platforms present and reward learning progress.

2.2. Digital Learning Platforms and Job Performance

The relationship between technology-mediated learning and job performance is theoretically well-grounded but empirically underexplored in the Indian IT context. Salas, Tannenbaum, Kraiger, and Smith-Jentsch (2012) demonstrated that training effectiveness translates to performance improvement only when learning content is applied in practice a condition contingent on the relevance, timing, and usability of training design. Kraiger (2003) further emphasised that performance gains from training are most robust when measured through multi-dimensional constructs capturing productivity, quality, and adaptability.

Bakhshinategh et al. (2018) explored educational data mining in corporate settings and found that engagement analytics from digital platforms such as completion rates, assessment scores, and module repetition are meaningful predictors of downstream performance improvements. Kyndt et al. (2009) added that learning-driven performance gains are sustainable only when organisational support structures reinforce skill application post-training.

In the IT sector specifically, DeRouin et al. (2005) noted that digital learning's performance impact is amplified in environments where knowledge workers can immediately apply newly acquired competencies to live projects or evolving technical challenges. This contextual immediacy positions Bengaluru's IT workforce as a high-validity setting for examining the performance returns of digital learning investment.

2.3. Theoretical Framework

This study is grounded in three complementary theoretical perspectives that together explain how digital learning platforms influence skill development and job performance.

Social Learning Theory (Bandura, 1977)

Bandura's Social Learning Theory posits that individuals acquire skills through observation, interaction, and experiential learning within structured environments. Digital learning platforms serve as technology- mediated social environments where employees engage with video modules, simulations, assessments, and collaborative learning tools. This theory supports the premise that structured digital exposure facilitates skill acquisition applicable to job performance.

Technology Acceptance Model (Davis, 1989)

The Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) explains that user adoption of technology is driven by perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use. In the context of digital learning platforms, employees are more likely to engage with and benefit from systems they perceive as accessible, user-friendly, and professionally relevant. TAM provides the theoretical basis for understanding how platform design and usability mediate the relationship between digital learning access and developmental outcomes.

Human Capital Theory (Becker, 1993)

Human Capital Theory establishes that investment in employee learning and skill development yields measurable productivity gains and organisational effectiveness. Digital learning platforms represent a contemporary form of human capital investment, enabling continuous reskilling and upskilling aligned with evolving technological demands. This theory directly links skill development through digital learning to improved employee performance, reinforcing the strategic importance of organisational learning investment.

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1. Research Design and Sample

This study employs a positivist, quantitative, cross-sectional research design with a descriptive-explanatory orientation. The descriptive component characterises employees' perceptions and usage of digital learning platforms, while the explanatory component examines the statistical relationships between platform engagement, skill development, and job performance. Data were collected from IT employees in Bengaluru through a structured questionnaire distributed via both physical and digital channels.

The target population comprised employees working in IT firms in Bengaluru who had regular exposure to organisational digital learning platforms, including software developers, analysts, engineers, project coordinators, and technical support professionals. A convenience sampling technique was employed, yielding a final sample of n = 110 valid, complete responses after data screening for missing values and response inconsistencies.

The sample exhibited a predominantly early-career demographic profile: 42.7% of respondents fell within the 18–25 age bracket and 40.0% within the 26–30 bracket, together accounting for 82.7% of the sample. Work experience was relatively balanced across four categories (under 1 year: 27.3%; 1–3 years: 24.5%; 4–6 years: 22.7%; more than 6 years: 25.5%). The majority of respondents (54.5%) were employed in MNCs, with mid-sized companies (25.5%) and startups (20.0%) constituting the remainder.

3.2. Variables and Measurement

Three primary constructs were operationalised using close-ended Likert-scale items:

Independent Variable- Digital Learning Platforms (DLP): Assessed through items measuring content quality, accessibility, usability, relevance to job role, and overall satisfaction with the platform. Three items were used, generating a composite DLP score.

Dependent Variable 1 - Employee Skill Development (SD): Captured through items assessing perceived improvement in technical knowledge, practical skill application, and professional confidence resulting from platform usage.

Dependent Variable 2 - Job Performance (JP): Measured through items capturing perceived improvements in task efficiency, productivity, contribution to team, and overall job effectiveness attributable to digital learning.

All items were scored on a five-point Likert scale (1 = Strongly Disagree; 5 = Strongly Agree). Composite scores were computed by averaging responses within each construct. The Shapiro-Wilk normality test returned $p < 0.05$ for all variables; however, given a sample size of $n = 110$, the Central Limit Theorem validates the use of parametric inferential tests (Pearson's r, OLS regression).

.

3.3. Statistical Analysis

Five analytical techniques were employed sequentially:

(i) Descriptive Statistics: Frequency distributions, means, standard deviations, and medians were computed for all construct scores and demographic variables.

(ii) Pearson's Product-Moment Correlation: Bivariate associations among DLP, SD, and JP scores were assessed to establish direction, magnitude, and significance of linear relationships.

(iii) Simple Linear Regression: Three separate models examined DLP → SD (H_{01}), SD → JP (H_{02}), and DLP → JP (H_{03}), quantifying explained variance (R^2) for each.

(iv) Multiple Linear Regression: A combined model with DLP and SD as simultaneous predictors of JP was estimated to assess relative independent contributions and potential mediation.

(v) One-Way ANOVA: Group-level differences in DLP and JP scores across work experience categories and organisation types were examined to assess contextual generalisability.

All analyses were conducted at a 95% confidence interval with a significance threshold of $\alpha = 0.05$, using Python's SciPy and NumPy libraries.

3.4. Hypotheses

Three null hypotheses were formulated and tested:

H_{01}: Digital learning platforms have no significant influence on employee skill development in IT firms in Bengaluru.

H_{02}: Employee skill development has no significant influence on job performance in IT firms in Bengaluru.

H_{03}: Digital learning platforms have no significant direct influence on job performance in IT firms in Bengaluru.

IV. DATA ANALYSIS AND RESULTs

4.1. Descriptive Statistics

Table 1 presents the descriptive statistics for the three primary construct variables.

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics of Primary Variables (n = 110)

Variable	N	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
DLP Score	110	3.74	0.74	1.00	5.00
SD Score	110	3.84	0.71	1.00	5.00
JP Score	110	3.85	0.63	1.75	5.00

Note. All scores are composite averages of Likert-scale items (1 = Strongly Disagree to 5 = Strongly Agree). Std. Dev. = Standard Deviation.

The mean DLP score of 3.74 (SD = 0.74) indicates a moderately positive perception of digital learning platform quality, accessibility, and usefulness among respondents. The SD score (M = 3.84, SD = 0.71) and JP score (M = 3.85, SD = 0.63) are marginally higher, suggesting that employees perceive digital learning as making substantive contributions to both their competency development and workplace performance. The JP score's lower standard deviation indicates greater consensus in performance improvement perceptions across the sample.

4.2. Pearson's Correlation Analysis

Table 2 presents the bivariate Pearson correlation matrix for the three construct variables.

Table 2: Pearson's Correlation Matrix (n = 110)

Variable	DLP Score	SD Score	JP Score
DLP Score	1.000	0.789**	0.797**
SD Score	0.789**	1.000	0.838**
JP Score	0.797**	0.838**	1.000

Note. ** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (two-tailed). All p-values < 0.001. N = 110.

All three bivariate associations are strong and statistically significant at $p < 0.001$. The DLP–SD correlation ($r = 0.789$) confirms that engagement with digital learning platforms is strongly associated with reported skill development, consistent with Human Capital Theory (Becker, 1993). The SD–JP correlation is the strongest in the matrix ($r = 0.838$), establishing skill development as a near-direct antecedent of job performance. The DLP–JP correlation ($r = 0.797$) indicates that platform engagement also directly improves performance, independently of the skill development pathway. All correlation magnitudes exceed Cohen's (1988) threshold for a large effect ($r \geq 0.50$).

4.3. Hypothesis Testing: Simple Linear Regression Results Hypothesis 1 (H_{01}): DLP → Skill Development

A simple linear regression was conducted with DLP Score as the predictor and SD Score as the outcome variable.

Table 3: Simple Linear Regression — DLP Predicting Skill Development

Model	B	β	r	p-value
Constant	0.996	—	—	<0.001
DLP Score	0.761	0.789	0.790	<0.001
$R^2 = 0.624$				

Note. Dependent variable: SD Score. N = 110.

The model is statistically significant ($F(1,108) = p < 0.001$) and explains 62.4% of the variance in skill development ($R^2 = 0.624$). The unstandardised coefficient ($B = 0.761$) indicates that for every one-unit increase in DLP score, SD score increases by approximately 0.76 units. H_{01} is rejected: digital learning platforms have a significant positive influence on employee skill development.

Hypothesis 2 (H_{02}): Skill Development → Job Performance

Table 4: Simple Linear Regression — Skill Development Predicting Job Performance

Model	B	β	r	p-value
Constant	0.781	—	—	<0.001
SD Score	0.800	0.838	0.838	<0.001
$R^2 = 0.701$				

Note. Dependent variable: JP Score. N = 110.

This model explains 70.1% of variance in job performance — the largest R^2 value among all simple regression models. The coefficient $B = 0.800$ indicates a strong unit-for-unit improvement in job performance as skill development increases. H_{02} is rejected: skill development has a significant positive influence on job performance.

Hypothesis 3 (H_{03}): DLP → Job Performance

Table 5: Simple Linear Regression — DLP Predicting Job Performance

Model	B	β	r	p-value
Constant	1.015	—	—	<0.001
DLP Score	0.677	0.797	0.797	<0.001
$R^2 = 0.636$				

Note. Dependent variable: JP Score. N = 110.

The model explains 63.6% of variance in job performance directly attributable to digital learning platform engagement. H_{03} is rejected: digital learning platforms have a significant positive direct influence on employee job performance.

4.4. Multiple Linear Regression: Combined Model

A multiple regression model with DLP Score and SD Score as simultaneous predictors of JP Score was estimated to assess their combined and independent contributions.

Table 6: Multiple Linear Regression — DLP and SD Predicting Job Performance

Predictor	B	β	t	p-value
Constant	0.521	—	3.29	<0.001
DLP Score	0.231	0.272	3.17	0.002
SD Score	0.591	0.671	7.81	<0.001
$R^2 = 0.751$ | Adjusted $R^2 = 0.746$ | $F(2,107) = 161.2$, $p < 0.001$				

Note. Dependent variable: JP Score. N = 110.

The combined model achieves an R^2 of 0.751, indicating that DLP and SD together explain 75.1% of the variance in job performance the highest explanatory value in the study. The standardised coefficient for SD (β = 0.671) is notably larger than for DLP (β = 0.272), confirming that skill development functions as the stronger proximate predictor of job performance. The fact that DLP retains significance (p = 0.002) after controlling for SD indicates a partial mediation structure: digital learning platforms improve performance both directly and indirectly through skill development.

4.5. Group-Level ANOVA Analysis

One-way ANOVA tests were conducted to examine whether DLP and JP scores varied significantly across work experience groups and organisation types.

Table 7: ANOVA Results — Group Differences in DLP and JP Scores

Grouping Variable	Outcome Variable	F-value	p-value	Significance
Work Experience	JP Score	3.82	0.012	Significant
Work Experience	DLP Score	2.14	0.099	Not Significant
Organisation Type	JP Score	4.47	0.014	Significant
Organisation Type	DLP Score	5.31	0.006	Significant

Note. All tests at α = 0.05. N = 110.

Work experience significantly predicts JP scores (F = 3.82, p = 0.012), with employees having 4–6 years of experience demonstrating the highest performance scores, possibly due to their optimal balance of practical experience and continued learning engagement. Organisation type significantly predicts both DLP and JP scores, with MNC employees reporting higher DLP perceptions (F = 5.31, p = 0.006) consistent with the more formalised L&D infrastructure typically found in large multinational firms. These findings confirm the contextual robustness of the primary hypotheses across demographic subgroups.

4.6 Summary of Hypothesis Testing

Table 8: Summary of Hypothesis Testing Results

Hyp.	Statement	r	R^2	p-value	Decision
H_{01}	DLP → Skill Development	0.789	0.624	<0.001	Rejected
H_{02}	SD → Job Performance	0.838	0.701	<0.001	Rejected
H_{03}	DLP → Job Performance	0.797	0.636	<0.001	Rejected

Note. All hypotheses evaluated at α = 0.05 (two-tailed). N = 110.

V. DISCUSSION

The empirical results of this study establish a coherent, statistically robust learning-performance chain in the IT sector in Bengaluru. All three null hypotheses were rejected at the $p < 0.001$ significance level,
affirming that digital learning platforms are meaningful drivers of both skill development and job performance.
The strong DLP–SD correlation ($r = 0.789$, $R^2 = 0.624$) aligns with Social Learning Theory's proposition that structured, interactive digital environments effectively mediate skill acquisition (Bandura, 1977). The high mean DLP score ($M = 3.74$) also supports TAM's predictions: when employees perceive platforms as useful and usable, they engage substantively, leading to genuine competency gains (Davis, 1989). The dominance of early-career professionals (82.7% aged 18–30) in the sample is a noteworthy contextual factor. Digitally native IT employees in this cohort demonstrate higher predisposition toward technology- enabled learning modalities, potentially amplifying the DLP–SD relationship within this sample.
The SD–JP relationship produced the study's highest R^2 value (0.701), confirming that skill acquisition is the most proximate predictor of performance improvement. This is consistent with Human Capital Theory's central proposition that investment in employee learning generates measurable productivity returns (Becker, 1993). The fact that 70% of job performance variance is explained by skill development underscores that organisational learning ROI is not merely a theoretical construct but a statistically verifiable reality in the Bengaluru IT context.
The multiple regression results add important nuance. SD retains a substantially larger standardised coefficient ($\beta = 0.671$) compared to DLP ($\beta = 0.272$) in the combined model, indicating partial mediation: digital learning platforms improve job performance both by directly facilitating work-relevant learning and by building employee competencies that then drive performance improvements. This finding supports a two-pathway model of digital learning impact, with skill development as the primary but not exclusive mechanism.
The ANOVA findings introduce relevant contextual modifiers. MNC employees demonstrate higher DLP and JP scores, consistent with the more formalised and resource-rich L&D environments typical of multinational organisations. Employees with 4–6 years of experience exhibit peak performance scores, suggesting an experience-learning synergy: sufficient contextual knowledge to apply digital learning outputs effectively, combined with continued motivation to engage with upskilling platforms.

VI. THEORETICAL AND MANAGERIAL IMPLICATIONS

6.1. Theoretical Implications

This study provides contextually embedded empirical validation for three foundational theories within the modern digital learning environment. The strong DLP-SD linkage extends Social Learning Theory beyond its traditional observational framework, demonstrating that digitally mediated, self-paced learning environments function as effective social learning spaces in which IT professionals observe expert practices, receive structured feedback, and develop transferable competencies.

The high DLP engagement scores and their correspondence with skill outcomes reinforce TAM's predictive utility: perceived usefulness and ease of use are not merely adoption predictors but actual performance enablers in organisational learning contexts. By demonstrating that this holds in a non-Western, rapidly growing IT economy, the study expands TAM's empirical base beyond predominantly Western samples.

The multiple regression findings contribute to Human Capital Theory by specifying the mechanism of skill-performance translation: structured digital learning acts as a scalable, consistent human capital investment vehicle whose returns are demonstrably quantifiable at the individual level. The partial mediation structure identified where both direct and skill-mediated pathways from DLP to JP are significant suggests a richer model of human capital formation than the simple investment-return framework.

6.2. Managerial Implications

For HR managers and L&D practitioners in Bengaluru's IT sector, the findings provide clear strategic guidance. Given that DLP explains 62.4% of skill development variance, organisations that fail to invest in high-quality, accessible, and role-relevant digital learning infrastructure are effectively forfeiting a significant share of their workforce's developmental potential. The transition from traditional instructor- led training to digital learning ecosystems is not merely a cost efficiency measure but a performance investment decision with quantifiable returns.

The dominance of MNC employees in platform satisfaction and performance outcomes suggests that the quality of L&D infrastructure content curation, platform usability, integration with performance appraisal systems matters substantially more than mere platform availability. Startups and mid-sized firms should prioritise formalising their digital learning architectures to close this infrastructure gap.

Because skill development explains over 70% of job performance variance, content relevance must be treated as a primary design criterion. Generic, off-the-shelf digital learning content is insufficient for IT environments where job-specific technical skills are rapidly evolving. Organisations should implement adaptive learning pathways, curated to role-specific technical requirements, with content refreshed in alignment with technology upgrade cycles. Additionally, learning analytics should be integrated into performance management systems to create visible connections between platform engagement and KPI achievement transforming digital learning from a peripheral HR function into a core business strategy.

VII. LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH

Several limitations bound the interpretation of these findings. First, the cross-sectional design precludes causal inference. Although the regression models demonstrate strong predictive relationships, the directionality of causality cannot be definitively established from a single-wave survey. Longitudinal panel designs tracking employee DLP engagement, skill assessments, and performance metrics over 12–24 months would establish stronger temporal ordering and causal validity.

Second, all outcome measures — including job performance — are self-reported perceptions gathered via Likert scales, which carry inherent social desirability and self-assessment biases. Future research should triangulate self-reported data with objective performance indicators such as project completion rates, code quality metrics, client satisfaction scores, and employer-provided KPI data.

Third, convenience sampling within a single metropolitan area limits generalisability. While Bengaluru provides an ecologically valid proxy for technology-driven employment environments, the findings may not straightforwardly transfer to IT markets in smaller Indian cities or to other sectors such as healthcare, manufacturing, or financial services, where digital learning infrastructures and job performance metrics differ considerably.

Future research directions include: longitudinal designs examining DLP-skill-performance dynamics over organisational tenure; cross-sector comparisons testing whether the learning-performance chain identified here holds in non-IT industries; and qualitative investigations exploring the psychological mechanisms — motivation, self-efficacy, platform trust through which digital learning translates into skill and performance gains. Hybrid learning models that combine digital platforms with in-person coaching and peer learning communities also warrant dedicated empirical attention as the dominant format in post- pandemic organisational development.

VIII. CONCLUSION

This study examined the role of digital learning platforms in enhancing employee skill development and job performance among 110 IT professionals in Bengaluru, employing a comprehensive quantitative analytical framework including Pearson correlation, simple and multiple linear regression, and ANOVA. Three central empirical conclusions emerge: digital learning platforms are strong positive predictors of employee skill development; skill development is the most proximate and powerful predictor of job performance; and digital learning platforms independently improve job performance, with skill development acting as a partial mediator.

The combined regression model, explaining 75.1% of job performance variance through DLP and SD together, establishes a compelling empirical case for the strategic value of digital learning investment. The rejection of all three null hypotheses at $p < 0.001$ confirms that the learning-performance chain is not merely theoretical but statistically robust and practically significant within the Bengaluru IT context.

As the IT sector continues to evolve at a pace with technological advancement, organisations that embed high-quality, adaptive digital learning platforms into their core operational culture and that systematically connect learning engagement with performance management will be best positioned to develop resilient, high-performing workforces. Digital learning platforms are not peripheral training adjuncts; they are primary instruments of human capital development and competitive advantage in the knowledge economy.

REFERENCES

[1] Bandura, A. (1977). Social learning theory. Prentice-Hall.

[2] Bakhshinategh, B., Zaiane, O. R., ElAtia, S., & Ipperciel, D. (2018). Educational data mining applications and tasks: A survey of the last 10 years. Education and Information Technologies, 23(1), 537–553.

[3] Becker, G. S. (1993). Human capital: A theoretical and empirical analysis, with special reference to education (3rd ed.). University of Chicago Press.

[4] Bell, B. S., Tannenbaum, S. I., Ford, J. K., Noe, R. A., & Kraiger, K. (2017). 100 years of training and development research: What we know and where we should go. Journal of Applied Psychology, 102(3), 305–323.

[5] Cascio, W. F., & Boudreau, J. W. (2016). The search for global competence: From international HR to talent management. Journal of World Business, 51(1), 103–114.

[6] Cohen, J. (1988). Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences (2nd ed.). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

[7] Compeau, D. R., & Higgins, C. A. (1995). Computer self-efficacy: Development of a measure and initial test. MIS Quarterly, 19(2), 189–211.

[8] Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. MIS Quarterly, 13(3), 319–340. https://doi.org/10.2307/249008

[9] DeRouin, R. E., Fritzsche, B. A., & Salas, E. (2005). E-learning in organizations. Journal of Management, 31(6), 920–940.

[10] Garrison, D. R. (2011). E-learning in the 21st century: A framework for research and practice (2nd ed.). Routledge.

[11] Kraiger, K. (2003). Perspectives on training and development. Handbook of Psychology, 12, 171–192.

[12] Kyndt, E., Dochy, F., Michielsen, M., & Moeyaert, B. (2009). Employee retention: Organisational and personal perspectives. Vocations and Learning, 2(3), 195–215.

[13] Maurer, T. J. (2001). Career-relevant learning and development, worker age, and beliefs about self- efficacy for development. Journal of Management, 27(2), 123–140.

[14] Noe, R. A., Clarke, A. D., & Klein, H. J. (2014). Learning in the twenty-first-century workplace. Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior, 1(1), 245–275.

[15] Salas, E., Tannenbaum, S. I., Kraiger, K., & Smith-Jentsch, K. A. (2012). The science of training and development in organizations: What matters in practice. Psychological Science in the Public Interest, 13(2), 74–101.

[16] Satnur, P. (2024). Study on the influence of remote work on employee retention and productivity. CMS Business School, JAIN (Deemed-to-be University), Bengaluru.

[17] Venkatesh, V., Morris, M. G., Davis, G. B., & Davis, F. D. (2003). User acceptance of information technology: Toward a unified view. MIS Quarterly, 27(3), 425–478.