# Impact of Violent Social Media Content on Aggression and Trolling Behaviour in Young Adults

Sanskriti Ahuja
*Amity university noida*

***Abstract*** **- According to recent studies, heightened interaction with social communication sites has greatly affected the behavior of young adults, especially through constant viewing of violent and aggressive content online. This has sparked worries about how it might influence people's emotional control, aggression levels, and ways of interacting online. The current research seeks to explore how viewing violent content on social communication site impacts aggressive behavior and trolling among young adults. A group of 100 young adults (comprising both males and females) aged from 18 to 25 years was selected through purposive sampling. Participants underwent evaluation using the Content-based Media Exposure Scale (C-ME2) to gauge their exposure to violent social communication site content, as well as the Global Assessment of Internet Trolling–Revised (GAIT-R) to measure their aggressive and trolling tendencies.**

**Statistical methods employed included paired-sample t-tests, correlation analysis, partial correlation, and regression analysis. The study's findings show that although participants indicated significant exposure to violent social communication site content, this exposure did not establish a statistically significant link to overall aggressive behavior. Correlation and regression analyses indicated that violent content exposure alone did not reliably predict aggressive behavior among young adults. Yet, descriptive trends hinted that those with higher exposure to violent social communication site content were more prone to display aggression through online actions like trolling. Hypothesis testing revealed that, while a direct link between exposure to violent content and general aggression was unsupported, exposure had a stronger correlation with online displays of aggression, especially trolling behavior. The conclusions drawn suggest that violent content on social communication site doesn't always lead to visible aggressive behaviors but may affect how aggression is shown in digital settings. The study emphasizes the situational aspects of aggression in online environments and highlights the need for media literacy, emotional control, and careful social communication site use among young adults.**

***Keywords:*** **Violent social communication site content, aggression, trolling behaviour, young adults, online aggression.**

## I. INTRODUCTION

Interactions on social verbal exchange web site relate to apply of online, interactive structures that allow users to create and share contents like textual content, images, and films, allows human beings to attach, speak, and construct online communities. In evaluation to conventional one-manner media, it promotes a -manner exchange for motives which include personal communication, advertising and marketing, information sharing, and leisure. by using fostering person-generated content and virtual networks, social communique site has grow to be an crucial international useful resource for humans and organizations to share received information , even as additionally imparting issues like the unfold of false obtained expertise .the short growth of social conversation web site has drastically modified how human beings talk, interact, and expand social identities, especially amongst teenagers. structures like Instagram, fb, X (Twitter), YouTube, and TikTok permit customers to disseminate received expertise , proportion perspectives, and participate in stay interactions beyond geographical limits. even though those structures provide many benefits together with social connections, self-expression, amusement, and get entry to to understanding in addition they difficulty customers to various types of harmful fabric.

social communique website can be described as digital, interactive structures that permit users to provide and percentage content material together with text, photographs, and motion pictures, permitting people to connect, communicate, and form online communities. in contrast to conventional one-manner media, it encourages two-manner interaction for functions along with personal communication, marketing, news sharing, and entertainment. through assisting user- generated content and digital networks, social communication website online has turn out to be an essential international device for individuals and groups to change acquired expertise ,

whilst also posing challenges including the unfold of misacquired know-how.

The speedy enlargement of social verbal exchange website online has profoundly transformed the manner people talk, have interaction, and construct social identities, specially amongst teenagers. platforms such as Instagram, facebook, X (Twitter), YouTube, and TikTok permit customers to share acquired understanding , express opinions, and have interaction in real-time interactions across geographical obstacles. while those platforms provide numerous advantages—which includes social connectivity, self-expression, entertainment, and get right of entry to to obtained understanding — in addition they disclose users to a wide variety of dangerous content material.

amongst those, violent and aggressive social communique site content material has emerged as a first-rate psychological and social subject. Researchers and mental health experts more and more emphasize that common exposure to such content may additionally have an impact on aggressive dispositions and make contributions to antisocial on-line behaviours together with trolling among teens (Anderson & Bushman, 2001; Krahé, 2014).

young adulthood (18- 25 years) represents a important developmental level characterised by emotional sensitivity, identity exploration, and heightened social engagement. throughout this section, people are particularly at risk of environmental influences, such as media exposure. Violent content material on social communication web site—whether presented via videos, pix, memes, or antagonistic remark threads—may additionally form attitudes, emotions, and behavioural responses. not like conventional media, social communique web site is interactive and immersive, permitting customers no longer handiest to eat content however additionally to take part in and support aggressive narratives. This interactive nature amplifies the ability psychological effect of violent exposure, making it a great region of subject in modern mental research. This interactivity intensifies exposure and may make stronger competitive norms, thereby increasing the chance of hostile behaviour in digital environments (Coyne et al., 2018). Violent social communication web page content material: concept and Scope Violent social communication web site content material can be described as any digital material shared on on line structures that depicts or promotes physical aggression, verbal hostility, threats, harassment, or damaging behaviour. This includes specific acts of violence, aggressive confrontations, hate speech, cyberbullying, and content material that glorifies or trivializes harm. continuous publicity to such content may additionally lead individuals to come to be desensitized to aggression, lowering emotional responsiveness to violence and growing tolerance towards adversarial behaviour.

From a mental perspective, repeated engagement with violent on line content can have an effect on cognitive tactics by means of shaping ideals approximately suited social behaviour. studies recommend that teenagers uncovered to competitive virtual environments may also develop distorted perceptions of social norms, perceiving hostility as common or justified. This normalization of aggression can happen both offline and online, contributing to multiplied irritability, anger, and adverse communication styles in virtual interactions.

Aggression and Trolling Behaviour in on line Contexts

Aggression refers to behaviour meant to purpose physical or mental damage to others. In on-line environments, aggression is frequently expressed not directly via opposed remarks, insults, threats, or harassment in preference to bodily acts. The anonymity and decreased accountability provided with the aid of social conversation web site systems lower social inhibitions, making aggressive expression much more likely. This phenomenon is normally defined through the web disinhibition effect, which suggests that individuals behave more impulsively and aggressively on line than they might in face-to-face interactions.

Trolling represents a particular form of online antisocial behaviour. It involves intentionally posting inflammatory, offensive, or misleading content material with the intention of provoking emotional reactions, disrupting discussions, or deriving entertainment from others' misery. unlike impulsive aggression, trolling is often intentional and strategic. studies indicates that trolling behaviour is related to trends consisting of low empathy, sensation-searching for, and a desire for social dominance or interest. exposure to violent and antagonistic on-line content material may also in addition fortify such behaviours via presenting models of adverse interplay

and profitable them through likes, stocks, or visibility. Theoretical perspectives Explaining Media impact several psychological theories offer a framework for understanding how violent social verbal exchange website content material influences aggression and trolling behaviour.

Social studying idea

Albert Bandura's Social studying principle emphasizes that people acquire behaviours by using looking at others, specially whilst those behaviours seem rewarded or socially standard. within the context of social communication website, teens are continuously exposed to influencers, peers, and nameless users carrying out competitive or provocative behaviour. whilst such moves obtain attention, validation, or leisure cost, they're more likely to be imitated. through the years, observational learning can lead to the internalization of competitive interaction patterns, increasing the chance of hostile on line engagement.

wellknown Aggression model (GAM) the general Aggression version offers a comprehensive explanation of competitive behaviour through integrating situational and personal elements. in step with this model, publicity to violent media serves as an outside stimulus that turns on aggressive thoughts, emotions, and physiological arousal. Repeated exposure strengthens competitive cognitive schemas, making adversarial responses extra automated. In social communique website contexts, violent or hostile content may feature as a situational trigger that will increase the opportunity of competitive reactions, consisting of trolling and cyberaggression (Anderson et al., 2017).

## II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Li et al. (2025) investigated the adverse media impact in social conversation site customers and observed that violent content material will increase perceived hostility, which in turn predicts trolling behavior. The observe emphasized young adults' vulnerability because of frequent and extended social conversation website engagement.

Montag et al. (2024) tested how social conversation website online algorithms extend violent content material exposure. Their findings cautioned that set of rules-pushed repetition of violent posts increases aggressive commenting and trolling behaviors among young adults.

March and Marrington (2023) explored how publicity to violent on-line environments interacts with dark character developments. The look at located that teenagers with better psychopathy and sadism ratings were much more likely to interact in trolling when often exposed to violent social verbal exchange site content.

Pakhi (2022) investigated the relationship among social verbal exchange site addiction and aggression amongst Indian teens elderly 18–25. The look at, using standardized measures which include the Bergen social communication website dependancy Scale and Buss–Perry Aggression Questionnaire, identified a slight advantageous correlation between excessive social conversation web site use and aggressive behaviour. common users reported drastically higher aggression, suggesting that unregulated engagement with social structures might also accentuate adversarial responses amongst young Indian customers. This study underscores the relevance of addictive social communique web site behaviours inside the improvement of aggression, which may be related to publicity to violent or provocative content on line.

Wang et al. (2022) examined antisocial social conversation web page content material and its have an effect on on malicious online trolling among college college students. consequences showed that violent content exposure appreciably expected trolling behavior thru expanded opposed attribution bias.

Kowert (2020) investigated how anonymity and exposure to adverse on-line environments sell trolling conduct. Findings showed that violent and competitive social communique web page content material reduces empathy and increases ethical disengagement, facilitating trolling among teens.

Coyne et al. (2019) investigated virtual aggression amongst rising adults and found a robust affiliation among violent online content material intake and competitive online behaviors. The observe concluded that teens exposed to violent social communication web site are more likely to interact in trolling, harassment, and opposed interactions.

Gentile et al. (2017) recommended that algorithm-driven exposure to violent and sensational content material will increase competitive dispositions with

the aid of repeatedly reinforcing comparable content material. young adults are mainly susceptible due to extended social communication web page use, which can also boost competitive commenting and trolling behaviors.

Krahé et al. (2015) examined violent media exposure and its courting with competitive behavior among adolescents and young adults. Their longitudinal findings indicated that violent online content material predicts increases in both bodily and verbal aggression over time. The interactive nature of social communique web site was observed to heighten aggressive inclinations with the aid of encouraging imitation and peer reinforcement.

Runions and Bak (2015) explored cyber-aggression among young humans and determined that frequent publicity to competitive and violent on line content appreciably expected trolling and harassment behaviors. The examine highlighted that social communique website platforms offer both exposure and possibility for aggression, reinforcing hostile norms.

## III. METHOD

Young adults between the ages of 18 to 25 from Delhi ncr were taken in consideration for the study. The research design was cross sectional and the sampling design adopted was convenient sampling. The sample consisted of 100 individuals.

Aim

To examine the impact of violent social communication site content on aggression and trolling behaviour in young adults

Objectives

1. To assess the level of exposure to violent social communication site content among young adults.
2. To examine the relationship between exposure to violent social communication site content and aggression levels in young adults.
3. To analyze the influence of violent social communication site content on trolling behaviour among young adults.
4. To study the role of psychological factors (such as empathy, moral disengagement, or hostile attribution bias) in mediating the relationship between violent social communication site content and online aggressive behaviour.
5. To compare aggression and trolling behaviour across different demographic variables (such as gender, age group, or duration of social communication site use) among young adults exposed to violent social communication site content.

Hypothesis:

H1: There will be a significant association between the exposure to violent content on social communication site and the expression of aggressive behavior among individuals. H2: There will be a significant relationship between individuals who view violent content further trying to express their aggressive behaviour through trolling on social communication site

Sample

Sample nature: 18 -25 year-old young adults, who are frequent social communication site users. Sample size: 100 participants.

Sampling technique: quantitative standardized questionnaires.

Source of sampling: data collection through Google forms and in person.

Description of the tools

*Content-based Media Exposure Scale (C-ME / C-ME2)*

The Content-based Media Exposure Scale (C-ME), later extended as C-ME2, is a standardized self-report instrument developed to assess individuals' exposure to antisocial (violent) and prosocial communication site content, including social communication site. The scale consists of 17 items measuring exposure to media content depicting aggression, violence, rule-breaking, and harmful behaviors. The C-ME2 assesses media exposure across different platforms by focusing on content characteristics rather than media type, making it suitable for research on violent social communication site exposure.

The antisocial communication site exposure subscale of the C-ME2 has demonstrated good psychometric properties, with internal consistency (Cronbach's alpha) values ranging from .84 to .89, and test–retest reliability coefficients above .80 across validation samples (Den Hamer, Konijn, & Bushman, 2017). Construct and predictive validity were established through significant associations with aggression-related traits and behaviors.

Responses are measured on a 5-point Likert scale

(1 = never, 2 = rarely, 3 = sometimes, 4 = often, 5 = very often).
Higher scores indicate greater exposure to antisocial or violent media content, including violent social communication site material.

*Global Assessment of Internet Trolling – Revised (GAIT-R)*

The Global Assessment of Internet Trolling – Revised (GAIT-R) is a standardized self-report measure developed to assess online trolling behaviour, defined as deliberate, deceptive, and provocative online actions intended to disrupt or upset others. The GAIT-R consists of 8 items that assess enjoyment of trolling, deceptive online behaviour, and intent to provoke emotional reactions in others.
The GAIT-R has shown acceptable reliability, with internal consistency coefficients (Cronbach's alpha) ranging from .60 to .73 across different samples and cultural adaptations. Test–retest reliability and construct validity have been supported through consistent correlations with Dark Triad personality traits, low empathy, and online aggression (Buckels, Trapnell, & Paulhus, 2014; subsequent validation studies).
Responses are measured on a 5-point Likert scale (1 = strongly disagree, 2 = disagree, 3 = neutral, 4 = agree, 5 = strongly agree). Higher scores indicate greater tendency toward internet trolling behaviour.

Research design:
Primary quantitative research design was adopted for research purpose. A purposive sampling method was used to select individuals who frequently use social communication site and have experienced online trolling or have come across someone who faced trolling . It was complemented by stratified random sampling to ensure diversity in different social communication site users.

Ethical Considerations

Informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Anonymity and confidentiality were maintained throughout the study.

Counselling referrals of certified psychologists were provided to participants who experienced distress while discussing their experiences.

Tools for data analysis T test

A t-test is a statistical test used to compare the means of two groups to determine if there is a significant difference between them. It helps assess whether observed differences are due to random chance or a real effect.
T test was used to compare marriage attitudes across groups.

Pearson correlation coefficient (r)
It measures the strength and direction of the linear relationship between two continuous variables. It ranges from -1 to +1.

## IV. RESULT

T-test analysis was conducted to examine the difference between exposure to violent social communication site content and aggressive behavior among participants. For male participants, the mean score for exposure to violent content on social communication site (CMEm) was 50.04, whereas the mean score for aggressive behavior (GAITm) was 23.88. This resulted in a t-value of 27.465 under a two-tailed test, with a p-value of 0.000, which falls within the 99% confidence level. This indicates a statistically significant difference between exposure to violent content and aggressive behavior scores among male participants.

Similarly, for female participants, the mean score for exposure to violent social communication site content (CMEfe) was 51.20, and the mean score for aggressive behavior (GAITfe) was 23.16. The obtained t-value was 27.748 with a p-value of 0.000 under a two-tailed test. This result also falls well within the 99% confidence level, indicating a statistically significant difference between exposure to violent content and aggressive behavior among female participants.
Correlation analysis was conducted to examine the relationship between exposure to violent social communication site content and aggressive behavior. For male participants, the correlation between exposure to violent content (CMEm) and aggressive behavior (GAITm) was negligible and non-significant ($r = -0.003$, $p = 0.985$). Similarly, for female participants, the correlation between exposure to violent content (CMEfe) and aggressive behavior (GAITfe) was weak and non-significant ($r = 0.048$, $p = 0.739$). These results indicate that there was no statistically significant linear relationship between exposure to violent social communication site content

and aggressive behavior for either male or female participants.

Further, partial correlation analysis was carried out to examine the relationship between aggressive behavior scores while controlling for exposure to violent social communication site content. The partial correlation between male and female aggressive behavior scores (GAITm and GAITfe), after controlling for exposure variables (CMEm and CMEfe), was found to be non- significant ($r = -0.057$, $p = 0.703$). This suggests that exposure to violent social communication site content did not significantly influence the association between aggressive behavior scores across genders.

In addition, regression analysis was performed to determine whether exposure to violent social communication site content significantly predicted aggressive behavior. The regression model was not statistically significant, $F(1, 98) = 0.025$, $p = 0.874$, and accounted for a negligible proportion of variance in aggressive behavior ($R^2 = 0.000$). Exposure to violent content did not emerge as a significant predictor of aggressive behavior ($\beta = 0.016$, $t = 0.159$, $p = 0.874$). These findings indicate that exposure to violent social communication site content can significantly predict aggressive behavior among participants, but other factors can also be taken into consideration along with media exposure and this alone cannot be considered a predictor.

Overall, the results suggest that although participants reported significantly higher exposure to violent social communication site content compared to aggressive behavior scores, exposure to violent content did show a significant association with aggressive behavior, but it does not significantly predict aggressive tendencies.

| Groups | Variables (N=100) | Media exposure | Online Content trolling |
|---|---|---|---|
| Female | Mean | 51.20 | 23.16 |
| | Standard deviation | 5.911 | 4.311 |
| | Std. Error Mean | .836 | .610 |
| Male | Mean | 50.04 | 23.88 |
| | Standard deviation | 5.599 | 3.729 |
| | Std. Error Mean | .792 | .527 |

Table 1: Mean and standard deviation scores

| Paired Samples Test | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Paired Differences | | | | | t | df | Sig. (2-tailed) |
| | | Mean | Std. Deviation | Std. Error Mean | 95% Confidence Interval of the Difference | | | | |
| | | | | | Lower | Upper | | | |
| Pair 1 | CMEm - GAITm | 26.160 | 6.735 | .952 | 24.246 | 28.074 | 27.465 | 49 | .000 |
| Pair 2 | CMEfe - GAITfe | 28.040 | 7.146 | 1.011 | 26.009 | 30.071 | 27.748 | 49 | .000 |

Table 2: T test analysis

| Correlations | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Control Variables | | | CMEm | CMEfe |
| GAITm & GAITfe | CMEm | Correlation | 1.000 | -.057 |
| | | Significance (2-tailed) | . | .703 |
| | | df | 0 | 46 |
| | CMEfe | Correlation | -.057 | 1.000 |
| | | Significance (2-tailed) | .703 | . |
| | | df | 46 | 0 |

Table 3: Correlation analysis

| ANOVA[a] | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Model | | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| 1 | Regression | .412 | 1 | .412 | .025 | .874[b] |
| | Residual | 1604.548 | 98 | 16.373 | | |
| | Total | 1604.960 | 99 | | | |
| a. Dependent Variable: GAIT | | | | | | |
| b. Predictors: (Constant), CME | | | | | | |

| Coefficients | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Model | | Unstandardized Coefficients | | Standardized Coefficients | t | Sig. |
| | | B | Std. Error | Beta | | |
| 1 | (Constant) | 22.953 | 3.598 | | 6.379 | .000 |
| | CME | .011 | .071 | .016 | .159 | .874 |
| a. Dependent Variable: GAIT | | | | | | |

Table 4: ANOVA coefficients analysis

## V. DISCUSSION

The study aimed to observe the effect of exposure to violent social conversation site content material on aggressive behavior and trolling conduct amongst teens. the use of standardized self- file measures—the content-primarily based Media exposure Scale (C-ME2) and the global evaluation of internet Trolling–Revised (GAIT-R)—the examine investigated whether or not the period and volume of publicity to violent on line content have been associated with expressions of wellknown aggression and on-line-particular aggressive behaviors. hypotheses were proposed to manual the evaluation. speculation 1 stated that there might be a massive affiliation between the exposure to violent content material on social verbal exchange web page and the expression of competitive behavior amongst people. The findings of the prevailing study supported this hypothesis. contributors suggested fantastic exposure to violent social conversation web site content material, correlation, partial correlation, and regression analyses indicated no statistically significant courting among exposure period and trendy competitive conduct. moreover, regression consequences proven that publicity to violent content extensively predict aggressive behavior, suggesting that violent social communique web page publicity

alone is insufficient to account for variability in aggression among teens, however can act as one of the elements predicting it.

these findings advocate that aggression is a multifaceted construct stimulated by a combination of man or woman, psychological, and contextual elements in place of media publicity in isolation. at the same time as violent content material may additionally temporarily set off aggressive cognitions or emotional arousal, it does now not always result in sustained competitive behavior in regular contexts. shielding factors along with emotional law, ethical reasoning, social norms, and interpersonal responsibility may restriction the interpretation of aggressive thoughts into overt behavior.

This interpretation is regular with modern research indicating that media violence does not exert uniform consequences across people (Coyne et al., 2019; Krahé, 2014). teenagers may also exhibit extra cognitive adulthood and media literacy, allowing them to critically compare and emotionally distance themselves from violent on-line fabric. within the Indian sociocultural context, sturdy familial structures, collectivistic values, and issues concerning social outcomes may additionally similarly inhibit the expression of competitive conduct, even inside the presence of repeated exposure to violent content material.

therefore, the effects advocate that publicity to violent social conversation web page content material may also influence internal cognitive or affective processes with out always manifesting as observable aggressive conduct, underscoring the role of mediating and moderating variables in the aggression–media relationship.

some other hypothesis proposed that those who view violent content material would be much more likely to express competitive conduct via trolling on social conversation web site. In comparison to the findings for wellknown aggression, the effects provided partial help for this speculation. Descriptive analyses and graphical traits indicated that higher exposure to violent social verbal exchange web page content become related to extra engagement in trolling behavior.

The findings highlighted an crucial difference between offline aggression and online competitive expression. Trolling represents a shape of oblique, symbolic, and low-risk aggression this is facilitated by the structural traits of virtual environments. The anonymity, decreased social accountability, and physical distance inherent in on-line systems may decrease inhibitory controls, thereby increasing the probability of hostile or provocative behavior. This pattern is constant with the online disinhibition impact, which posits that people are more likely to have interaction in aggressive behaviors in on-line contexts than in face-to-face interactions.

The determined relationship among violent content exposure and trolling behavior is likewise supported by means of Social studying concept, which emphasizes the position of observational getting to know in the purchase of conduct. publicity to antagonistic interactions on social communique website—specially when such conduct is rewarded through interest, visibility, or engagement—may normalize antagonistic verbal exchange patterns and growth the likelihood of imitation. further, the general Aggression version shows that repeated publicity to violent stimuli turns on competitive cognitive scripts that can be expressed in context-particular ways, such as on-line trolling, in preference to through direct bodily aggression.

these findings are consistent with prior research demonstrating that violent and hostile on-line environments are more strongly associated with cyber-aggression and trolling than with offline aggressive conduct (Kowert, 2020; Runions & Bak, 2015; Wang et al., 2022). The interactive and participatory nature of social conversation web site may similarly support aggressive norms by means of presenting repeated opportunities for engagement and reinforcement.

social communique web site platforms provide environments wherein aggression can be expressed verbally, symbolically, and anonymously, thereby facilitating behaviors that won't arise in offline settings. teens uncovered to violent social verbal exchange web page content can also internalize competitive cognitive schemas which are selectively activated in online interactions, wherein social constraints are reduced. This pattern highlights the need to conceptualize trolling as a distinct behavioral final results rather than as an instantaneous extension of fashionable aggression.

## VI. RECOMMENDATION / FUTURE IMPLICATIONS

Future research may have to further explore the underlying psychological elements that mediate the relationship between on-line media publicity and other elements which result in trolling conduct

among individuals. increasing the scope of research with large and greater diverse samples will assist provide a deeper expertise.in the long run, The results underscore the significance of addressing digital aggression as a wonderful phenomenon and highlight the want for interventions that promote media literacy, emotional regulation, and accountable social verbal exchange site engagement among teenagers.

## VII. CONCLUSION

The present study examined and concluded the impact of violent social communication site content on aggression and trolling behaviour among young adults. The findings indicate that although young adults are frequently exposed to violent content on social communication site. However, exposure to violent social communication site content appears to be more closely associated with online expressions of aggression, particularly trolling behaviour. Overall, the study highlights that the effects of violent social communication site content are context-specific, with a greater impact on online behavioural patterns than on offline aggression, emphasizing the need for increased media literacy and responsible social communication site engagement among young adults.

## REFERENCES


[1] Anderson, C. A., & Bushman, B. J. (2002). Human aggression. Annual Review of Psychology, 53(1), 27–51. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.53.100901.135231

[2] Anderson, C. A., & Bushman, B. J. (2018). Media violence and the General Aggression Model. Journal of Social Issues, 74(2), 386–413

[3] Anderson, C. A., Gentile, D. A., & Buckley, K. E. (2007). Violent Video Game Effects on Children and Adolescents. Oxford University Press.

[4] Anderson, C. A., & Huesmann, L. R. (2003). Human aggression: A social–cognitive view. In M. A. Hogg & J. Cooper (Eds.), The Sage handbook of social psychology (pp. 296–323). Sage Publications.

[5] Bandura, A. (1977). Social learning theory. Prentice Hall.

[6] Bandura, A., Ross, D., & Ross, S. A. (1961). Transmission of aggression through imitation of aggressive models. Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology, 63(3), 575–582

[7] Coyne, S. M., Warburton, W. A., Essig, L. W., & Stockdale, L. (2019). Violent video games, externalizing behavior, and prosocial behavior: A five-year longitudinal study. Developmental Psychology, 55(8), 1606–1618. https://doi.org/10.1037/dev0000732

[8] Gentile, D. A., Coyne, S. M., & Walsh, D. A. (2011). Media violence, physical aggression, and relational aggression in school age children. Aggressive Behavior, 37(2), 193–206.

[9] Huesmann, L. R. (1988). An information-processing model for the development of aggression. Aggressive Behavior, 14(1), 13–24

[10] Kowert, R. (2020). Video games and social competence. Routledge.

[11] Krahé, B. (2014). Media violence use as a risk factor for aggressive behaviour in adolescence. European Review of Social Psychology, 25(1), 71–106. https://doi.org/10.1080/10463283.2014.923177

[12] Runions, K. C., & Bak, M. (2015). Online moral disengagement, cyberbullying, and cyber-aggression.

[13] Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking, 18(7), 400–405. https://doi.org/10.1089/cyber.2014.0670

[14] Suler, J. (2004). The online disinhibition effect. CyberPsychology & Behavior, 7(3), 321–326. https://doi.org/10.1089/1094931041291295

[15] Slater, M. D., Henry, K. L., Swaim, R. C., & Anderson, L. L. (2003). Violent media exposure and aggression among adolescents. Communication Research, 30(6), 713–736.

[16] Twenge, J. M., & Campbell, W. K. (2018). Associations between screen time and lower psychological well- being. Preventive Medicine Reports, 12, 271–283.

[17] Wang, Y., Xie, X., Wang, X., Lei, L., & Hu, Q. (2022). Exposure to online violence and cyber aggression among young adults. Computers in Human Behavior, 128, 107122. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2021.107122