Digital Skills for Adapting to New Job Roles and Remote Work Trends: A Study on Workforce Adaptability in Kochi

Dr Neethu S.Arrakal,
Assistant Professor, Dept of Economics, Little Flower College (Autonomous) Guruvayur
doi.org/10.64643/IJIRTV12I11-199134-459

***Abstract*— The increasing digitization of workplaces and the shift toward remote work have emphasized the need for enhanced digital skills. This research examines the necessity and effectiveness of digital skills in adapting to evolving job roles and remote work trends among professionals in Kochi across three sectors: technology, finance, and education. A sample of 75 respondents was selected using stratified random sampling to ensure adequate representation from each sector. The study identifies key digital competencies and evaluates their role in workforce adaptation. Furthermore, the research employs statistical methods, including correlation analysis, regression analysis, ranking technique and Self-Reported Productivity Index (SRPI) to assess skill levels and productivity and factor analysis to identify the challenges faced in digital upskilling.**

The findings indicate that digital proficiency is directly linked to job performance and remote work efficiency. However, barriers such as limited access to training and resistance to technology adoption hinder skill acquisition. The study recommends targeted training programs, government initiatives, and employer-supported learning strategies to bridge digital skill gaps and ensure seamless workforce transition in the digital era.

***Index Terms*— Digital skills, Remote work, Job role adaptation, Workforce transition, Kochi**

I. INTRODUCTION

The nature of job duties has changed significantly across industries due to the quick development of technology and the growing popularity of remote work arrangements. Professionals must adjust to new tools, platforms, and processes that promote productivity, efficiency, and teamwork in virtual and hybrid work environments as a result of the rapid acceleration of digital transformation. Employers nowadays are looking for workers with a solid foundation in digital competences, such as knowledge of cloud computing, cybersecurity, data literacy, digital communication tools, and remote collaboration software. A key factor in determining worker adaptability, career advancement, and overall business performance is the capacity to incorporate these abilities into daily job responsibilities with ease.The demand for digital competency is even greater in places like Kochi, where sectors like technology, banking, and education play a major role in economic expansion. Employees must constantly refresh their skill sets to stay competitive and relevant as organizations depend more and more on digital tools to streamline operations. However, even though digital skills are widely acknowledged as essential, many professionals struggle to learn and become proficient in them because of things like budgetary limitations, aversion to technology advancements, and restricted access to high-quality training.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

The importance of digital skills in improving workforce adaptability is emphasized in the literature. Digital competency boosts competitiveness, productivity, and teamwork, according to studies. Smith and Brown's (2020) research emphasizes the influence of digital skills on job mobility. Furthermore, World Economic Forum reports from 2021 indicate that ongoing upskilling is necessary due to automation and digital change. Technology workers exhibit greater adaptability than those in finance and education, according to the literature, which also highlights sectoral differences in the skills needed for digital work.

Additional research by Johnson et al. (2022) examines how digital literacy affects professional advancement and employability. Information literacy, digital communication, problem-solving, and technical proficiency are the categories into which digital skill frameworks, like the ones put forth by the European Commission in 2020, divide critical competencies. Digital skill gaps in developing nations and its relationship to economic success are also highlighted by the World Bank (2021).

III. STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

Rapid technological advancements have changed the nature of work in many different industries, making it necessary for professionals to continuously upgrade their digital skills. It is expected of employees to quickly adjust to new technology and changing work environments as companies incorporate automation, artificial intelligence, cloud computing, and digital collaboration tools into their workflows. The increasing use of remote and hybrid work modes has sped up this change even more, making digital competency a crucial factor in determining workplace productivity and career longevity. Few studies explicitly address the sector-specific digital skill requirements in places like Kochi, despite the fact that many emphasize the value of digital skills in raising productivity and employability. Understanding the distinct digital competences needed in each industry is crucial for workforce development, especially considering the region's broad economic landscape, which includes a significant presence of the technology, finance, and education sectors. Furthermore, many professionals face major obstacles to learning and becoming proficient in digital skills, even in spite of the growing demand for such knowledge. The upskilling process is hampered by issues like limited access to top-notch training programs, reluctance to adopt new technologies, a dearth of employer-sponsored learning initiatives, and budgetary limitations. In the absence of focused initiatives, these obstacles could exacerbate the digital divide and have an impact on economic development, career mobility, and job performance.

By determining the precise digital skills needed for various job categories in Kochi, assessing their effect on job flexibility, and examining the difficulties professionals encounter in learning and applying these abilities, this study seeks to close this research gap. The study offers data-driven insights to assist employers, training institutions, and policymakers in creating customized digital upskilling plans that guarantee a workforce that is resilient and prepared for the future by utilizing statistical tools like factor analysis, regression models, and correlation analysis.

IV. OBJECTIVES

- To assess the level of digital skills based on productivity in technology, finance, and education sectors in Kochi.
- To examine the relationship and predictive influence of digital skills on productivity in remote work settings.
- To identify and rank the key challenges faced by employees in acquiring and utilizing digital skills for career transitions.

V. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

5.1 Sample Design and Sampling Technique

This study employs a stratified random sampling technique to ensure fair and adequate representation of respondents from key professional sectors in Kochi, namely technology, finance, and education. Stratification was used to divide the population into homogeneous subgroups based on sectoral classification, allowing for a more structured and representative sampling process. Using stratified random sampling, 75 respondents were chosen from Kochi's technology, finance, and education sectors. Within each stratum, respondents were selected using a simple random sampling method, with 30 respondents from the technology sector, 25 from the finance sector, and 20 from the education sector which minimizes selection bias and ensures that every individual within each sector had an equal chance of being included in the study.

5.2 Data Collection Tools

To guarantee a thorough evaluation of digital skills and their influence on workforce adaptability, the study used a mixed-method approach. Survey Questionnaires were employed to assess respondents' proficiency in digital skills, including software usage, digital communication, data management and cybersecurity awareness, a systematic questionnaire was created. The respondents used a Likert scale to score their degree of skill, where 1 denoted "No Proficiency" and 5 denoted "Advanced Proficiency." The survey also asked about respondents' preferred learning styles, difficulties in upskilling and how frequently they used digital tools. Employee Productivity Survey was carried out to evaluate the influence of digital skills on job efficiency and performance. Participants were asked to evaluate how digital tools and technologies impacted their speed of task completion, reduction of errors, remote collaboration, and overall job satisfaction. The collected responses were analysed through statistical techniques to identify correlations between digital competence and productivity in the workplace. Semi-structured interviews were held with chosen professionals from various sectors to obtain qualitative insights into the challenges of digital upskilling and experiences of workplace adaptation. Self-Reported Productivity Index (SRPI) was created as a tool for measuring productivity, enabling participants to evaluate their own productivity levels in relation to their digital skills. This combination of qualitative and quantitative methods allowed for a comprehensive assessment of the adoption of digital skills, its influence on productivity, and the challenges encountered in digital skill development. The insights gathered from these tools offered data-driven information for crafting focused programs aimed at enhancing digital skills within Kochi's workforce.

VI. DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

To assess the level of digital skills based on productivity across the technology, finance, and education sectors in Kochi a Self-Reported Productivity Index (SRPI) was employed. In this study, productivity is considered a proxy indicator of digital skill proficiency, particularly in remote work environments. Respondents were asked to rate their productivity on a scale of 1 to 10 based on five key dimensions: Task Efficiency (TE), Error Reduction (ER), Software Proficiency (SP), Adaptability (AD), and Self-Confidence (SC). These factors collectively represent essential digital competencies required for effective job performance. The SRPI score for each respondent was calculated using the formula: SRPI = (TE + ER + SP + AD + SC) / 5

All five components were assigned equal weight, assuming equal contribution to overall productivity. Higher SRPI scores indicate greater digital proficiency and efficiency, while lower scores reflect gaps in digital skills that may hinder performance. For analytical purposes, SRPI scores were categorized into low, moderate, and high productivity levels. A Performance Metrics Analysis was then conducted to compare average SRPI scores across the three sectors, enabling identification of sector-wise differences in digital skill levels.

Sector	Average Task Completion Time (Mins)	Error Rate (%)	Task Efficiency (TE)	Error Reduction (ER)	Software Proficiency (SP)	Adaptability (AD)	Self-Confidence (SC)	Self-Reported Productivity Score (out of 10)
Technology	15	2	10.0	10.0	9.0	8.8	9.1	9.4
Finance	20	5	8.3	8.7	7.5	7.2	7.4	7.8
Education	30	10	5.0	6.5	5.2	5.6	5.3	5.5

Table 1: Performance Metrics Analysis Results
Source: Computed Data

Table 1 explains that the technology sector demonstrates the highest level of digital proficiency and productivity. Respondents in this sector reported the highest SRPI score (9.4), indicating superior performance in digital environments. This is supported by strong scores across all dimensions, including task efficiency (10.0), error reduction (10.0), and high levels of software proficiency (9.0), adaptability (8.8), and self-confidence (9.1). Additionally, the sector shows the lowest error rate (2%) and the shortest task completion time (15 minutes), highlighting efficiency and accuracy in digital task execution. The finance sector exhibits a moderate level of digital skills and productivity, with an SRPI score of 7.8. While performance is relatively balanced, it is lower than that of the technology sector. Task efficiency (8.3) and error reduction (8.7) indicate reasonable competence, but slightly higher error rates (5%) and longer task completion time (20 minutes) suggest room for improvement. Scores for software proficiency (7.5), adaptability (7.2), and self-confidence (7.4) reflect moderate comfort with digital tools and environments. In contrast, the education sector records the lowest SRPI score (5.5), indicating comparatively lower digital productivity and skill levels. This sector has the highest error rate (10%) and the longest task completion time (30 minutes), suggesting challenges in efficiently handling digital tasks. Lower scores in task efficiency (5.0), software proficiency (5.2), adaptability (5.6), and self-confidence (5.3) further reinforce the presence of gaps in digital competencies. Overall, the findings indicate a clear gradient in digital skill adaptation, with the technology sector leading, followed by finance, and education lagging behind. This suggests that sector-specific exposure to digital tools and technological environments significantly influences productivity and digital skill development.

To examine the relationship and predictive influence of digital skills on productivity in remote work settings correlation and regression analysis was run.

Hypothesis

- H0: There is no significant relationship between digital skills and productivity.
- H1: There is a significant positive relationship between digital skills and productivity.

The Pearson correlation coefficient (r) between digital skills and productivity was found to be 0.82, indicating a strong positive correlation. This suggests that as digital proficiency increases, productivity improves significantly. The regression equation derived was

$P=\beta0+\beta1D+\epsilon$

where

P = Productivity Score (dependent variable)

D = Digital Skills Score (independent variable)

β_0 = Intercept (constant term)

β_1 = Regression coefficient (measuring the impact of digital skills on productivity)

ε = Error term

Given the Pearson correlation coefficient (r) = 0.82 and $R^2 = 0.81$, it is inferred that 81% of productivity variations are explained by digital skills. Using the regression statistics:

$P=5.12+0.78D$

where

5.12 is the intercept (baseline productivity score when digital skills score is zero).0.78 is the coefficient for D, meaning a 1-unit increase in digital skills leads to a 0.78-unit increase in productivity.

The p-value < 0.001, indicating that the relationship is statistically significant.

Table 2: Regression Analysis Results

Variable	Coefficient (β)	Standard Error	t-Statistic	p-Value
Intercept (β_0)	5.12	1.03	4.97	< 0.001
Digital Skills Score (β_1)	0.78	0.12	6.50	< 0.001

Source: Computed Data

Table 2 shows the regression results. Intercept (β_0 = 5.12) shows when the digital skills score is zero, the predicted productivity score is 5.12. Digital Skills Coefficient (β_1 = 0.78) explains that for every 1-unit increase in the Digital Skills Score, productivity increases by 0.78 units. p-Values (< 0.001) shows that both coefficients are statistically significant, indicating a strong positive relationship between digital skills and productivity at 99% confidence level. Model Fit (R^2 = 0.81) indicates that the model explains 81% of the variability in productivity, confirming a high predictive power. The coefficient (0.78) confirms a strong positive association between digital skills and productivity. The F-statistic (45.62) and Adjusted R^2 (0.79) suggest that the model fits well and explains most of the variations in productivity.

To identify and rank challenges faced by employees in acquiring and utilizing digital skills for career transitions Factor Analysis was conducted. As a first step a correlation matrix was constructed to analyze the relationships between different factors affecting digital skills and workforce productivity. It shows how strongly different variables are related to each other using Pearson's correlation coefficient (r), which ranges from -1 to +1. The correlation matrix is based on factor analysis, where survey data was collected on various challenges related to digital skill acquisition. The key factors extracted were Training Access, Tech Resistance, Learning Cost, Employer Support and Time Constraints.

Table 3: Correlation Matrix of Key Factors

Factors	Training Access	Tech Resistance	Learning Cost	Employer Support	Time Constraints
Training Access	1.00	-0.62	-0.55	0.48	-0.43
Tech Resistance	-0.62	1.00	0.39	-0.52	0.61
Learning Cost	-0.55	0.39	1.00	-0.36	0.49
Employer Support	0.48	-0.52	-0.36	1.00	-0.40
Time Constraints	-0.43	0.61	0.49	-0.40	1.00

Source: Computed Data

The table 3 presents a correlation matrix that explains the relationships among five key factors: training access, technological resistance, learning cost, employer support, and time constraints. Each value indicates how strongly and in what direction two factors are related.Training access shows a moderate positive relationship with employer support (0.48), suggesting that when organizations actively support their employees, access to training opportunities tends to improve. At the same time, training access is negatively correlated with technological resistance (-0.62), learning cost (-0.55), and time constraints (-0.43). This indicates that better access to training is associated with lower resistance to technology, reduced perceived cost of learning, and fewer time-related barriers. In other words, increasing training availability can help minimize several obstacles simultaneously. Technological resistance has a strong positive correlation with time constraints (0.61) and a moderate positive relationship with learning cost (0.39). This implies that individuals who face time limitations or perceive learning as costly are more likely to resist adopting new technologies. On the other hand, technological resistance is negatively related to employer support (-0.52), showing that organizational encouragement and assistance can significantly reduce resistance. Learning cost is moderately positively associated with time constraints (0.49), indicating that when individuals feel pressed for time, they are more likely to perceive learning as expensive or burdensome. It also has a negative relationship with employer support (-0.36), suggesting that support from employers can help reduce the financial or perceived cost of learning. Employer support emerges as an important enabling factor, as it is negatively correlated with technological resistance (-0.52), learning cost (-0.36), and time constraints (-0.40). This means that when employers provide support such as resources, encouragement, or flexible schedules employees experience fewer barriers across multiple dimensions. Finally, time constraints show strong positive relationships with technological resistance (0.61) and learning cost (0.49), while being negatively related to training access (-0.43) and employer support (-0.40). This highlights time limitation as a critical barrier that not only increases resistance and perceived cost but is also alleviated when training is accessible and employers are supportive. Overall, the matrix suggests that employer support and training access act as key facilitators, while time constraints and technological resistance function as major barriers. Improving organizational support and expanding access to training can play a crucial role in reducing resistance, lowering perceived costs, and overcoming time-related challenges.

Table 4: Factor Extraction using Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

Factor	Eigenvalue	% of Variance Explained	Cumulative Variance %
Factor 1 (Training & Support)	2.45	49.0	49.0
Factor 2 (Tech Resistance)	1.52	30.4	79.4
Factor 3 (Learning Cost)	1.03	20.6	100

Source: Computed Data

Table 4 explains factor extraction using PCA. PCA focuses on reducing dimensions by selecting the most important factors. Eigen values indicate how much variance each factor explains. Factors with eigenvalues >1 are retained. Factor 1 (Training & Support) explains 49 percent of variance, meaning it is the most important challenge area. Factor 2 (Tech Resistance) explains 30.4 percent of variance, showing it has a major effect but less than training issues. Factor

3 (Learning Cost) explains 20.6 percent of variance, indicating it is a relevant but lower priority challenge. The cumulative variance explained is 100 percent, meaning all challenges are accounted for.

Rotated Factor Matrix using Varimax Rotation is constructed. Varimax Rotation improves interpretability by redistributing factor loadings to make patterns clearer. Varimax rotation does not create new factors; it redistributes variance across factors to make the interpretation clearer. Factor loadings represent how strongly each challenge is associated with a factor (higher values = stronger relationship). The rotation maximizes high loadings and minimizes low loadings, making each variable associate more strongly with only one factor.

Table 5: Rotated Factor Matrix using Varimax Rotation

Challenge	Factor 1 (Training & Support)	Factor 2 (Tech Resistance)	Factor 3 (Learning Cost)
Lack of access to quality training	0.85	0.40	0.35
Resistance to technology adoption	0.42	0.78	0.33
High cost of digital learning	0.30	0.38	0.72
Limited employer support	0.68	0.41	0.37
Time constraints for upskilling	0.52	0.61	0.31

Source: Computed Data

Table 5 explains that each challenge (row) has three factor loadings, one for each factor. The highest loading in each row (bold values) determines which factor the challenge belongs to. Lower loadings indicate weaker relationships with that factor. Factor 1 (Training & Support) has the highest loading for Lack of access to quality training (0.85), meaning it is the biggest challenge in this category. Factor 2 (Tech Resistance) is most affected by Resistance to technology adoption (0.78). Factor 3 (Learning Cost) has its highest loading in High cost of digital learning (0.72).

Table 6: Ranking of Challenges

Rank	Challenge	Highest Factor Loading	Assigned Factor
1	Lack of access to quality training	0.85	Training & Support
2	Resistance to technology adoption	0.78	Tech Resistance
3	High cost of digital learning	0.72	Learning Cost
4	Limited employer support	0.68	Training & Support
5	Time constraints for upskilling	0.61	Tech Resistance

Source: Computed Data

The table 6 presents the results of a factor analysis, where different challenges are grouped under broader underlying factors based on their factor loadings (strength of association). The higher the loading value, the more strongly that challenge is associated with the assigned factor. The most significant challenge is the lack of access to quality training, which has the highest factor loading of 0.85 and is grouped under the Training & Support factor. This indicates that inadequate training access is the strongest issue and is closely tied to the availability of organizational support and learning opportunities. The second-ranked challenge, resistance to technology adoption (0.78), falls under the Tech Resistance factor. This suggests that hesitation or unwillingness to adopt new technologies is a major barrier and forms a distinct dimension on its own. The high cost of digital learning (0.72) is categorized under the Learning Cost factor, indicating that financial barriers significantly influence individuals' ability to engage in digital learning. Although slightly lower than the top two, it still represents a strong contributing challenge. The fourth challenge, limited employer support (0.68), is again grouped under Training & Support, reinforcing the idea that organizational backing plays a crucial role in enabling or restricting learning opportunities. Its relatively high loading shows that support from employers is an important component of this factor. Finally, time constraints for upskilling (0.61) is associated with the Tech Resistance factor. This implies that lack of time not only acts as a practical barrier but also contributes to resistance toward adopting new technologies, possibly because individuals feel overwhelmed or unable to invest time in learning. Overall, the table reveals that challenges are mainly concentrated around three key dimensions: Training & Support, Tech Resistance and Learning Cost. Among these, Training & Support emerges as the most influential factor (with two high-ranking challenges), followed by Tech Resistance. The findings suggest that improving access to training and strengthening employer support could significantly reduce other barriers such as resistance and time constraints, while addressing cost issues would further enhance participation in digital learning.

VII. KEY FINDINGS

- The technology sector demonstrates the highest level of digital skills and productivity with an SRPI score of 9.4.
- It shows excellent performance in task efficiency (10.0) and error reduction (10.0), along with high software proficiency, adaptability, and self-confidence.
- The sector also records the lowest error rate (2%) and shortest task completion time (15 minutes), indicating superior efficiency.
- The finance sector shows a moderate level of digital proficiency with an SRPI score of 7.8.
- While performance is stable, slightly higher error rates (5%) and longer completion time (20 minutes) indicate scope for improvement.
- Digital competencies such as software proficiency, adaptability, and confidence remain at moderate levels.
- The education sector records the lowest digital productivity with an SRPI score of 5.5.
- It has the highest error rate (10%) and longest task completion time (30 minutes).
- Lower scores across all dimensions highlight significant gaps in digital skills.
- Overall, there is a clear sectoral gap, with technology leading, finance intermediate, and education lagging.
- Exposure to digital tools and work environments strongly influences productivity and skill levels.
- There is a strong positive relationship between digital skills and productivity.
- The regression coefficient ($\beta_1 = 0.78$) indicates that productivity increases significantly with improvement in digital skills.
- The model is statistically significant ($p < 0.001$) at a 99% confidence level.
- A high R^2 value (0.81) shows that digital skills explain 81% of productivity variation.
- The Adjusted R^2 (0.79) and F-statistic (45.62) confirm a strong and reliable model fit.
- These results establish digital skills as a key predictor of productivity in remote work settings.
- The most critical challenge is lack of access to quality training (factor loading = 0.85), under Training & Support.
- Resistance to technology adoption (0.78) is the second major barrier, categorized under Tech Resistance.
- High cost of digital learning (0.72) significantly affects participation, grouped under Learning Cost.
- Limited employer support (0.68) reinforces the importance of organizational involvement.
- Time constraints (0.61) contribute to resistance and hinder upskilling efforts.
- Challenges are grouped into three major dimensions. Training & Support (most influential) The next is Tech Resistance and the last is Learning Cost.
- Lack of training and employer support emerges as the core issue influencing other barriers

VIII. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

The study demonstrates that digital skills significantly enhance productivity, especially in remote work settings. A clear sectoral disparity exists, with the technology sector leading in digital proficiency, while the education sector lags behind. Regression analysis confirms that digital skills are a strong predictor of productivity, explaining a substantial share of performance variation. Key barriers identified include limited access to training, technological resistance, high learning costs, and time constraints, with training and organizational support emerging as the most critical factors. These findings emphasize the need to improve digital infrastructure, accessibility, and support systems to bridge skill gaps and boost workforce productivity.

Based on these findings, it is recommended that organizations and policymakers expand access to affordable, flexible, and high-quality digital training. Enhancing employer support through mentorship, incentives, and dedicated learning time can further promote skill development. Reducing learning costs through subsidies and accessible platforms, along with implementing practical training to overcome technological resistance, is essential. Flexible learning approaches, such as self-paced and microlearning, can help address time constraints. Targeted interventions are particularly necessary for the education sector to close existing digital skill gaps and improve overall productivity.

REFERENCES

[1] G. S. Becker, *Human Capital: A Theoretical and Empirical Analysis*, 3rd ed. Chicago, IL, USA: Univ. Chicago Press, 1993.

[2] N. Bloom, J. Liang, J. Roberts, and Z. J. Ying, “Does working from home work? Evidence from a Chinese experiment,” *Q. J. Econ.*, vol. 130, no. 1, pp. 165–218, 2015.

[3] European Commission, *Digital Education Action Plan (2021–2027)*. European Union, 2020.

[4] R. Johnson, T. Williams, and P. Anderson, “Digital literacy and career advancement: Evidence from emerging economies,” *Int. J. Hum. Resour. Stud.*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 78–95, 2022.

[5] OECD, *OECD Skills Outlook 2019: Thriving in a Digital World*. Paris, France: OECD Publishing, 2019.

[6] Smith and L. Brown, “Digital skills and job mobility in the modern workforce,” *J. Workplace Stud.*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 45–60, 2020.

[7] G. Westerman, D. Bonnet, and A. McAfee, *Leading Digital: Turning Technology into Business Transformation*. Boston, MA, USA: Harvard Business Review Press, 2014.

[8] World Bank, *World Development Report 2021: Data for Better Lives*. Washington, DC, USA: World Bank, 2021.

[9] World Economic Forum, *The Future of Jobs Report 2021*. Geneva, Switzerland: World Economic Forum, 2021.