

Fake Review Detection in E-Commerce Using Machine Learning

Manav Anodhe

Bharti Vidyapeeth College of Engineering, Katraj

Abstract—The rapid expansion of e-commerce platforms has significantly transformed consumer purchasing behavior, making online reviews one of the most influential factors in decision-making. Customers increasingly depend on reviews to evaluate product quality, reliability, and overall satisfaction before making a purchase. However, this growing dependence has also led to the widespread problem of fake or deceptive reviews, which are intentionally created to manipulate product ratings and mislead potential buyers. Such reviews can artificially promote low-quality products or unfairly damage the reputation of competitors, thereby disrupting the fairness and transparency of digital marketplaces.

Recent studies indicate that nearly 20% to 30% of online reviews may be fraudulent, posing a serious challenge to both consumers and e-commerce platforms. Traditional detection methods, such as manual moderation and rule-based filtering, are no longer sufficient due to the massive volume of data and the evolving nature of spam techniques. In this context, Machine Learning (ML) has emerged as a powerful and scalable solution for identifying fake reviews with high accuracy and efficiency.

Machine learning models analyze multiple aspects of review data, including textual content, linguistic patterns, reviewer behavior, and metadata attributes such as timestamps and rating distributions. Advanced techniques such as Natural Language Processing (NLP) and deep learning models enable systems to capture contextual meaning and detect subtle irregularities that are often missed by conventional approaches. Furthermore, hybrid models that combine behavioral and textual features have shown improved performance in real-world scenarios.

This research paper presents a comprehensive study of fake review detection in e-commerce using machine learning techniques. It explores various methodologies, evaluates model performance on a large dataset, and discusses key challenges such as data imbalance, lack of labeled data, and continuously evolving spam strategies. The paper also highlights future directions, including the

integration of explainable AI and secure technologies, to build more reliable and trustworthy review systems.

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, the rapid growth of e-commerce platforms has fundamentally changed the way consumers interact with products and services. Online marketplaces such as Amazon, Flipkart, and Alibaba provide customers with access to a wide variety of products, enabling them to compare features, prices, and user experiences conveniently. Among all the available information, customer reviews have emerged as one of the most critical factors influencing purchasing decisions. These reviews act as a digital form of word-of-mouth communication, helping potential buyers assess product quality, reliability, and overall satisfaction based on the experiences of others.

However, as the importance of online reviews has increased, so has the misuse of review systems. Sellers and third-party agents often generate fake reviews to artificially boost product ratings or to harm competitors. This manipulation creates a biased environment where consumers may be misled into purchasing low-quality products or avoiding genuinely good ones. Research studies suggest that products with higher ratings and a large number of positive reviews can experience a significant increase in sales, sometimes exceeding 200% to 300%, which provides strong motivation for unethical practices.

Fake reviews can generally be categorized into three main types: positive fake reviews intended to promote products, negative fake reviews aimed at damaging competitors, and spam reviews that provide irrelevant or meaningless information. These deceptive practices

not only reduce consumer trust but also affect the credibility and reputation of e-commerce platforms.

Traditional approaches to detecting fake reviews relied on manual moderation and simple rule-based systems, such as identifying duplicate content or extreme rating patterns. While these methods were useful in early stages, they are no longer effective in handling large-scale data and sophisticated spam strategies. With the advancement of Machine Learning, more intelligent and automated solutions have been developed. Machine Learning models can process vast amounts of data, identify hidden patterns, and detect anomalies that indicate fraudulent behavior.

This paper focuses on the development and analysis of a machine learning-based system for detecting fake reviews in e-commerce platforms. It emphasizes the use of both textual analysis and behavioral patterns to improve detection accuracy. The study aims to provide a scalable, efficient, and reliable solution that can be applied in real-world scenarios to enhance transparency, maintain consumer trust, and ensure fair competition in digital marketplaces.

II. LITERATURE OVERVIEW

2.1 Early Studies on Fake Review Detection

Early research in fake review detection primarily relied on rule-based systems and manual analysis. These methods focused on identifying suspicious keywords, unusual rating patterns, and duplicate content. Although these approaches provided initial insights, they were not effective for large-scale datasets and could not adapt to evolving spam techniques.

2.2 Machine Learning-Based Approaches

With the advancement of data science, machine learning techniques have been widely adopted for fake review detection. Supervised learning algorithms such as Support Vector Machines (SVM), Naïve Bayes, and Random Forest have shown promising results in classification tasks. These models are trained on labeled datasets containing both genuine and fake reviews.

Deep learning models such as Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) and transformer-based models like BERT have further improved detection accuracy by

capturing contextual and semantic information in review text. These models can identify subtle linguistic patterns that are difficult to detect using traditional methods.

2.3 Feature-Based Analysis

Modern detection systems rely on multiple types of features, including textual features, behavioral features, and metadata attributes. Textual features analyze the content of the review, including sentiment, grammar, and word usage. Behavioral features examine user activity patterns, such as the frequency of reviews and rating consistency. Metadata features include information such as timestamps, product categories, and reviewer profiles.

2.4 Research Gaps

Despite significant progress, several challenges remain in fake review detection. One of the major issues is the imbalance between genuine and fake reviews in datasets, which affects model performance. Additionally, spammers continuously evolve their techniques, making it difficult for static models to remain effective. Another challenge is the lack of high-quality labeled datasets, which limits the accuracy of supervised learning models.

2.5 Contribution of This Paper

This paper proposes a hybrid approach that combines textual analysis with behavioral pattern recognition to improve detection accuracy. It also emphasizes data balancing techniques and real-time detection mechanisms, making the system more practical for real-world applications.

III. METHODOLOGY

3.1 Data Collection

The dataset used in this study consists of approximately 50,000 reviews, collected from publicly available sources such as Amazon and Yelp datasets. Among these, around 35,000 reviews are genuine, while 15,000 are labeled as fake or deceptive reviews. Additional synthetic data has been generated to ensure proper class balance during training.

3.2 Data Preprocessing

Data preprocessing is a crucial step in preparing the dataset for machine learning models. The

preprocessing steps include removing stop words, tokenization, lemmatization, and cleaning of unwanted characters such as HTML tags and punctuation. These steps help in reducing noise and improving model performance.

3.3 Feature Extraction

Feature extraction involves converting raw data into meaningful features that can be used by machine learning models. In this study, three types of features are used. Textual features include word frequency, sentiment scores, and n-grams. Behavioral features include the number of reviews posted by a user, review frequency, and rating patterns. Metadata features include timestamps, product categories, and review length.

3.4 Model Implementation

Multiple machine learning models have been implemented and compared in this study. Logistic Regression is used as a baseline model due to its simplicity and efficiency. Random Forest is used for its ability to handle complex data patterns and reduce overfitting. LSTM, a deep learning model, is used to capture sequential patterns in text data and improve classification accuracy.

3.5 Evaluation Metrics

The performance of the models is evaluated using standard metrics such as accuracy, precision, recall, and F1-score. These metrics provide a comprehensive understanding of model performance, especially in handling imbalanced datasets.

IV. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

The experimental results show that deep learning models outperform traditional machine learning models in detecting fake reviews. The LSTM model achieved the highest accuracy of approximately 94%, followed by Random Forest with 91% accuracy, and Logistic Regression with 86% accuracy.

The results also indicate that combining textual and behavioural features significantly improves detection performance. Fake reviews often exhibit repetitive language patterns, extreme sentiment expressions, and unusual posting behaviour. By analysing these characteristics, the model can effectively distinguish between genuine and fake reviews.

V. PROBLEMS AND CHALLENGES

Despite the effectiveness of machine learning models, several challenges remain in fake review detection. One of the major challenges is data imbalance, where genuine reviews significantly outnumber fake ones. This can lead to biased model predictions.

Another challenge is the evolving nature of spam techniques. Spammers continuously modify their strategies to bypass detection systems, making it difficult for models to remain effective over time. Additionally, the lack of transparency in some machine learning models, especially deep learning models, creates trust issues among users.

Cross-platform compatibility is another concern, as models trained on one platform may not perform well on another due to differences in data distribution. Furthermore, privacy concerns arise when analysing user behaviour and personal data.

VI. FUTURE SCOPE

Although significant progress has been made in detecting fake reviews using machine learning techniques, there is still considerable scope for improvement in this field. One of the most important future directions is the development of more advanced and adaptive models that can respond effectively to the continuously evolving nature of spam and deceptive practices. As spammers adopt more sophisticated techniques, including AI-generated reviews that closely resemble human writing, detection systems must also evolve by incorporating deep learning and transformer-based architectures such as BERT and GPT-like models to better understand context, semantics, and intent.

Another key area of focus is the integration of Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI). Many modern machine learning models, especially deep learning systems, function as black boxes, making it difficult to understand how decisions are made. In sensitive applications like fake review detection, it is essential to provide transparency so that platform administrators and users can trust the system. Future research should aim to develop models that not only provide accurate predictions but also explain the reasoning behind classifying a review as fake or genuine.

Data quality and availability also remain major challenges. There is a need for large-scale, high-quality labeled datasets that accurately represent real-world scenarios. Future work should focus on creating standardized datasets and benchmarks that can be used across different platforms. Additionally, semi-supervised and unsupervised learning techniques can be explored to reduce dependence on labeled data, making the models more flexible and scalable.

The use of blockchain technology presents another promising direction for ensuring review authenticity and transparency. By storing reviews in a decentralized and tamper-proof system, blockchain can help verify the origin and integrity of user feedback, reducing the chances of manipulation. Similarly, integrating real-time detection systems using streaming data can allow platforms to identify and filter fake reviews immediately after they are posted, rather than relying on delayed analysis.

Cross-platform generalization is another important area that requires attention. Current models are often trained on specific datasets and may not perform well when applied to different e-commerce platforms due to variations in user behaviour and data distribution. Future systems should be designed to be more robust and adaptable, capable of working effectively across multiple platforms.

Finally, ethical considerations and privacy protection must be prioritized. As detection systems analyse user behaviour and personal data, it is important to ensure compliance with data protection regulations and maintain user privacy. Developing privacy-preserving machine learning techniques, such as federated learning, can help address these concerns while still enabling effective detection.

In conclusion, the future of fake review detection lies in building intelligent, transparent, secure, and scalable systems that can adapt to changing environments while maintaining user trust and platform integrity.

VII. CONCLUSION

Fake reviews are a major challenge in modern e-commerce systems. They affect customer decisions and reduce trust in online platforms. Detecting such

reviews is essential to maintain transparency and fairness.

Machine Learning provides an effective solution by automating the detection process. It can analyze large datasets, identify hidden patterns, and classify reviews with high accuracy. Although there are challenges like data requirements and evolving fake strategies, continuous improvements can overcome these issues. In conclusion, fake review detection systems are not just useful but necessary for the future of e-commerce. With advancements in AI and data science, these systems will become more accurate, efficient, and reliable, helping create a trustworthy online environment for users.

REFERENCES

- [1] Jindal, N., & Liu, B. (2008). Opinion Spam and Analysis. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining (WSDM)*, 219–230.
- [2] Ott, M., Choi, Y., Cardie, C., & Hancock, J. T. (2011). Finding Deceptive Opinion Spam by Any Stretch of the Imagination. *Proceedings of the 49th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (ACL)*, 309–319.
- [3] Mukherjee, A., Venkataraman, V., Liu, B., & Glance, N. (2013). What Yelp Fake Review Filter Might Be Doing? *Proceedings of the International AAAI Conference on Web and Social Media (ICWSM)*, 409–418.
- [4] Li, F., Huang, M., Yang, Y., & Zhu, X. (2014). Learning to Identify Review Spam. *Proceedings of the International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence (IJCAI)*, 2488–2494.
- [5] Rayana, S., & Akoglu, L. (2015). Collective Opinion Spam Detection Using Network Effects. *Proceedings of the ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining (KDD)*, 985–994.
- [6] Heydari, A., Tavakoli, M., Salim, N., & Heydari, Z. (2015). Detection of Review Spam: A Survey. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 42(7), 3634–3642.
- [7] Ren, Y., Ji, D., & Zhang, H. (2016). Positive Unlabeled Learning for Deceptive Reviews Detection. *Proceedings of the Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*, 488–498.

- [8] Zhang, Z., & Varadarajan, B. (2006). Utility Scoring of Product Reviews. Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management (CIKM), 51–57.
- [9] Feng, S., Banerjee, R., & Choi, Y. (2012). Syntactic Stylometry for Deception Detection. Proceedings of ACL, 171–175.
- [10] Xu, H., Zhang, Z., & Liu, B. (2015). Detecting Fake Reviews Using Temporal Patterns. Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Data Mining (ICDM), 1007–1012.
- [11] Wang, G., Xie, S., Liu, B., & Yu, P. S. (2011). Review Graph Based Online Store Review Spam Detection. IEEE International Conference on Data Mining, 1242–1247.
- [12] Akoglu, L., Chandy, R., & Faloutsos, C. (2013). Opinion Fraud Detection in Online Reviews by Network Effects. Proceedings of ICWSM, 2–11.
- [13] Liu, B. (2012). Sentiment Analysis and Opinion Mining. Synthesis Lectures on Human Language Technologies, 5(1), 1–167.
- [14] Hovy, D. (2016). The Enemy in Your Own Camp: How Well Can We Detect Statistically-Generated Fake Reviews? ACL Workshop, 1–6.
- [15] Shojaei, S., Azman, A., & Murad, M. A. (2013). Detecting Deceptive Reviews Using Lexical and Syntactic Features. International Journal of Computer Science Issues, 10(3), 123–129.