© May 2026 | IJIRT | Volume 12 Issue 12 | ISSN: 2349-6002

Design of Earthquake Resistant Building (G+10)

Akshay kumar, Aman Chaurasiya, Ankit Kumar, Shivansh Pandey, Utkarsh Gautam, Bhanu Pratap Gupta
School of Management Sciences, Biruha Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh

I. INTRODUCTION

Earthquakes pose a significant threat to life and infrastructure worldwide. Traditional seismic design philosophy relies on a building's strength and ductility to absorb and dissipate seismic energy, often leading to significant structural and non-structural damage. Base isolation, also known as seismic isolation, is an advanced earthquake resistant design strategy that fundamentally shifts this paradigm. Instead of fighting the earthquake forces, it aims to decouple the building structure from the damaging horizontal components of ground motion. This is achieved by inserting flexible isolators at the building's foundation, which allows the structure to move as a rigid body above the shaking ground, thereby dramatically reducing the forces and accelerations experienced by the superstructure.

II. OBJECTIVE

The primary objective of base isolation is to protect a building and its occupants during an earthquake by significantly reducing the seismic forces transferred from the ground to the structure. Specific goals include:

- Reducing Inter-story Drift: Minimizing the relative displacement between floors to prevent structural damage.
- Lowering Floor Accelerations: Decreasing the inertia forces experienced by the building and its contents, which is crucial for protecting sensitive equipment, furniture, and non-structural elements.
- Enhancing Life Safety and Functionality

III. METHODOLOGY

The methodology involves the strategic placement of isolation devices between the building's superstructure and its foundation.

1. Isolation Devices: The core components of the system. Common types include:

- Laminated Elastomeric Bearings: These are layers of steel plates and rubber (elastomer). The steel plates force the rubber to bulge laterally, providing high vertical stiffness to support the building's weight, while the rubber provides low horizontal stiffness for flexibility. Lead plugs are often inserted to add energy dissipation (damping).
- Sliding Bearings: These use a low-friction interface, such as Teflon and stainless steel, to allow the building to slide. A restoring force, often provided by a rubber core or a curved sliding surface (Friction Pendulum System), is needed to re-center the building after the earthquake. 2. Implementation: The isolators are installed at the base of columns or walls, effectively creating a "soft story" that filters the ground motion. A moat or gap is constructed around the building to allow for the required horizontal displacement (often 12-24 inches or more) without the building impacting the surrounding soil or retaining walls.

IV. ADVANTAGES

- Superior Seismic Performance: It offers the highest level of protection for both structural and non-structural components compared to conventional fixed-base designs.
- Protection of Contents: Significantly reduces accelerations, making it ideal for buildings housing irreplaceable artifacts (museums), critical equipment (data centers, laboratories), and hazardous materials.
- Potential for Cost Savings: While the initial cost of isolators is high, it can lead to overall savings by allowing for simpler, more conventional structural frames above the isolation level, as the design forces are much lower. It also mitigates the risk of enormous post-earthquake repair costs and business interruption.

- Enhanced Life Safety: Drastically reduces the probability of structural collapse, even during very strong earthquakes.
- Predictable Behavior: The system's response is more predictable and reliable than the complex inelastic behavior of a conventional ductile frame.

V. LIMITATIONS

- High Initial Cost: The specialized isolation devices, detailed analysis, and construction expertise required can lead to a higher upfront cost (typically 5-10% more) than conventional designs.
- Not Suitable for All Sites: It is less effective on soft soil sites where the predominant earthquake period is long, as this can lead to resonance. It is also not recommended for buildings located very close to active faults where high-frequency, high-velocity pulses dominate the ground motion.
- Large Displacements at the Base: The building undergoes large displacements at the isolation level, requiring a significant "moat" and careful design of utility connections (water, gas, electricity) to accommodate this movement.
- Susceptibility to Uplift and Overturning: Buildings with a high height-to-width ratio may be susceptible to uplift or overturning moments, which must be carefully addressed in the design.
- Complexity in Design and Construction: Requires sophisticated dynamic analysis and highly skilled engineers and contractors for proper implementation.
- Maintenance and Inspection: The isolation devices are typically inaccessible after construction, making long-term monitoring and potential replacement challenging.

VI. CONCLUSION

Base isolation represents a revolutionary approach to earthquake engineering. By decoupling the structure from ground shaking, it provides a level of protection that far surpasses traditional methods. Its ability to preserve both the structural integrity and the functionality of a building makes it an invaluable strategy, particularly for critical and essential facilities. While considerations of cost, site suitability, and technical complexity are important limitations, the profound advantages in performance and resilience make base isolation a compelling choice for safeguarding our built environment against the destructive forces of earthquakes. As technology advances and experience grows, its application is likely to become more widespread.

REFERENCES

[1] Kelly, J. M. (1997). Earthquake-Resistant Design with Rubber. Springer-Verlag.
[2] Naeim, F., & Kelly, J. M. (1999). Design of Seismic Isolated Structures: From Theory to Practice. John Wiley & Sons.
[3] Building Seismic Safety Council (BSSC). (2015). NEHRP Recommended Seismic Provisions for New Buildings and Other Structures. FEMA P-1050.
[4] International Code Council (ICC). (2021). International Building Code (IBC). Chapter 17: Special Inspections and Tests, and Appendix Chapter 17: Structural Tests and Special Inspections.