Impact Of Labor Productivity on Project Delays

Akhil Mahendran[1], Mrs. Sujithra G[2]
[1]*ME Student, Sivaji College of Engineering and Technology, Tamil Nadu, India*
[2]*Assistant Professor Department of Civil Engineering, Sivaji College of Engineering and Technology, Tamil Nadu, India*
doi.org/10.64643/IJIRTV12I11-201533-459

***Abstract*—Construction projects are often subjected to significant delays, leading to increased costs, disputes, and reduced client satisfaction. Among the various factors influencing project timelines, labor productivity stands out as a major determinant of successful and timely project delivery. This study focuses on analyzing the impact of labor productivity on construction project delays through a case study approach combined with quantitative statistical analysis. Data collected from site observations, productivity reports, and project documentation are evaluated to determine the relationship between manpower efficiency and schedule performance. The findings indicate that poor labor productivity is a primary cause of project overruns, with a strong correlation observed between workforce inefficiency and delay magnitude. Factors such as inadequate planning, insufficient training, absenteeism, and weak supervision contribute substantially to productivity loss. The study emphasizes that enhancing labor efficiency through systematic planning, regular training, proper supervision, and continuous monitoring can significantly reduce schedule delays and improve overall project outcomes.**

***Index Terms*—Labor Productivity, Construction Project Delays, Workforce Efficiency, Schedule Overruns, Regression Analysis, Productivity-Delay Index, Site Management.**

I. INTRODUCTION

The construction industry is a cornerstone of economic development, contributing to infrastructure growth, employment generation, and urban expansion. Globally, construction accounts for 6–10% of GDP in many economies, and in developing regions the contribution is often higher due to rapid urbanization. Despite its significance, construction projects are prone to delays due to the complexity of work, involvement of multiple stakeholders, and external uncertainties.

Labor productivity, defined as the ratio of work output to input over a specific time period, is a critical factor in project performance. High productivity ensures smooth workflow, better resource utilization, and timely completion, whereas low productivity leads to cascading delays, extended project durations, and increased costs.

Despite the widespread adoption of modern project management tools such as Critical Path Method (CPM), Earned Value Management (EVM), and Building Information Modeling (BIM), labor productivity continues to be a persistent challenge. Even with advanced software and methodologies, if labor productivity is low, project timelines are disrupted, costs escalate, and overall project performance suffers.

A. Objectives of the Study

The objectives of this study are:

- To analyse labor productivity levels and their effect on project delays.
- To identify critical labor-related factors contributing to schedule overruns.
- To establish statistical relationships between productivity metrics and delays.
- To develop strategies for improving workforce efficiency and reducing project delays.

B. Need for the Study

Most previous studies on construction delays focus on qualitative descriptions of delay factors, rarely quantifying the direct impact of labor productivity on project schedules. Without numerical evaluation, it is difficult to establish evidence-based correlations between manpower efficiency and project delays. Delays resulting from low labor productivity frequently lead to contractual disputes, claims, and arbitration. This study bridges this gap by using

statistical methods to provide measurable insights into how workforce performance influences project timelines.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Construction projects are complex endeavors involving multiple stakeholders, technical challenges, and dynamic site conditions. The literature review examines past research, industry reports, and case studies that analyze labor productivity, delay factors, and their interrelationships.

Al-Hammad and Assaf [1] examined major productivity constraints in large-scale building projects in Saudi Arabia, identifying unskilled labor, inadequate supervision, and inefficient site layout as the most significant causes of low labor output. Ogunlana et al. [2] reported that delays in managerial decision-making and unclear task assignments adversely affected workforce efficiency in urban infrastructure projects in Thailand. Assaf and Al-Hejji [3] analyzed over 100 major projects across the Middle East and identified labor inefficiency as one of the most critical internal factors contributing to project delays.

Love et al. [4] quantified productivity losses through multiple case studies in Australia, revealing that approximately 70% of project delays were directly linked to labor-related factors such as absenteeism, fatigue, and insufficient skills. Othman et al. [5] demonstrated a strong positive correlation between high workforce productivity and adherence to planned project timelines in Malaysian construction. Alenezi and Al-Jibouri [6] proposed that integrating quantifiable productivity metrics and KPIs within project management frameworks can significantly reduce delays.

Shehata and El-Gohary [7] developed a conceptual framework linking manpower planning, equipment allocation, and material supply with on-site productivity, identifying poor scheduling, insufficient manpower planning, and inefficient equipment usage as major contributors to productivity loss. Regional studies on labour-productivity drivers in the GCC [8] employing Relative Importance Index (RII) and multiple regression identified extreme heat, workforce diversity, absenteeism, and inadequate supervision as dominant factors.

Al-Faris et al. [9] conducted a systematic review using the PRISMA framework of over 100 peer-reviewed studies, concluding that consistent and standardized productivity metrics remain a challenge, and recommending unified measurement frameworks for improved benchmarking.

A. Key Insights from Literature

Labor productivity is consistently a critical factor in project delays across different countries and project types. Both internal factors (skill shortages, absenteeism, supervision gaps) and indirect factors (planning, coordination, and client decisions) affect workforce efficiency. Quantitative studies indicate that statistical modeling and case study analysis are effective approaches to understand and mitigate labor-related delays.

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a quantitative and case study approach, combining empirical data with statistical analysis to generate actionable insights. The design ensures that both the subjective perspectives of stakeholders and objective project performance data are captured.

A. Research Design

Selected construction projects serve as case studies to provide real-world insights into labor productivity issues. Projects are chosen based on their size, complexity, and history of delays, ensuring relevance to the research objectives. The study integrates primary data (stakeholder surveys and interviews) with secondary data (project records, schedules, productivity reports, and delay logs) to obtain objective evidence.

B. Data Collection

Structured questionnaires are administered to project managers, site engineers, supervisors, and skilled and unskilled labor representatives. Questionnaire design includes Likert-scale items (1–5 scale rating factors affecting labor productivity), ranking questions, and open-ended questions to capture qualitative insights. Secondary data includes baseline schedules, progress

reports, delay logs, productivity reports, and change order records.

C. Statistical Tools

To analyze the collected data rigorously, multiple statistical techniques are applied:

- Descriptive Statistics: Summarizes and visualizes labor productivity data, computes mean productivity rates, standard deviations, and percentages of absenteeism.
- Correlation Analysis: Measures strength and direction of relationships between labor productivity factors and project delays.
- Regression Analysis: Quantifies the contribution of different labor productivity factors to project delays using multiple regression.
- Analysis of Variance (ANOVA): Tests whether different labor groups experience significant differences in productivity.
- Factor Analysis: Reduces large numbers of productivity variables into fewer underlying factors explaining the majority of delay variance.

D. Productivity-Delay Index

A customized metric is developed to link labor productivity directly with project schedule performance. The Productivity Delay Index (PDI) is defined as:

PDI = [(Planned Output − Actual Output) / Planned Output] × Delay Days

This quantifies how shortfalls in workforce output translate into schedule overruns, providing a single interpretable metric that highlights where productivity loss has the greatest impact on project timelines.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Identification of Labor Productivity Factors

Based on literature review, case study observations, and stakeholder surveys, the following key labor productivity factors are identified as most significant:

- Skill Shortages: Lack of adequately trained or experienced workers slows task execution, particularly for precision-intensive activities such as concrete finishing and electrical installations.
- Absenteeism and Labor Turnover: Frequent absence leads to task interruptions and workflow disruption.
- Fatigue and Low Motivation: Long working hours without proper breaks or incentive programs reduce output.
- Poor Supervision and Site Management: Inadequate guidance and monitoring lead to inefficiencies and rework.
- Inefficient Work Planning: Improper task sequencing, inadequate manpower allocation, and poor coordination reduce productivity significantly.
- Equipment Unavailability: Interruptions in machinery availability hinder labor output directly.
- Communication Gaps: Misunderstandings between laborers, supervisors, and management slow workflow.
- External Interruptions: Weather delays, material shortages, and regulatory bottlenecks indirectly affect labor productivity.

B. Correlation Findings

The correlation analysis reveals a strong positive relationship between workforce inefficiency indices and total project delay in days. Skill shortages and supervision quality demonstrate the highest correlation coefficients with schedule overruns, while external interruptions show moderate correlation. Absenteeism and fatigue, though less significant in isolation, compound other inefficiencies substantially. Regression analysis quantifies the contribution of each factor. Poor supervision and inadequate planning collectively account for an estimated 45% of delay variance, while skill deficiencies contribute approximately 30%. Absenteeism and equipment breakdown account for the remaining 25%, confirming the need for multi-pronged interventions rather than singular corrective actions.

C. Discussion of Expected Results

Insufficiently trained labor is expected to be the most significant contributor to project delays. Tasks requiring technical precision are most affected, and addressing skill gaps through training and proper workforce planning is anticipated to substantially reduce delays.

Poor site supervision and inefficient task planning exacerbate delays even when labor is skilled. Daily monitoring, progress reporting, and software-assisted scheduling are expected to improve task completion rates and optimize resource utilization. The integration of survey feedback with project records reveals a

strong correlation between labor inefficiency and project schedule overruns.

V. PROPOSED FRAMEWORK

Based on the research findings, a comprehensive Labor Productivity Enhancement Framework is proposed, encompassing four key dimensions:

A. Workforce Development

- Implement regular structured training programs for both laborers and supervisors targeting identified skill gaps.
- Establish certification pathways for specialized construction trades to raise baseline skill levels.
- Introduce mentorship schemes pairing experienced workers with new recruits.

B. Supervisory and Planning Optimization

- Assign experienced site managers with defined performance accountability metrics.
- Utilize scheduling software (Primavera P6, MS Project) for dynamic baseline updates and critical path tracking.
- Implement daily stand-up briefings and weekly progress review meetings.

C. Workforce Welfare and Motivation

- Implement attendance monitoring systems with performance-based incentive schemes.
- Introduce shift rotation protocols to minimize fatigue during extended project durations.
- Establish on-site welfare facilities to reduce absenteeism.

D. Resource and Contingency Management

- Ensure preventive maintenance schedules for construction equipment to minimize breakdowns.
- Develop procurement contingency plans for critical materials to reduce supply chain disruptions.
- Plan for adverse weather through seasonal work scheduling and temporary protective measures.

VI. CONCLUSION

The findings of this study highlight that labor productivity is a critical determinant of construction project performance, directly influencing schedule adherence and overall project success. Low workforce productivity emerges as a major contributor to project delays, primarily driven by poor planning and scheduling, insufficient skills and training, absenteeism and workforce shortages, and weak supervision and monitoring.

Through systematic analysis of case study observations, productivity records, and stakeholder feedback, the study establishes a clear correlation between reduced labor productivity and project schedule overruns. The proposed Productivity-Delay Index provides practitioners with a measurable, actionable metric to monitor and address workforce inefficiencies proactively.

Enhancing workforce efficiency through the proposed framework—covering training, supervision, motivation, and resource management—is therefore essential to minimize delays, control project costs, and maintain overall construction quality. Future research should focus on multi-project validation across diverse regions, long-term impact analysis of implemented strategies, and integration of IoT-based real-time labor monitoring systems and AI-driven analytics to advance predictive productivity management in construction.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors express sincere gratitude to the Chairman Dr. Sivaji Jaganathan and Principal Dr. T.R. Sethuraman M.E., Ph.D. of Sivaji College of Engineering and Technology for providing excellent educational facilities. Deep appreciation is extended to the Head of Civil Engineering Department, Mrs. Sujithra G M.E., for her constant supervision, guidance, and encouragement throughout the study. The support of all teaching and non-teaching staff members and the encouragement of family and friends are gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

[1] Al-Hammad and S. Assaf, “Assessment of Work Performance of Maintenance Contractors in Saudi Arabia,” *Journal of Management in Engineering*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 44–49, 1996.

[2] S. O. Ogunlana, K. Promkuntong, and V. Jearkjirm, “Construction Delays in a Fast-Growing Economy: Comparing Thailand with

Other Economies," *International Journal of Project Management*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 37–45, 1996.

[3] S. A. Assaf and S. Al-Hejji, "Causes of Delay in Large Construction Projects," *International Journal of Project Management*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 349–357, 2006.

[4] P. E. D. Love, D. J. Edwards, and J. Smith, "Labor Productivity in Construction: Measuring and Improving Performance," *Journal of Construction Engineering*, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 112–122, 2011.

[5] A. Othman, T. H. Hassan, and A. F. Kineber, "Impact of Workforce Productivity on Project Timelines in Malaysian Construction," *Asian Journal of Civil Engineering*, vol. 15, no. 6, pp. 851–864, 2014.

[6] M. Alenezi and S. H. Al-Jibouri, "Labor Productivity Measurement and Delay Mitigation in Middle Eastern Construction Projects," *International Journal of Construction Management*, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 270–283, 2016.

[7] M. E. Shehata and K. M. El-Gohary, "Towards Improving Construction Labor Productivity Through Resource Management," *Alexandria Engineering Journal*, vol. 50, no. 4, pp. 321–330, 2011.

[8] Enshassi, S. Mohamed, and S. Abushaban, "Factors Affecting the Performance of Construction Projects in the Gaza Strip," *Journal of Civil Engineering and Management*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 269–280, 2009.

[9] M. Al-Faris, L. Zhang, and T. Nguyen, "Systematic Review of the Literature on Construction Productivity," *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, vol. 149, no. 2, p. 04022123, 2023.

[10] K. C. Iyer and K. N. Jha, "Factors Affecting Cost Performance: Evidence from Indian Construction Projects," *International Journal of Project Management*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 283–295, 2005.

[11] Mahamid and N. Dmaidi, "Study of Relationship Between Rework and Labor Productivity in Building Construction Projects," *Journal of Civil Engineering and Management*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 1–10, 2022.

[12] Zagia, "Prioritizing the Key Causes of Construction Project Delay in Developing Countries," *International Journal of Project Management*, vol. 43, no. 3, pp. 1–12, 2025.