Implementation of Comfyui in the VFX Paint Industry

Prem Anil Iche[1], Dr. Sukhada Khandge[2]
[1,2] *Department of Film and Media, Ajeenkya D Y Patil University*

***Abstract*—The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into the Visual Effects (VFX) industry has significantly transformed traditional workflows, particularly in paint and clean-up tasks. ComfyUI, a node-based AI interface, enables artists to automate processes such as object removal, clean plate generation, and texture reconstruction. While these advancements promise improved efficiency and reduced manual workload, they also introduce challenges related to workflow complexity, cognitive load, and decision fatigue. This study investigates the implementation of ComfyUI in the VFX paint industry using a secondary research methodology. Drawing from literature in VFX pipelines, human-computer interaction, and cognitive load theory, the research identifies how AI tools impact artist performance and decision-making. The findings reveal that although ComfyUI enhances speed and automation, excessive node complexity and multiple AI outputs increase cognitive burden. To address these challenges, the paper proposes the PACE-VFX Framework, consisting of Progressive Node Disclosure, Adaptive Workflow Hierarchy, Contextual Tool Surfacing, and Embedded Cognitive Rest. This framework provides practical guidelines for integrating AI tools effectively into VFX workflows.**

***Index Terms*—ComfyUI, Cognitive Load, VFX Paint Industry, AI Workflow, PACE-VFX Framework, Decision Fatigue, Node-Based Pipelines, Automation**

I. INTRODUCTION

The VFX paint industry plays a critical role in post-production by removing unwanted elements, fixing visual errors, and enhancing shot quality. Traditionally, artists relied on software like Nuke, Silhouette, and Photoshop to perform frame-by-frame corrections.

With the rise of AI technologies, tools like ComfyUI have introduced automation into paint workflows. ComfyUI allows artists to use node-based pipelines for generating AI-assisted outputs such as:

- Clean plate generation
- Patch filling
- Background reconstruction
- Frame enhancement

While these tools increase productivity, they also introduce complexity. Artists are required to manage multiple nodes, evaluate AI outputs, and maintain creative control. This creates cognitive challenges similar to those found in AI-augmented systems.

This research explores how ComfyUI impacts workflow efficiency and cognitive load in the VFX paint industry.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

This section reviews the key theoretical and practical studies relevant to the implementation of ComfyUI in the VFX paint industry. The review is organized into four major domains: cognitive load theory, decision fatigue and information overload, traditional VFX paint workflows, and AI integration in digital production environments.

A. Cognitive Load Theory in Digital Workflows

Cognitive Load Theory (CLT), introduced by John Sweller, explains that human working memory has limited capacity and can be easily overloaded when excessive information is presented. The theory categorizes cognitive load into three types:

- Intrinsic Load — complexity of the task itself
- Extraneous Load — load caused by poor design or presentation
- Germane Load — effort used for learning and understanding

In the context of VFX paint workflows, intrinsic load arises from complex shots involving motion blur, reflections, or fine details. However, AI tools like ComfyUI often increase extraneous load due to complex node-based interfaces and multiple outputs.

Research suggests that poorly structured interfaces reduce efficiency by consuming mental resources that should be used for creative decision-making.

Therefore, minimizing unnecessary complexity is essential for improving performance in AI-assisted workflows.

B. Decision Fatigue and Information Overload

The concept of decision fatigue, studied by Roy Baumeister, explains how continuous decision-making leads to reduced mental energy and lower decision quality over time. In the context of AI tools like ComfyUI, artists are often required to assess multiple generated outputs, increasing mental effort and slowing down workflow performance.

C. Traditional VFX Paint Workflows

Traditional VFX paint workflows are based on manual processes using software such as Nuke, Silhouette, and Adobe Photoshop. These workflows involve frame-by-frame correction, clone and patch techniques, and manual rotoscoping. Although these workflows are time-consuming, they offer high precision and full creative control.

D. Summary and Research Gap

The reviewed literature highlights four major domains relevant to this study. Cognitive Load Theory establishes that human working memory has limited capacity, and excessive or poorly structured information can negatively impact performance. Research on decision fatigue further explains how continuous evaluation of multiple options reduces efficiency and decision quality.

Traditional VFX paint workflows emphasize manual control, consistency, and structured pipelines. In contrast, AI-based tools like ComfyUI introduce automation, speed, and flexibility, but also bring challenges such as workflow complexity, lack of standardization, and unpredictable outputs.

Overall, the literature establishes that while AI tools enhance efficiency, they also introduce new cognitive and workflow challenges that must be addressed through structured design and implementation strategies.

III. RESEARCH & METHODOLOGY

A. Research Design

The study adopts a secondary research methodology, which involves the systematic collection and analysis of existing literature rather than conducting primary data collection such as surveys or experiments. The topic — implementation of ComfyUI in the VFX paint industry — is relatively new and lacks sufficient structured academic research. Therefore, synthesizing knowledge from multiple domains provides a strong theoretical foundation. The study is qualitative and exploratory in nature, focusing on understanding workflow patterns, cognitive challenges, and AI integration strategies in VFX paint processes.

B. Data Sources

The research draws from three categories of sources:

- Academic literature on cognitive load theory, decision fatigue, and HCI
- Studio workflow practices including case observations from real production environments
- Technical sources such as ComfyUI documentation, Stable Diffusion workflows, and AI tool tutorials

C. Data Collection Method

Data was collected using online academic databases (Google Scholar, ResearchGate), technical documentation and online resources, and industry articles and blogs related to VFX and AI tools.

D. Data Analysis Technique

The study uses thematic synthesis, which involves two steps. Step 1 — Coding: Key information from different sources was identified and grouped into categories such as workflow complexity, cognitive challenges, and AI benefits and limitations. Step 2 — Theme Development: The coded data was organized into broader themes including impact of AI on workflow efficiency, cognitive load caused by AI tools, and decision-making challenges.

E. Conceptual Framework Development

Based on the thematic analysis, the PACE-VFX Framework was developed, consisting of:

- Progressive Node Disclosure
- Adaptive Workflow Hierarchy
- Contextual Tool Surfacing
- Embedded Cognitive Rest

This framework acts as a solution model for optimizing AI usage in VFX paint workflows.

F. Limitations of the Methodology

This research has certain limitations. The study relies entirely on secondary sources, and no primary data

(e.g., interviews, surveys, or experiments) was collected. AI tools like ComfyUI are continuously evolving, which may affect long-term relevance. Findings may vary depending on studio pipelines and artist experience. Additionally, the thematic analysis approach provides flexibility but may introduce interpretive subjectivity.

IV. DATA ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS

The analysis of the collected data reveals several key insights regarding the implementation of ComfyUI in the VFX paint industry. These findings are derived from thematic analysis and comparative evaluation of traditional and AI-assisted workflows.

A. Finding 1: Increased Workflow Complexity

The introduction of ComfyUI significantly changes the traditional paint workflow by replacing simple tool-based processes with node-based systems. While node-based workflows provide flexibility and customization, they also introduce complex node connections, technical setup requirements, and a higher learning curve for artists. This increases extraneous cognitive load, especially for beginners, making initial adoption difficult.

B. Finding 2: AI Output Overload Leads to Decision Fatigue

One of the major impacts of ComfyUI is the generation of multiple AI outputs for a single task, such as multiple clean plate variations, different patch results, and various enhancement outputs. While this provides options, it also creates increased decision-making effort, difficulty in selecting the best output, and slower workflow in some cases. This phenomenon leads to decision fatigue, where continuous evaluation reduces efficiency and mental focus.

C. Finding 3: Lack of Standardized Workflow

Traditional VFX pipelines are highly structured, with defined steps and tools. However, AI-based workflows using ComfyUI lack standardization. Key issues include different artists using different node setups, no fixed pipeline for AI integration, and inconsistent results across projects. This lack of uniformity creates challenges in team collaboration and quality consistency.

D. Finding 4: Trade-off Between Efficiency and Creative Control

ComfyUI significantly improves speed by automating repetitive tasks such as object removal, background generation, and texture filling. However, this automation reduces manual control and precision in certain cases. Artists often need to re-adjust AI outputs and combine manual and AI techniques. This creates a trade-off between efficiency and control, where higher speed may come at the cost of accuracy or artistic intent.

E. Finding 5: Hybrid Workflow is the Most Effective Approach

The analysis indicates that the most effective workflow is a hybrid approach. In this model, AI (ComfyUI) is used for initial generation and rough work, while traditional tools (Nuke, Silhouette, Photoshop) are used for refinement. Benefits of the hybrid workflow include faster task completion and better control over the final output.

F. Finding 6: Cognitive Load Directly Impacts Artist Performance

The study confirms that cognitive factors play a crucial role in workflow efficiency. High cognitive load results in slower task completion, increased errors, and reduced decision quality. In ComfyUI workflows, cognitive load is mainly caused by complex node structures, multiple AI outputs, and lack of clear workflow structure.

G. Finding 7: Need for Structured AI Workflow Framework

The findings clearly indicate the absence of a structured approach for integrating AI tools in VFX paint workflows. Without proper structure, artists become overwhelmed, productivity decreases, and AI benefits are not fully utilized. This leads to the need for a framework like PACE-VFX, which focuses on simplifying workflows and reducing cognitive load.

V. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This study examined the implementation of ComfyUI in the VFX paint industry, focusing on its impact on workflow efficiency, cognitive load, and artist performance. The findings demonstrate that AI-based tools like ComfyUI have the potential to significantly

transform traditional paint workflows by automating repetitive tasks such as object removal, clean plate generation, and texture reconstruction.

However, the research also reveals that the benefits of AI integration are accompanied by new challenges. The node-based structure of ComfyUI introduces increased workflow complexity, requiring artists to manage multiple nodes, parameters, and outputs. This complexity contributes to higher cognitive load, particularly for artists who are new to AI-driven systems.

Additionally, the generation of multiple AI outputs leads to decision fatigue, as artists must continuously evaluate and select the most suitable result. The absence of standardized workflows further complicates implementation, resulting in inconsistency and reduced efficiency across different users and projects.

The study highlights a key insight: while ComfyUI improves speed and automation, it does not replace the need for human creativity and precision.

A. PACE-VFX Framework Recommendations

The first principle is Progressive AI Disclosure. Grounded in van Merriënboer and Sweller's (2005) CLT-derived guidance on multimedia learning environments, this principle holds that AI-generated content should be revealed to the user in deliberate stages rather than surfaced simultaneously in its entirety. Only a single primary AI-generated recommendation should surface as the default state; additional content should be accessible through explicit user action.

The second principle is Adaptive Workflow Hierarchy. The dashboard adaptation should be bounded — operating within a stable visual grammar that users can learn and rely on, with AI-driven adjustments occurring within predictable zones of the layout. This prevents increased extraneous cognitive load caused by re-learning the interface on every visit. Structural rest — the intentional incorporation of visual pauses and information-free zones — is supported by cognitive load theory (Sweller, 1988) and visual design research (Tufte, 2001). Working memory requires temporal and attentional space to consolidate information. These strategies form the empirical core of the PACE-VFX framework.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author thanks Dr. Sukhada Khandge for her invaluable guidance and supervision throughout this research. Grateful acknowledgment is also extended to the Department of Film and Media, Ajeenkya D Y Patil University, for providing the academic environment that made this work possible.

REFERENCES

[1] J. Sweller, "Cognitive load during problem solving: Effects on learning," Cognitive Science, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 257–285, 1988.

[2] J. Sweller, "Cognitive load theory, learning difficulty, and instructional design," Learning and Instruction, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 295–312, 1994.

[3] G. A. Miller, "The magical number seven, plus or minus two: Some limits on our capacity for processing information," Psychological Review, vol. 63, no. 2, pp. 81–97, 1956.

[4] M. J. Eppler and J. Mengis, "The concept of information overload," The Information Society, vol. 20, no. 5, pp. 325–344, 2004.

[5] R. F. Baumeister, E. Bratslavsky, M. Muraven, and D. M. Tice, "Ego depletion: Is the active self a limited resource?" Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, vol. 74, no. 5, pp. 1252–1265, 1998.

[6] E. R. Tufte, The Visual Display of Quantitative Information, 2nd ed. Cheshire, CT: Graphics Press, 2001.

[7] D. A. Norman, The Design of Everyday Things, Revised ed. New York, NY: Basic Books, 2013.

[8] J. J. G. van Merriënboer and J. Sweller, "Cognitive load theory and complex learning," Educational Psychology Review, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 147–177, 2005.

[9] S. Few, Information Dashboard Design: The Effective Visual Communication of Data. Sebastopol, CA: O'Reilly Media, 2006.

[10] B. A. Adewusi, B. I. Adekunle, S. D. Mustapha, and A. C. Uzoka, "AI-augmented user experience design," International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology, vol. 8, no. 6, pp. 1910–1929, 2023.

[11] Y. Liu, W. Zhang, and H. Chen, "AI in UX evaluation: A systematic review," Advances in Human-Computer Interaction, 2025.

[12] ComfyUI Documentation, "ComfyUI workflow and node system," 2024. [Online]. Available: official documentation sources.

APPENDIX A: COMFYUI WORKFLOW OVERVIEW

A basic ComfyUI workflow in VFX paint tasks typically includes the following nodes:

- Input Node — Import source image or frame
- Model Node — AI model (e.g., Stable Diffusion)
- Processing Node — Inpainting / clean-up
- Output Node — Generated result

APPENDIX B: TRADITIONAL VS AI WORKFLOW COMPARISON

Feature	Traditional Workflow	ComfyUI Workflow
Process	Manual	Automated
Speed	Slow	Fast
Control	High	Medium
Complexity	Low	High
Learning Curve	Easy	Moderate / High