

Rp-Hplc Method Development and Validation for The Estimation of Lercanidipine in Bulk Form and Marketed Pharmaceutical Dosage Forms

Kanugula Bhagyalaxmi¹, Pasupuleti Sunitha², Vijaya Kuchana³, G. Kalyani⁴

¹Department of Pharmaceutical Analysis, Teegala Krishna Reddy College of Pharmacy, Medbowli, Meerpet (V), Balapur (M), Ranga Reddy (Dist), Hyderabad – 500097, Telangana

²Department of Pharmaceutical Analysis, Associate Professor, Teegala Krishna Reddy College of Pharmacy, Medbowli, Meerpet (V), Balapur (M), Ranga Reddy (Dist), Hyderabad – 500097, Telangana

³Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Principal and Professor, Teegala Krishna Reddy College of Pharmacy, Medbowli, Meerpet (V), Balapur (M), Ranga Reddy (Dist), Hyderabad – 500097, Telangana

⁴Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Associate Professor, Teegala Krishna Reddy College of Pharmacy, Medbowli, Meerpet (V), Balapur (M), Ranga Reddy (Dist), Hyderabad – 500097, Telangana

Abstract—A simple, rapid, specific and accurate reverse phase high performance liquid chromatographic method has been developed for the validated of Lercanidipine in bulk as well as in marketed pharmaceutical dosage form. This separation was performed on a Symmetry ODS C18 (4.6mm×250mm, 5µm) column with Methanol: Phosphate Buffer (35:65%) v/v as mobile phase at a flow rate of 1.0 mL min⁻¹ with UV detection at 235 nm; the constant column temperature was Ambient. The run time under these chromatographic conditions was less than 8 min. The retention time of Lercanidipine was found to be 2.257min. The calibration plot was linear over the concentration range of 6–14 µg mL⁻¹ with limits of detection and quantification values of 1.2 and 3.6 ng mL⁻¹ respectively. The mean % assay of marketed formulation was found to be 99.86%, and % recovery was observed in the range of 98-102%. Relative standard deviation for the precision study was found <2%. The developed method is simple, precise, specific, accurate and rapid, making it suitable for estimation of Lercanidipine in bulk and marketed pharmaceutical dosage form dosage form.

Index Terms—Lercanidipine, RP-HPLC, Validation, ICH Guidelines.

I. INTRODUCTION

Lercanidipine is a prescription calcium channel blocker medication used to treat high blood pressure (hypertension) by relaxing and widening blood vessels, allowing blood to flow more easily, and is

typically taken once daily, preferably on an empty stomach¹. It's generally well-tolerated but can cause side effects like flushing, headaches, dizziness, or ankle swelling, and should not be taken with grapefruit juice or cyclosporine. Lercanidipine is primarily used to treat high blood pressure (hypertension). It works by relaxing and widening blood vessels, which reduces the workload on the heart and lowers blood pressure². This mechanism can help prevent serious complications like heart attack and stroke. It's a calcium channel blocker, specifically a dihydropyridine derivative. Lercanidipine is a calcium channel blocker for the management of hypertension. Lercanidipine is a calcium channel blocker of the dihydropyridine class. It is sold under various commercial names including Zanidip³. The IUPAC Name of Lercanidipine is 5-O- [1- [3, 3-diphenylpropyl (methyl) amino]-2-methyl propan-2-yl] 3-O-methyl 2, 6-dimethyl-4-(3-nitro phenyl)-1, 4-dihydro pyridine-3, 5-dicarboxylate. The Chemical Structure of Lercanidipine is shown in follows




Fig-1: Chemical Structure of Lercanidipine

The considerable literature survey disclose that the analytical methods reported for estimation of Lercanidipine alone and in combination with other drug, but no analytical methods was reported for estimation of Lercanidipine. So, it was thought of interest to develop simple, accurate, precise and reproducible RP-HPLC method for estimation of Lercanidipine in their bulk form and Marketed Pharmaceutical Dosage forms²⁸⁻³⁰. Methods were validated as per ICH guidelines²⁷ [Q2 (R1)].

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Table-1: Instruments Used

S.No.	Instruments and Glass wares	Model
1	HPLC	WATERS Alliance 2695 separation module, Software: Empower 2, 996 PDA detector.
2	pH meter	Lab India
3	Weighing machine	Sartorius
4	Volumetric flasks	Borosil
5	Pipettes and Burettes	Borosil
6	Beakers	Borosil
7	Digital ultra sonicator	Labman

Table-2: Chemicals Used

S.No.	Chemical	Brand Names
1	Lercanidipine	Local Market
2	Water and Methanol for HPLC	LICHROSOLV (MERCK)
3	Acetonitrile for HPLC	Merck

HPLC Method Development:

Preparation of Standard Solution:

Accurately weigh and transfer 10 mg of Lercanidipine working standard into a 10ml of clean dry volumetric flasks add about 7ml of Methanol and sonicate to dissolve and removal of air completely and make volume up to the mark with the same Methanol. Further pipette 0.1ml of the above Lercanidipine stock solutions into a 10ml volumetric flask and dilute up to the mark with Methanol.

Procedure:

Inject the samples by changing the chromatographic conditions and record the chromatograms, note the

conditions of proper peak elution for performing validation parameters as per ICH guidelines²⁷.

Mobile Phase Optimization:

Initially the mobile phase tried was Methanol and Methanol: Water with varying proportions. Finally, the mobile phase was optimized to Methanol: Phosphate Buffer in proportion 35:65% v/v.

Optimization of Column:

The method was performed with various C18 columns like, X- bridge column, Xterra, and C18 column. Symmetry ODS C18 (4.6mm x 250mm, 5 μ m) was found to be ideal as it gave good peak shape and resolution at 1.0ml/min flow.

Preparation of Potassium dihydrogen Phosphate (KH₂PO₄) buffer (pH-3.6):

Dissolve 6.8043 of potassium dihydrogen phosphate in 1000 ml HPLC water and adjust the pH 3.6 with diluted orthophosphoric acid. Filter and sonicate the solution by vacuum filtration and ultra-sonication⁴.

Preparation of Mobile Phase:

Accurately measured 350 ml (35%) of Methanol, 650 ml of Phosphate buffer (65%) were mixed and degassed in digital ultra sonicator for 15 minutes and then filtered through 0.45 μ filter under vacuum filtration.

Diluent Preparation:

The Mobile phase was used as the diluent⁵.

Method Validation Parameters

System Suitability

Accurately weigh and transfer 10 mg of Lercanidipine working standard into a 10ml of clean dry volumetric flasks add about 7mL of Diluents and sonicate to dissolve it completely and make volume up to the mark with the same solvent. (Stock solution)

Further pipette 0.1ml of the above Lercanidipine stock solution into a 10ml volumetric flask and dilute up to the mark with diluents.

Procedure:

The standard solution was injected for five times and measured the area for all five injections in HPLC. The %RSD for the area of five replicate injections was found to be within the specified limits.

Specificity:

Preparation of Standard Solution:

Accurately weigh and transfer 10 mg of Lercanidipine working standard into a 10ml of clean dry volumetric flasks add about 7ml of Diluents and sonicate to dissolve it completely and make volume up to the mark with the same solvent. (Stock solution)

Further pipette 0.1ml of the above Lercanidipine stock solutions into a 10ml volumetric flask and dilute up to the mark with diluents.

Preparation of Sample Solution:

Weight 10 mg equivalent weight of Lercanidipine sample into a 10mL clean dry volumetric flask and add

about 7mL of Diluent and sonicate to dissolve it completely and make volume up to the mark with the same solvent.

Further pipette 0.1ml of Lercanidipine above stock solution into a 10ml volumetric flask and dilute up to the mark with diluent.

Procedure:

Inject the three replicate injections of standard and sample solutions and calculate the assay by using formula:

$$\% \text{ASSAY} = \frac{\text{Sample area}}{\text{Standard area}} \times \frac{\text{Weight of standard}}{\text{Dilution of standard}} \times \frac{\text{Dilution of sample}}{\text{Weight of sample}} \times \frac{\text{Purity}}{100} \times \frac{\text{Weight of tablet}}{\text{Label claim}} \times 100$$

Linearity and Range:

Accurately weigh and transfer 10 mg of Lercanidipine working standard into a 10ml of clean dry volumetric flasks add about 7ml of Diluents and sonicate to dissolve it completely and make volume up to the mark with the same solvent. (Stock solution)

Preparation of Level – I (6ppm of Lercanidipine):

Take 0.6ml of stock solution in to 10ml of volumetric flask and make up the volume up to mark with diluents and sonicate the solution for bubble entrapment using ultrasonicate.

Preparation of Level – II (8ppm of Lercanidipine):

Take 0.8ml of stock solution in to 10ml of volumetric flask and make up the volume up to mark with diluents and sonicate the solution for bubble entrapment using ultrasonicator.

Preparation of Level – III (10ppm of Lercanidipine):

Take 0.1ml of stock solution in to 10ml of volumetric flask and make up the volume up to mark with diluents and sonicate the solution for bubble entrapment using ultrasonicator.

Preparation of Level – IV (12ppm of Lercanidipine):

Take 0.12ml of stock solution in to 10ml of volumetric flask and make up the volume up to mark with diluents

and sonicate the solution for bubble entrapment using ultrasonicator.

Preparation of Level – V (14ppm of Lercanidipine):

Take 0.14ml of stock solution in to 10ml of volumetric flask and make up the volume up to mark with diluents and sonicate the solution for bubble entrapment using ultrasonicator.

Procedure:

Inject each level into the chromatographic system and measure the peak area.

Plot a graph of peak area versus concentration (on X-axis concentration and on Y-axis Peak area) and calculate the correlation coefficient⁶.

Precision

Repeatability

Preparation of Lercanidipine Product Solution for Precision:

Accurately weigh and transfer 10 mg of Lercanidipine working standard into a 10ml of clean dry volumetric flasks add about 7ml of Diluents and sonicate to dissolve it completely and make volume up to the mark with the same solvent. (Stock solution)

Further pipette 0.1ml of the above Lercanidipine stock solutions into a 10ml volumetric flask and dilute up to the mark with diluents.

Procedure:

The standard solution was injected for six times and measured the area for all six injections in HPLC. The %RSD for the area of six replicate injections was found to be within the specified limits.

Intermediate Precision:

To evaluate the intermediate precision (also known as Ruggedness) of the method, Precision was performed on different days by maintaining same conditions.

Procedure:

Analyst 1:

The standard solution was injected for six times and measured the area for all six injections in HPLC. The %RSD for the area of six replicate injections was found to be within the specified limits.

Analyst 2:

The standard solution was injected for six times and measured the area for all six injections in HPLC. The %RSD for the area of six replicate injections was found to be within the specified limits.

Accuracy:

For preparation of 50% Standard Stock Solution:

Accurately weigh and transfer 10 mg of Lercanidipine working standard into a 10ml of clean dry volumetric flasks add about 7mL of Diluents and sonicate to dissolve it completely and make volume up to the mark with the same solvent. (Stock solution)

Further pipette 0.05ml of the above Lercanidipine stock solution into a 10ml volumetric flask and dilute up to the mark with diluents.

For preparation of 100% Standard Stock Solution:

Accurately weigh and transfer 10 mg of Lercanidipine working standard into a 10ml of clean dry volumetric flasks add about 7mL of Diluents and sonicate to dissolve it completely and make volume up to the mark with the same solvent. (Stock solution)

Further pipette 0.1ml of the above Lercanidipine stock solution into a 10ml volumetric flask and dilute up to the mark with diluents.

For preparation of 150% Standard Stock Solution:

Accurately weigh and transfer 10 mg of Lercanidipine working standard into a 10ml of clean dry volumetric flasks add about 7mL of Diluents and sonicate to

dissolve it completely and make volume up to the mark with the same solvent. (Stock solution)

Further pipette 0.15ml of the above Lercanidipine stock solution into a 10ml volumetric flask and dilute up to the mark with diluents.

Procedure:

Inject the Three replicate injections of individual concentrations (50%, 100%, 150%) were made under the optimized conditions. Recorded the chromatograms and measured the peak responses. Calculate the Amount found and Amount added for Lercanidipine and calculate the individual recovery and mean recovery values.

Limit of Detection and Limit of Quantification (LOD & LOQ):

Preparation of 0.95µg/ml Solution (For LOD):

Accurately weigh and transfer 10 mg of Lercanidipine working standard into a 10ml of clean dry volumetric flasks add about 7ml of Diluents and sonicate to dissolve it completely and make volume up to the mark with the same solvent. (Stock solution)

Further pipette 0.0095ml of the above Lercanidipine stock solutions into a 10ml volumetric flask and dilute up to the mark with diluents.

Preparation of 2.9µg/ml Solution (For LOQ):

Accurately weigh and transfer 10 mg of Lercanidipine working standard into a 10ml of clean dry volumetric flasks add about 7ml of Diluents and sonicate to dissolve it completely and make volume up to the mark with the same solvent. (Stock solution)

Further pipette 0.029ml of the above Lercanidipine stock solutions into a 10ml volumetric flask and dilute up to the mark with diluents.

Robustness:

The analysis was performed in different conditions to find the variability of test results. The following conditions are checked for variation of results. .

For preparation of Standard solution:

Accurately weigh and transfer 10 mg of Lercanidipine working standard into a 10ml of clean dry volumetric flasks add about 7mL of Diluents and sonicate to dissolve it completely and make volume up to the mark with the same solvent. (Stock solution)

Further pipette 0.1ml of the above Lercanidipine stock solution into a 10ml volumetric flask and dilute up to the mark with diluents.

Effect of Variation of Flow Conditions:

The sample was analyzed at 0.9 ml/min and 1.1 ml/min instead of 1ml/min, remaining conditions are same. 10 μ l of the above sample was injected and chromatograms were recorded.

Effect of Variation of Mobile Phase Organic Composition:

The sample was analyzed by variation of mobile phase i.e. Methanol: Phosphate Buffer was taken in the ratio and 40:60, 30:70 instead (35:65), remaining conditions are same. 10 μ l of the above sample was injected and chromatograms were recorded.

Observation: While scanning the Lercanidipine solution we observed the maxima at 235nm. The UV spectrum has been recorded on T60-LAB INDIA make UV – Vi's spectrophotometer model UV-2450. Optimized Chromatographic Conditions:

Mobile phase ratio: Methanol: Phosphate Buffer (35:65%) v/v

Column : Symmetry ODS C18 (4.6mm $\times$ 250mm, 5 μ m)

Column temperature: Ambient

Wavelength: 235 nm

Flow rate: 1.0 mL/min

Injection volume : 10.0 μ l

Run time: 8.0 min

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analytical Method Development:

Sample & Standard Preparation for the UV-Spectrophotometer Analysis:

25 mg of Lercanidipine standard was transferred into 25 ml volumetric flask, dissolved & make up to volume with mobile phase. Further dilution was done by transferring 0.1 ml of the above solution into a 10ml volumetric flask and make up to volume with mobile phase.




Fig-5.1: UV Spectrum for Lercanidipine (235nm)




Fig-2: Optimized Chromatographic Condition

Validation of Analytical Method

System Suitability:

The test was carried out by making five replicate injections of a standard solution containing 10 μ g/ml of Lercanidipine and analyzing each solute for their peak area, theoretical plates (N), tailing factor (T) and asymmetric factors (As). The results of System Suitability test⁷ were shown in table-3.

Table-3: Results of System Suitability for Lercanidipine

S.No.	Peak Name	RT	Area (μ V*sec)	Height (μ V)	USP Plate Count	USP Tailing
1	Lercanidipine	2.277	1652847	185647	6589	1.24
2	Lercanidipine	2.277	1653658	186254	6587	1.26
3	Lercanidipine	2.267	1654521	185475	6584	1.28
4	Lercanidipine	2.265	1653564	186594	6582	1.29
5	Lercanidipine	2.277	1658745	185684	6895	1.24
Mean			1654667			
Std. Dev.			2355.764			
% RSD			0.142371			

Specificity

The ICH documents define specificity as the ability to assess unequivocally the analyte in the presence of components that may be expected to be present, such as impurities, degradation products, and matrix

components⁸. Analytical method was tested for specificity to measure accurately quantities Lercanidipine in drug product.

$$\% \text{ASSAY} = \frac{\text{Sample area}}{\text{Standard area}} \times \frac{\text{Weight of standard}}{\text{Dilution of standard}} \times \frac{\text{Dilution of sample}}{\text{Weight of sample}} \times \frac{\text{Purity}}{100} \times \frac{\text{Weight of tablet}}{\text{Label claim}} \times 100$$

Conclusion: The % purity of Lercanidipine in pharmaceutical dosage form was found to be 99.40%⁹.

Linearity

The linearity of the developed method was evaluated by processing five different concentration levels of Lercanidipine over the concentration of 6 to 14 µg/mL. The linearity plots were acquired by plotting peak response (on X-axis) vs. concentration (on Y-axis)¹⁰⁻¹¹. The results of the linearity and calibration curve were represented in Table 4, and Fig-3.

Table-4: Data for Linearity of Lercanidipine

Concentration (µg/ml)	Average Peak Area
6	1078475
8	1461129
10	1808358
12	2211573
14	2593778




Fig-3: Linearity Curve of Lercanidipine

Linearity Plot: The plot of Concentration (x) versus the Average Peak Area (y) data of Lercanidipine is a straight line.

$$Y = mx + c$$

$$\text{Slope (m)} = 18500$$

$$\text{Intercept (c)} = 16179$$

$$\text{Correlation Coefficient (r)} = 0.999$$

Validation Criteria: The response linearity is verified if the Correlation Coefficient is 0.99 or greater.

Conclusion: Correlation Coefficient (r) is 0.99, and the intercept is 0.16179. These values meet the validation criteria.

Precision:

The precision of an analytical procedure expresses the closeness of agreement (degree of scatter) between a series of measurements obtained from multiple sampling of the same homogeneous sample under the prescribed conditions¹².

Repeatability: Obtained Six (6) replicates of 100% accuracy solution as per experimental conditions¹³. Recorded the peak areas and calculated % RSD and results were shown in table-5.

Table-5: Results of Repeatability for Lercanidipine:

S. No.	Peak name	Retention time	Area(µV*sec)	Height(µV)	USP Plate Count	USP Tailing
1	Lercanidipine	2.293	1658954	186958	1.26	6785
2	Lercanidipine	2.276	1658745	187548	1.27	6854
3	Lercanidipine	2.286	1659865	189854	1.26	6852
4	Lercanidipine	2.277	1653254	186985	1.25	6784
5	Lercanidipine	2.280	1654781	189542	1.24	6895

6	Lercanidipine	2.293	1661324	187586	1.28	6965
Mean			1657821			
Std. Dev			3120.433			
%RSD			0.188225			

Intermediate Precision¹⁴:Analyst¹:

Table-6: Results of Intermediate Precision Analyst 1 for Lercanidipine

S.No.	Peak Name	RT	Area ($\mu\text{V}\cdot\text{sec}$)	Height (μV)	USP Plate Count	USPTailing
1	Lercanidipine	2.274	1678541	186589	6587	1.26
2	Lercanidipine	2.258	1685985	186598	6321	1.26
3	Lercanidipine	2.267	1685745	186985	6385	1.25
4	Lercanidipine	2.270	1685987	187854	6580	1.26
5	Lercanidipine	2.264	1698526	187549	6721	1.27
6	Lercanidipine	2.265	1685943	186598	6637	1.26
Mean			1686788			
Std. Dev.			6463.466			
% RSD			0.383182			

Analyst 2:

Table-7: Results of Intermediate Precision Analyst 2 for Lercanidipine

S.No.	Peak Name	RT	Area ($\mu\text{V}\cdot\text{sec}$)	Height (μV)	USP Plate count	USPTailing
1	Lercanidipine	2.277	1665847	167481	6854	1.25
2	Lercanidipine	2.255	1658989	167854	6785	1.26
3	Lercanidipine	2.265	1659845	167895	6854	1.24
4	Lercanidipine	2.255	1665964	167854	6895	1.26
5	Lercanidipine	2.253	1659863	168585	6459	1.25
6	Lercanidipine	2.252	1665986	167859	6456	1.26
Mean			1662749			
Std. Dev.			3501.766			
% RSD			0.210601			

Accuracy

Method accuracy¹⁵ was estimated at three variable concentrations of 50%, 100%, and 150% levels by

spiking the known amount of the drug analytes. The % recovery¹⁶⁻¹⁸ at each level was calculated, and the findings were represented in Table 8.

Table-8: The Accuracy Results for Lercanidipine

%Concentration (at specification Level)	Area	Amount Added(ppm)	Amount Found (ppm)	% Recovery	Mean Recovery
50%	109068.3	5	5.021	100.420%	100.72%
100%	202187	10	10.054	100.540%	
150%	297032.3	15	15.181	101.206%	

Limit of Detection

The detection limit of an individual analytical procedure is the lowest amount of analyte in a sample which can be detected but not necessarily quantitated as an exact value¹⁹.

$$\text{LOD} = 3.3 \times \sigma / s$$

Were

σ = Standard deviation²⁰ of the response

S = Slope of the calibration curve

Result:

$$= 0.95 \mu\text{g/ml}$$

Quantitation Limit

The quantitation limit of an individual analytical procedure is the lowest amount of analyte in a sample which can be quantitatively determined²¹.

$$LOQ=10\times\sigma/S$$

Were

σ = Standard deviation of the response

S = Slope of the calibration curve²²

Result:

$$= 2.9\mu\text{g/ml}$$

Robustness:

The robustness was performed for the flow rate variations from 0.9 ml/min to 1.1ml/min and mobile phase ratio variation from more organic phase to less organic phase ratio for Lercanidipine²³. The method is robust only in less flow condition. The standard of Lercanidipine was injected by changing the conditions of chromatography. There was no significant change in the parameters like resolution, tailing factor, asymmetric factor, and plate count²⁴.

Table-9: Results for Robustness of Lercanidipine

Parameter Used for Sample Analysis	Peak Area	Retention Time	Theoretical Plates	Tailing Factor
Actual Flow rate of 1.0 mL/min	1658242	2.312	6569	1.24
Less Flow rate of 0.9 mL/min	1854215	2.458	6865	1.35
More Flow rate of 1.1 mL/min	1758468	2.032	6254	1.32

Stability Studies

The specificity of the method can be demonstrated by applying stress conditions using acid, alkaline, peroxide, thermal, UV, water degradations. The sample was exposed to these conditions the main peak 10.

of the drug was studied for peak purity that indicating the method effectively separated the degradation products from the pure active ingredient²⁵⁻²⁶. The results of Forced Degradation Studies for Lercanidipine were shown in Table-

Table-10: Results of Forced Degradation Studies for Lercanidipine

S.No.	Stress Condition	Peak Area	% of Degraded Amount	% of Active Amount	Total % of Amount
1	Standard	1658242	0	100%	100%
2	Acidic	1331734.15	19.69	80.31	100%
3	Basic	1594233.85	3.86	96.14	100%
4	Oxidative	1394747.34	15.89	84.11	100%
5	Thermal	1575827.37	4.97	95.03	100%
6	Photolytic	1345331.73	18.87	81.13	100%
7	Water	1360090.08	17.98	82.02	100%

IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

The analytical method was developed by studying different parameters. First of all, maximum absorbance was found to be at 235nm and the peak purity was excellent. Injection volume was selected to be 10 μ l which gave a good peak area. The column used for study was Symmetry ODS C18 (4.6mm $\times$ 250mm, 5 μ m) because it was giving good peak. Ambient temperature was found to be suitable for the nature of drug solution. The flow rate was fixed at 1.0ml/min because of good peak area and satisfactory retention time. Mobile phase is Methanol: Phosphate Buffer pH-3.6 in the ratio of 35:65% v/v was fixed due to good symmetrical peak. So, this mobile phase was used for the proposed study. Methanol was selected because of maximum

extraction sonication time was fixed to be 10.0min at which all the drug particles were completely soluble and showed good recovery. Run time was selected to be 8min because analyze gave peak around 2.257min and also to reduce the total run time. The percent recovery was found to be 98.0-102% was linear and precise over the same range. Both system and method precision was found to be accurate and well within range. The analytical method was found linearity over the range of 6-14ppm of the Lercanidipine target concentration. The analytical passed both robustness and ruggedness tests. On both cases, relative standard deviation was well satisfactory.

REFERENCES

- [1] DrugBank, "Lercanidipine (DB00528)," DrugBank Online. Available: <https://go.drugbank.com/drugs/DB00528>
- [2] Wikipedia Contributors, "Lercanidipine," *Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopedia*. Available: <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lercanidipine>
- [3] National Center for Biotechnology Information, "Lercanidipine," *PubChem Compound Database*. Available: <https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/compound/Lercanidipine>
- [4] D. Kealey and P. J. Haines, *Analytical Chemistry*, 1st ed. Oxford, U.K.: BIOS Publishers, 2002, pp. 1–7.
- [5] Braithwaite and F. J. Smith, *Chromatographic Methods*, 5th ed. Dordrecht, Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1996, pp. 1–2.
- [6] Weston and P. R. Brown, *HPLC: Principle and Practice*, 1st ed. San Diego, CA, USA: Academic Press, 1997, pp. 24–37.
- [7] Y. Kazakevich and R. Lobrutto, *HPLC for Pharmaceutical Scientists*, 1st ed. Hoboken, NJ, USA: Wiley-Interscience, 2007, pp. 15–23.
- [8] Wikipedia Contributors, "Chromatography," *Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopedia*. Available: <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Chromatography>
- [9] V. R. Meyer, *Practical High-Performance Liquid Chromatography*, 4th ed. Chichester, U.K.: John Wiley & Sons, 2004, pp. 7–8.
- [10] G. Sahajwalla, *A New Drug Development*, vol. 141. New York, NY, USA: Marcel Dekker Inc., 2004, pp. 421–426.
- [11] "Introduction to Column," Available: http://amitpatel745.topcities.com/index_files/study/column%20care.pdf
- [12] "Detectors Used in HPLC," Available: http://wiki.answers.com/Q/What_detectors_are_used_in_HPLC
- [13] "Detectors," Available: http://hplc.chem.shu.edu/NEW/HPLC_Book/Detectors/det_uvda.html
- [14] "Detectors," Available: http://www.dionex.com/enus/webdocs/64842-31644-02_PDA-100.pdf
- [15] "Detectors," Available: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8867705>
- [16] "Detectors," Available: <http://www.chem.agilent.com/Library/applications/59643559.pdf>
- [17] "Detectors," Available: <http://hplc.chem.shu.edu/new/hplcbook/detector>
- [18] ICH, "Draft ICH Guidelines on Validation of Analytical Procedures: Definitions and Terminology," *Federal Register*, vol. 60. Geneva, Switzerland: IFPMA, 1995, p. 1126.
- [19] ICH, "Code Q2B: Validation of Analytical Procedures—Methodology," *ICH Harmonized Tripartite Guideline*. Geneva, Switzerland, 1996, pp. 1–8.
- [20] "Introduction to Analytical Method Validation," Available: <http://www.standardbase.hu/tech/HPLC%20validation%20PE.pdf>
- [21] "Data Elements Required for Assay Validation," Available: <http://www.labcompliance.com/tutorial/methods/default.aspx>
- [22] L. R. Snyder, *Practical HPLC Method Development*, 2nd ed. New York, NY, USA: John Wiley & Sons, 1997, pp. 180–182.
- [23] A. Skoog, D. M. West, and F. J. Holler, *Introduction to Analytical Chemistry*. Fort Worth, TX, USA: Saunders College Publishing, 1994, pp. 1–5.
- [24] B. K. Sharma, *Instrumental Methods of Chemical Analysis*. Meerut, India, 1999, pp. 175–203.
- [25] J. Breaux and K. Jones, "Understanding and implementing efficient analytical method development and validation," *Pharmaceutical Technology*, vol. 5, pp. 110–114, 2003.
- [26] H. H. Willard, L. L. Merritt, J. A. Dean, and F. A. Settle, *Instrumental Methods of Analysis*, 7th ed. New Delhi, India: CBS Publishers & Distributors, 1991, pp. 436–439.
- [27] ICH, "Q2A: Validation of Analytical Methods, Definitions and Terminology," *ICH Harmonized Tripartite Guideline*, 1999.
- [28] H. O. Kaila, M. A. Ambasana, R. S. Thakkar, H. T. Saravaia, and A. K. Shah, "A stability-indicating HPLC method for assay of lercanidipine hydrochloride in tablets and for determining content uniformity," *Indian Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, vol. 72, no. 3, pp. 381–384, May–Jun. 2010, doi: 10.4103/0250-474X.70490.
- [29] D. Chonkar, R. S. Managuli, J. V. Rao, N. Udupa, "Development and validation of reversed phase high-performance liquid chromatography method for estimation of lercanidipine HCl in pure form and from nanosuspension formulation," *Journal of Basic and Clinical Pharmacy*, vol. 7, pp. 17–22, 2016.
- [30] S. Mondal, R. Pushpalatha, P. Mondal, A. S. Mahapatra, D. Shit, A. K. Das, and S. Biswal, "A stability indicating validated method for the

determination of lercanidipine using reverse phase high performance liquid chromatography,” *International Journal of Pharmaceutical Investigation*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 197–201, 2020.