Subsoil Drain System a Cost-Effective and Sustainable Solution for Safe Basement Construction

Mr. Sankalp Rakibe[1], Dr. Mrs. P M Alandkar[2], Dr. S R Awad[3]
[1]*Student, ME Construction Management, Dept. of Civil Engineering, RMD Sinhgad Technical Institute Campus, Warje*
[2]*HOD, Dept. of Civil Engineering, RMD Sinhgad Technical Institute Campus, Warje*
[3]*Professor, Dept. of Civil Engineering, RMD Sinhgad Technical Institute Campus, Warje*

***Abstract*—Basement construction in urban regions has increased significantly due to limited land availability and the need for additional usable space. However, construction in areas with high groundwater levels presents serious engineering challenges, including water seepage, soil instability, and long-term structural deterioration. Conventional waterproofing methods often fail under sustained hydrostatic pressure and require frequent maintenance.**

This study investigates the implementation of a Subsoil Drain System (SSD) as an efficient, economical, and sustainable method to control groundwater around basement structures. A real-life case study of a G+6 residential building with two basement levels is analyzed. The SSD utilizes perforated pipes, graded filter media, and geotextile layers to collect and redirect groundwater away from the foundation.

The results demonstrate that the system significantly reduces hydrostatic pressure, enhances soil stability, and prevents water ingress into the basement. The study concludes that SSD is a reliable long-term solution that improves structural safety while reducing maintenance costs.

***Index Terms*—Subsoil Drain System, Basement Construction, Groundwater Control, Hydrostatic Pressure, Drainage Engineering, Sustainable Construction**

I. INTRODUCTION

Rapid urbanization has led to increased demand for underground structures such as basements, parking facilities, and utility spaces. In many regions, especially those with high groundwater tables, basement construction poses critical challenges. The presence of groundwater can lead to uplift pressure, seepage through walls and floors, and weakening of foundation soil.

Traditional waterproofing techniques act as barriers to water penetration but do not address the root cause—groundwater pressure. Over time, these systems may fail due to cracks, material degradation, or improper installation.

To overcome these issues, modern engineering practices emphasize drainage-based solutions rather than resistance-based methods. The Subsoil Drain System (SSD) is one such approach that actively removes groundwater from the vicinity of the structure, thereby minimizing hydrostatic pressure and improving overall performance.

This paper aims to:

- Study the concept and design of SSD
- Analyze its effectiveness through a real case study
- Evaluate its advantages over conventional waterproofing methods.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Numerous studies have been conducted on groundwater control techniques in civil engineering. Traditional approaches primarily rely on waterproofing membranes, bituminous coatings, and chemical treatments. While these methods provide temporary protection, they often fail under high water pressure conditions.

Researchers have emphasized the importance of drainage systems in improving structural performance. Key findings from previous studies include:

- Drainage systems effectively reduce hydrostatic pressure acting on basement walls.

- Proper filtration using graded aggregates and geotextiles prevents clogging of drainage pipes.
- Long-term maintenance costs are significantly lower compared to repeated waterproofing repairs.
- SSD systems are widely used in developed countries for infrastructure projects such as tunnels, highways, and deep foundations.

Studies also highlight that combining drainage systems with waterproofing provides the most effective solution for water management in underground structures.

III. METHODOLOGY

The methodology of this study is based on field implementation and analysis of a Subsoil Drain System in a real construction project.

A. Project Details

- Type: Residential Building (G+6)
- Basement Levels: 2
- Location: Pune, India
- Groundwater Level: Approximately 2.5 to 3.0 meters below ground level

B. Components of Subsoil Drain System

1. Perforated Pipes:
2. High-density polyethylene (HDPE) pipes of 110 mm diameter with perforations to allow water entry.
3. Filter Media:
4. Crushed stone aggregates (20 mm size) placed around the pipe to facilitate water flow.
5. Geotextile Fabric:
6. A permeable layer used to prevent soil particles from entering the drainage system.
7. Sump Pit and Pump:
8. Collected water is directed to a sump pit and pumped out using a submersible pump.

C. Installation Procedure

- Excavation around foundation perimeter
- Laying of perforated pipes at required slope
- Placement of gravel filter layer
- Wrapping with geotextile fabric
- Backfilling with suitable soil
- Connecting pipes to sump pit

D. Working Principle

Groundwater enters through perforations → passes through gravel filter → filtered by geotextile → flows into pipe → collected in sump → pumped out.

IV. CASE STUDY

The selected project experienced continuous groundwater seepage during excavation. The soil was saturated, leading to instability and difficulty in construction activities. Dewatering pumps alone were insufficient to manage the water inflow.

After installing the Subsoil Drain System:

- Groundwater was effectively diverted away from the foundation
- Soil conditions improved significantly
- Construction delays were minimized
- Basement structure remained dry even after completion

This case study demonstrates the practical applicability and effectiveness of SSD in real-world conditions.’

V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The implementation of SSD resulted in several measurable improvements:

1. Groundwater Control

The system ensured continuous removal of groundwater, preventing accumulation around the structure. This eliminated water seepage and dampness issues.

2. Reduction in Hydrostatic Pressure

By draining water away, lateral pressure on basement walls was significantly reduced, enhancing structural safety.

3. Improved Soil Stability

Lower moisture content in soil increased its bearing capacity and reduced the risk of settlement.

4. Economic Benefits

- Reduced maintenance costs
- Lower repair expenses
- Increased lifespan of structure

5. Ease of Construction

The system is simple to install using commonly available materials and does not require advanced technology.

6. Sustainability
SSD promotes efficient water management and reduces dependency on chemical waterproofing solutions, making it environmentally friendly.

VI. ADVANTAGES OF SUBSOIL DRAIN SYSTEM

- Long-term effectiveness compared to waterproofing
- Prevents structural damage due to water pressure
- Reduces maintenance and repair costs
- Suitable for all soil types
- Easy to design and implement
- Environmentally sustainable solution

VII. LIMITATIONS

- Requires proper design and slope planning
- Initial installation cost may be higher than basic waterproofing
- Regular inspection of sump pump is necessary

VIII. CONCLUSION

The Subsoil Drain System is a highly effective solution for managing groundwater in basement construction. Unlike traditional waterproofing methods, it addresses the root cause by removing water from the surrounding soil. The system enhances structural durability, ensures safety, and reduces long-term maintenance costs.

Based on the case study and analysis, it can be concluded that SSD is a reliable, economical, and sustainable approach for modern construction projects, particularly in areas with high groundwater levels.

IX. FUTURE SCOPE

Future research can focus on:

- Integration of smart sensors for automated water level monitoring
- Use of advanced geosynthetic materials
- Application in large-scale infrastructure projects such as metro tunnels and underground parking systems

REFERENCES

[1] Terzaghi, K. (filter design principles). Discussion of filter design and weighted filter rules. (classic/collected works). ResearchGate
[2] Filter rules for soil and geosynthetics. (Filter design guidance/technical note). Semantic Scholar / technical review. Semantic Scholar
[3] “Design of Subsurface Drainage Systems for Control of Groundwater,” Transportation Research Record / TRB (1979). (Analytical methods for subsurface drains). TRB Online Publications
[4] Caltrans, Chapter 840 — Subsurface Drainage (design guidance and installation details). Caltrans
[5] Christopher, B. R. & Holtz, R. D., “Geotextile clogging?” (review on clogging potential and mitigation). Geosynthetics Society Proceedings. IGS Digital Library
[6] Design and performance of materials for subsurface drainage (ScienceDirect / Agric. Water Manage. and related studies on perforation, envelope efficiency). ScienceDirect
[7] IS 16393:2015 — Geosynthetics — Geotextiles Used in Subsurface Drainage Application — Specification. Bureau of Indian Standards. Internet Archive
[8] IRC-SP-59 (2019) Geosynthetics guidance for subsurface drainage (relevant clauses on geotextile properties). CivilApps
[9] Purdue / highway drains research — “Filter Performance and Design for Highway Drains” (lab tests on geotextile compatibility). Purdue e-Pubs
[10] “Salt Drainage Efficiency and Anti-Clogging Effects of Subsurface Drain Systems,” Water (MDPI), 2024 — experimental/field evidence on anti-clogging measures for subsurface drains. MDPI