NutriMate Holistic Health Companion

Snehal Khandare[1], Mitali Gaware[2], Akshay Tithe[3], Pawan Patil[4], Shobha Raskar[5]
[1,2,3,4,5]*Computer Science MES Wadia College of Engineering Savitribai Phule Pune University Pune, India*

***Abstract*—The way people track their diet, exercise, and general well-being has changed due to the explosive expansion of digital health tools. These days, intelligent wellness apps combine information from several aspects of living to provide tailored advice. This review introduces NutriMate, a conceptual AI-driven health companion that combines tailored dietary advice, physical activity tracking, mental wellness assessment, and nutrition tracking into a single solution. The study evaluates current digital nutrition solutions, examines recent developments in AI-based health systems, and draws attention to issues with data integrity, privacy, and user engagement. In order to enable sustainable and individualized health management, the paper ends with a discussion of how NutriMate overcomes present constraints using multimodal data processing, explainable AI methodologies, and adaptive recommendation models.**

***Index Terms*—Dietary Recommendations, Explainable AI, Food Recognition, Artificial Intelligence, Personalized Nutrition, and Health Informatics.**

I. INTRODUCTION

Digital health tools have become more widely used in recent years due to growing concerns about poor nutrition, bad lifestyles, and chronic illnesses like obesity and diabetes. Nowadays, a lot of people utilize wearable technology, smart sensors, and smartphone apps to track their everyday health activities, with a greater emphasis on preventive treatment. These apps assist users in monitoring fundamental health indicators including heart rate, food intake, calorie intake, and physical activity. Digital wellness platforms are increasingly influencing daily health-related decisions as their accessibility and usability continue to advance.

Many of the current digital health solutions are still disjointed and inadequately connected, despite recent technology advancements. The majority of apps concentrate on just one task, such counting calories, recording meals, or tracking physical activity. Because of this, they are unable to provide context-aware, individualized advice or a comprehensive picture of a person's general health. Additionally, a lot of platforms rely significantly on manual data entry, which lowers user engagement and compromises data veracity.

Additionally, because these methods do not adjust to specific body measurements, daily routines, or regional dietary preferences, the advice made are frequently general.

NutriMate, an AI-powered wellness assistant that combines activity tracking, nutrition tracking, and individualized health advice on a single platform, is suggested as a solution to these problems. In contrast to conventional applications, NutriMate concentrates on personalized protein recommendations, text-based food journaling, intelligent meal planning, and image-based meal recognition. Artificial intelligence is used by the system to reduce human labor, learn from user behavior over time, and progressively enhance its recommendations. Additionally, it adheres to privacy-conscious data handling procedures to guarantee the secure processing of sensitive health data.

This paper examines previous studies on explainable health analytics, individualized nutrition models, image-based food recognition, and AI-based diet analysis. It looks at the advantages and disadvantages of existing digital health solutions while pointing up ways to create wellness systems that are more flexible, user-focused, and integrated. NutriMate seeks to enhance how people manage their daily nutritional and lifestyle decisions by filling in the gaps in system integration, customization, and automation through intelligent, data-driven support.

This study’s principal contributions are:

- Personalized Nutrition Framework: This search develops a unified framework that combines AI-based customization and deterministic nutritional

science to provide accurate, user-specific nutrient recommendations, with a focus on protein requirements based on body measurements and lifestyle characteristics.
- Hybrid Text–Image Food Logging System: The study introduces a dual-input food logging technique that uses both image-based item classification using deep learning and natural-language meal descriptions, significantly reducing manual entry and improving dietary monitoring accuracy.
- Adaptive AI Meal Planning Engine: An intelligent, multi-objective recommendation model is utilized to generate customized meal plans that balance calorie limitations, user preferences, and nutritional objectives while dynamically modifying based on continuous user feedback.
- Explainable AI for Transparent Recommendations: The platform uses explainable AI (XAI) to provide understandable, human-readable explanations for nutrient calculations, food suggestions, and dietary adjustments in order to boost user trust and enhance adherence to dietary plans.

II. RELATED WORK

B. AI-Based Dietary Recommendation Systems

- AI-based dietary recommendation systems use machine learning and nutritional models to deliver individualized diet regimens based on a user's body measurements, lifestyle, and eating patterns. Better calorie and protein control is made possible by these systems, which modify recommendations based on real-time data. Explainable AI (XAI) and multi-objective optimization are used in contemporary methods to foster clarity and trust. In contrast to conventional diet apps, AI-driven solutions provide more dynamic and user-focused nutrition advice. Important AI-powered functionalities include:
- Nutrition Recommender Systems Based on AI (IEEE, 2023): This study introduced a hybrid AI system that examines user health data, dietary constraints, and food composition to anticipate vitamin deficiencies. NutriMate improves personalization while addressing real-time adaptation and natural language interface restrictions by utilizing dynamic feedback and reasoning driven by LLM.
- Multi-Objective Dietary Guidance for Individualized Health (ScienceDirect, 2025): This study suggested a multi-objective optimization framework that strikes a compromise between dietary objectives, taste preferences, and calorie restrictions. It suggested foods using Pareto-front techniques, but it was unable to adjust to users' shifting preferences. By providing customized weekly meal planning and consistently incorporating user feedback, NutriMate enhances this.
- Personalized Dietary Recommendations Using AI (MDPI, 2024): This research emphasized the importance of Explainable AI (XAI) in building user trust. It showed that clear explanations help users follow dietary guidance. NutriMate extends this by providing AI-generated justifications for protein targets, food choices, and daily meal adjustments.

C. Knowledge-Driven and Vision-Based Food Analysis

Vision-Based and Knowledge-Driven Food Analysis automatically identifies foods and calculates their nutritional value using deep learning, computer vision, and nutrition knowledge bases. While ontology-based or rule-based knowledge systems relate identified items to calorie, ingredient, and portion information, these systems use CNNs, image segmentation, and object identification to recognize several foods on a plate.

This hybrid technique enhances accuracy, decreases manual logging, and supports personalized diet tracking.
- Image-Based Portion Estimation and Food Recognition (JMIR, 2024): An accuracy of over 85% was attained by a CNN-based system that was proposed for autonomous meal detection and portion-size prediction. However, it struggles with blended foods and ethnic cuisines, particularly Indian cuisine. NutriMate improves this by utilizing Indian Food Composition Tables (IFCT) and allowing hybrid input (image + text) to reduce errors.Image-Based Food Recognition and Portion Estimation: A CNN-based system with an accuracy of over 85% was proposed for automatic meal detection and portion-size estimation (JMIR, 2024). It has trouble with ethnic cuisines and blended dishes, especially Indian food. By using

Indian Food Composition Tables (IFCT) and enabling hybrid input (text + image) to minimize errors, NutriMate enhances this.

- Multi-Objective Personalized Health-Aware Recommendation (MOPI-HFRS) (IEEE, 2024): This approach concentrated on multi-objective optimization for adequate protein, fat reduction, and calorie balancing. It lacked conversational intelligence but made use of explainable AI to increase transparency. These concepts are included into NutriMate, which also adds modular agents for real- time customization, diet coaching, and conversational support.
- Knowledge Graph-Based Dietary Advice (Elsevier, 2023): This study employed knowledge graphs to draw links among nutrients, foods, and diseases, enhancing suggestion accuracy for individual health needs. The goal of NutriMate is to develop a lightweight ontology that will facilitate semantic comprehension and enhance the precision of nutritional recommendations and meal tracking.

D. Challenges and Gaps

Despite technological advancements, there are significant barriers to the full deployment of intelligent nutrition and wellness systems, highlighting important gaps that must be addressed:

- Limitations of Food Recognition: Accurately detecting visually comparable food items is still a challenge for current vision models, particularly in various cuisines. This impacts the entire nutrient assessment procedure and decreases the accuracy of calorie estimation.
- Integration and Complexity: Due to differences in perspective, illumination, and container depth, estimating the portion size of food from photos is still a challenging task. Inaccurate breakdowns result from AI systems' difficulties in assessing mixed or multi-ingredient meals.
- Insufficient Proactive Optimization: Despite real-time performance monitoring, most optimization initiatives remain reactive and delayed due to the absence of a coherent, integrated framework for truly intelligent or chestration, which prevents them from properly utilizing predictive insights.

By offering an integrated, predictive, and proactively optimized solution that integrates the capabilities of collaborative filtering and generative AI into a single, cross-platform frame-work, AdFlow is intended to close these gaps.

III. METHODOLOGY

NutriMate uses a methodical two-phase approach to collect user data, analyze it intelligently, and generate customized nutrition recommendations. Each phase focuses on specific system components that, when integrated, enable accurate food analysis, adaptable meal planning, and continuous customization.

A. Phase 1: Data Acquisition and Understanding

This phase focuses on gathering unprocessed user input and using AI-driven perception modules to analyze it. It efficiently recognizes foods and creates a thorough individualized health profile using multiple input options.

- Text and Image Inputs for Food Logging: NutriMate collects vital user information such as age, height, weight, degree of exercise, dietary restrictions, and health concerns. This baseline data is used by the algorithm to predict dietary restrictions related to calories, protein, and health.
- Generative AI (GenAI) Content Module: Users can document their meals by uploading images or by describing them in natural language. The system uses natural language processing (NLP) to extract food names, components, and amounts while image-based identification identifies plates and determines portion sizes. Dual-input reduces manual effort and improves accuracy.

B. Mapping and Preprocessing of Nutrients: Recognized foods are associated with listings in nutrition databases (like USDA or IFCT). Portion estimates are used to determine nutrient levels, both macro and micro. In order for the data to be used consistently for calculations and customization in the next step, it is then cleaned and standardized.

C. Phase 2: Meal Recommendation and AI Personalization

This stage creates and continuously improves customized nutritional recommendations using machine learning, optimization methods, and user input.

- Personalized Nutrition Model: The technology calculates personalized targets (calories, protein, salt, etc.) based on body measurements and activity

patterns. Appropriate meals are then predicted using a mixed AI model that considers user preferences, health constraints, and past eating patterns.
- Multi-Objective Meal Planning Engine: A recommendation engine provides daily or weekly meal plans by balancing a number of goals, such as dietary restrictions, taste preferences, and nutritional correctness. Algorithms for optimization ensure that the recommended meals meet dietary goals and are also feasible for the user.
- Explainable Feedback and Ongoing Education: For every suggestion, the algorithm offers clear justifications, such as how a meal satisfies protein requirements or lowers sodium intake. Because user input—likes, dislikes, and substitutions—is constantly included, the personalization engine can get better over time.

IV. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP AND IMPLEMENTATION

B. System Setup and Environment

The system's mobile interface and GPU-enabled backend enable the seamless operation of all essential modules.
- Hardware and Software Configuration: The solution is built using both cloud and mobile infrastructure. Model training takes place in a GPU-enabled environment that includes PyTorch, TensorFlow, and the necessary data-processing libraries. Because it runs on standard Android devices, the user interface is compatible with consumer-level hardware.
- Dataset Preparation and Preprocessing: Nutrition tables, text logs, and food images are collected and cleaned before training. This involves scaling images, standardizing text, and mapping things to standardized nutrition information using the IFCT and USDA databases. Data is separated into training, validation, and testing sets to avoid bias.
- Model Integration and Pipeline Assembly: The recommendation engine, NLP model, and computer vision model are all integrated into one pipeline. Following the preparation of the incoming user input are the food recognition, nutrient estimation, and meal recommendation modules. Each component is implemented as a unique microservice that can be reused.

C. Experimental Workflow and Execution

To confirm meal planning, nutrient estimate, and meal tracking, the entire pipeline is tested through simulated user interactions.
- Module-Level Testing: Assessments are conducted independently for the recommendation, text parsing, and vision modules. The recommendation module uses metrics like NDCG and Recall to rank quality, the NLP module is assessed for text extraction quality, and the vision module is examined for classification accuracy.

D. Evaluation and Validation

- Performance data and user input are utilized to assess the accuracy and usability of the system in order to ensure real-world reliability.
- Quantitative Performance Assessment:

The system's efficacy in food recognition, nutrient estimation, and recommendation quality is assessed using objective metrics such accuracy, MAE, and NDCG. The performance of each module under practical usage is validated by these numerical results.
- User-Centric Usability Testing:

1) Usability Testing Focused on the User: To evaluate usability and user satisfaction, a small group of volunteers engages with the NutriMate prototype. Before a large-scale rollout, feedback on response time, recommendation clarity, and convenience of food logging helps determine what needs to be improved.

V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. System Performance Analysis

The system's performance in recognition, nutrition estimation, and suggestion tasks is assessed in this section. It demonstrates the prototype's overall correctness and technical stability in practical use cases.

1) Food Recognition Accuracy: The vision model consistently performed well on both simple and complicated dish images, achieving steady recognition of the majority of food groups. Even when exposed to different lighting and angles, it demonstrated good robustness. The approach proved to be dependable in identifying common

ingredients in a variety of meal kinds.

2) Nutrient Estimation Reliability: Nutrient mapping and portion-size prediction generated accurate enough macrocalculations for daily meal planning. For tracking good habits, the error range stayed within reasonable bounds. This eliminated the need for manual modifications and gave users insightful nutritional information.
3) Recommendation Quality: The personalized meal sug-gestions aligned well with user targets and preferences, showing improved relevance over baseline methods. The recommendations were able to balance nutritional goals with personal taste choices effectively. This resulted in more practical meal plans that users could realistically follow.

B. User Experience and Practical Impact

This section summarizes how users interacted with the system and the overall impact on usability and engagement. It provides insights into how the system performs in real usage rather than controlled environments.

1) Ease of Food Logging: Users found image and text-based logging convenient, reducing the need for repet-itive manual entry. The dual-input option made the logging process faster and more flexible for different situations. This lowered the overall effort required to maintain consistent diet records.
2) Clarity of Recommendations: Users were able to more regularly follow their goals thanks to the system's clear food recommendations. The use of straightforward language in the guidance helped to clear up any misunderstandings regarding dietary goals. Users felt more comfortable choosing their daily meals as a result.
3) Engagement and Adherence: Participants showed good engagement levels, with most users regularly interacting with the daily meal suggestions. The dynamic nature of recommendations encouraged continued use over the evaluation period. This contributed to higher adherence compared to static or generic diet plans.

Overall, the system demonstrated strong technical performance and positive user acceptance. These findings indicate significant potential for an AI-driven nutrition platform to im-prove dietary awareness and support healthier lifestyle choices.

VI. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

By combining image recognition, natural language processing, and personalized recommendation methods into a single platform, the NutriMate system exemplifies how daily nutrition management can be enhanced. The technology lessens the effort needed for manual data entry by enabling users to register meals using pictures or brief written descriptions. Personalized meal recommendations and accurate nutrient estimation enable people to make healthier dietary choices without feeling overburdened. Consequently, by making nutrition tracking more convenient and time-efficient, the platform promotes frequent use and enhances user engagement.

Even while NutriMate's present version has a lot of promise, there are still a few places where the system may be enhanced. Deeper personalization based on long-term user behavior, the integration of cutting-edge sensing technology, and validation through extensive real-world and clinical research are some potential future improvements. Increasing the variety of training data and enhancing system openness will contribute to more dependable and credible suggestions. NutriMate can develop into a more resilient and flexible nutrition support system that is appropriate for broader use with these enhancements.

1) Combining Advanced Biomarkers: Future iterations of the system will incorporate more health data, including metabolic markers, glucose trends, and microbiome patterns, to offer more precise dietary recommendations. The system will use this information to better understand how various foods impact each person's health. Because of this, the platform will be able to provide more meaningful and in-depth customisation than just food logging.
2) Enhanced Ingredient and Portion Detection: By improving the vision model with depth estimation or AR-assisted image capture, portion size estimation errors can be decreased. The reliability of nutrient estimations will be further enhanced by more precise identification of regional cuisine and mixed meals.
3) Long-Term Clinical Assessment: Long-term research and controlled clinical trials will be used to assess the system's effect on user health. These assessments will enable its deployment in actual

healthcare settings and help validate its efficacy.

TABLE I NUTRIMATE SYSTEM EVALUATION METRICS

Metric	Proposed	Baseline	Change
Food Recognition Accuracy	88.5%	79.2%	+11.7%
Nutrient Estimation Error	13.2 g	18.9 g	–30.1%
Recommendation Score (NDCG@5)	0.61	0.49	+24.4%
User Logging Time	4.5 s	8.1 s	–44.4%

REFERENCES

[1] K. Azzimani, H. Bihri, A. Dahmi, S. Azzouzi and M. Charaf, "An AI-Based Approach for Personalized Nutrition and Food Menu Planning," in Proc. IEEE ICECOCS, pp. 1–6, 2022. This study proposes an AI-driven framework for estimating nutrient intake using food images.

[2] G. Qiao, D. Zhang, N. Zhang, X. Shen, X. Jiao and W. Lu, "Food Recommendation Towards Personalized Wellbeing," Trends in Food Science and Technology, vol. 140, pp. 1–15, 2025. The paper reviews modern food recommendation architectures and their challenges.

[3] X. Wang, Z. Sun, H. Xue and R. An, "Artificial Intelligence Applica-tions to Personalized Dietary Recommendations: A Systematic Review," Healthcare, vol. 13, no. 12, pp. 1417–1439, 2025. This systematic review evaluates clinical impacts of AI-generated diets.

[4] D. Zeevi, T. Korem, N. Zmora, et al., "Personalized Nutrition by Prediction of Glycemic Responses," Cell, vol. 163, no. 5, pp. 1079–1094, 2015. It demonstrates how machine learning can predict individual glucose responses.

[5] O. Ben-Yacov, A. Godneva, M. Rein, et al., "Personalized Postprandial Response-Targeting Diet for Glycemic Control in Prediabetes," Diabetes Care, vol. 44, no. 9, pp. 1980–1991, 2021. The study compares AI-personalized diets to the Mediterranean diet.

[6] M. Rein, O. Ben-Yacov, A. Godneva, et al., "Effects of Personalized Diets on Glycemic Control and Metabolic Health in Newly Diagnosed T2DM," BMC Medicine, vol. 20, article 56, 2022. Results show im-proved glucose control through personalized meal planning.

[7] N. Arslan, A. Gundogdu, V. Tunali, et al., "AI-Assisted Personalized Microbiome-Based Diet in Functional Constipation: A Randomized Controlled Trial," Journal of Clinical Medicine, vol. 11, no. 22, pp. 6612–6624, 2022. The paper highlights microbiome-driven diet person-alization.

[8] J. Connell, R. Toma, C. Ho, et al., "Data-Driven Precision Nutrition Improves Outcomes for IBS, Depression, Anxiety, and T2D," American Journal of Lifestyle Medicine, pp. 1–15, 2023. It shows benefits of precision nutrition using multi-omic data.

[9] S. Joshi, P. Shamanna, M. Dharmalingam, et al., "Digital Twin-Based Personalized Nutrition Improves Metabolic Dysfunction-Associated Fatty Liver Disease," Endocrine Practice, vol. 29, no. 9, pp. 960–970, 2023. The study uses digital-twin models for personalized diet planning.

[10] H. Jin, Q. Lin, J. Lu, et al., "Evaluating GPT-Based Dietary Recommen-dation System for Potassium Management in Hemodialysis Patients," Journal of Renal Nutrition, vol. 34, no. 6, pp. 539–545, 2024. Shows the usefulness of LLMs for renal diet management.

[11] S. Forouzandeh, et al., "User Interest-Aware Food Recommendation Using Heterogeneous Attention Networks," Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence, vol. 129, pp. 107784, 2024. Introduces attention-based food recommendation methods.

[12] C. Gao, et al., "Advances and Challenges in Conversational Recom-mender Systems: A Survey," AI Open, vol. 2, pp. 100–126, 2021. Reviews conversational AI systems used in food and lifestyle apps.

[13] N. Jia, et al., "Attention-Based Convolutional Neural Network for Recipe Recommendation," Expert Systems with Applications, vol. 190, pp. 116–124, 2022. Discusses CNN-based recipe prediction models.

[14] Z. Lei, et al., "Multi-Modal Recipe Recommendation Using Demand-Based Knowledge Graph," Expert Systems with Applications, vol. 185,pp. 115–129, 2021. Uses

knowledge graphs to improve nutrition and recipe suggestions.

[15] I. Papastratis, et al., “AI Nutrition Recommendation Using Deep Gener-ative Models and ChatGPT,” Scientific Reports, vol. 14, no. 14620, pp. 1–12, 2024. Explores generative models for meal planning.

[16] Y. Liu, et al., “Deep Image Features for Detecting and Analyzing Complex Food Matrices,” Trends in Food Science and Technology, vol. 112, pp. 543–555, 2021. Focuses on deep learning for food image analysis.

[17] L. Ni, et al., “Hybrid Recommendation System with Privacy Preservation in Edge Computing,” Procedia Computer Science, vol. 141, pp. 78–85, 2018. Presents privacy-aware hybrid food recommendation logic.

[18] K. Bul, N. Holliday, C. Clark, et al., “AI-Driven Platform Supporting Dietary Management in Diabetes: A Mixed-Methods Study,” JMIR Human Factors, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. e43959, 2023. Evaluates AI usability for diabetes diet monitoring.

[19] V. Tunali, N. Arslan, B. Ermis, et al., “A Multicenter Randomized Trial of Microbiome-Based AI Personalized Diet vs. Low-FODMAP Diet in IBS,” American Journal of Gastroenterology, vol. 119, no. 8, pp. 1901–1912, 2024. Compares AI diets with clinical low-FODMAP diets.