© July 2026 | IJIRT | Volume 13 Issue 2 | ISSN: 2349-6002

Institutional Finance and Modernization of Agricultural Development in Karnataka: A Case Study of Chamarajanagar District

Virupakshaswamy G[1], Dr. J.L. Banashankari[2]
[1]*Research Scholar in Economics, DOS in Economics, KSOU, Mukthagangotri, Mysuru-570006*
[2]*Research Guide, DOS in Economics, KSOU, Mukthagangotri, Mysuru-570006*

***Abstract*—Institutional finance plays a pivotal role in accelerating agricultural modernization by providing farmers with timely and affordable access to formal credit for productive investments. The present study examines the role of institutional finance in the modernization of agricultural development in Chamarajanagar District, Karnataka. The study is based on primary data collected from 100 farmers, comprising marginal, small, medium, and large landholders, using a structured questionnaire and a five-point Likert scale. A descriptive and analytical research design was adopted, and the data were analyzed using frequency, percentage, and the Chi-square test. The findings reveal that a majority of the respondents perceived institutional finance as a significant factor in promoting farm mechanization, the adoption of improved seeds and fertilizers, post-harvest infrastructure, sustainable agricultural development, and increased agricultural productivity. The Chi-square test results ($\chi^2 = 22.083$, $p = 0.037$) indicate a statistically significant positive relationship between institutional finance and agricultural productivity, leading to the rejection of the null hypothesis. The study concludes that institutional finance has substantially contributed to the modernization of agriculture in Chamarajanagar District by enhancing technological adoption, reducing dependence on informal credit sources, and improving farm productivity. The study recommends strengthening institutional credit delivery, simplifying loan procedures, expanding financial inclusion, and improving farmers' awareness to promote sustainable agricultural development.**

***Index Terms*—Institutional Finance, Agricultural Modernization, Agricultural Productivity, Credit Accessibility, Sustainable Rural Development**

I. INTRODUCTION

Agriculture is one of the most important sectors of Karnataka's economy, providing livelihoods to nearly half of the state's rural population and contributing significantly to food security, employment, and rural development. Although agriculture's share of the state's Gross State Domestic Product (GSDP) has declined due to rapid industrialization and growth in the service sector, the sector continues to play a crucial role in sustaining rural livelihoods. According to the Karnataka Economic Survey, the agriculture and allied sector contributed about 15.08% of the state's GSDP in 2022–23, while the net cultivated area was 114.53 lakh hectares, accounting for around 60.12% of the total geographical area. Karnataka is one of India's leading producers of paddy, ragi, maize, sugarcane, coffee, arecanut, grapes, and horticultural crops. However, the sector continues to face challenges such as fragmented landholdings, climate variability, inadequate irrigation, low mechanization, and limited access to modern agricultural technologies, underscoring the urgent need for agricultural modernization.

Institutional finance has become a key driver of agricultural modernization by providing timely, affordable credit to farmers for productive investments. Unlike informal credit sources, institutional finance is delivered through commercial banks, cooperative banks, regional rural banks (RRBs), and the National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development (NABARD). These institutions help farmers purchase tractors, harvesters, irrigation equipment, quality seeds, fertilizers, farm machinery, and post-harvest infrastructure. Institutional credit also enables diversification into horticulture, dairy, poultry, fisheries, and allied agricultural activities, thereby improving farmers' income and reducing dependence on non-institutional lenders. Government initiatives such as the Kisan Credit Card (KCC), Agriculture Infrastructure Fund (AIF), Interest Subvention Scheme, and Pradhan Mantri Fasal Bima Yojana (PMFBY) have further strengthened the institutional credit delivery system and enhanced financial inclusion in rural Karnataka.

The growing importance of institutional finance is evident in Karnataka's expanding agricultural credit potential. NABARD projected Karnataka's Priority Sector Credit Potential at ₹3.97 lakh crore for 2024–25, a 10.67% increase over the previous year. Of this amount, approximately ₹1.85 lakh crore (46%) was earmarked for agriculture, agri-infrastructure, and allied activities, underscoring the strategic role of institutional finance in promoting sustainable agricultural growth. Beyond financing crop production, institutional credit supports investment in irrigation projects, watershed development, farm mechanization, rural infrastructure, and climate-resilient agriculture. These investments are essential for increasing agricultural productivity, improving resource-use efficiency, generating rural employment, and enhancing farmers' resilience to climate-related risks.

Despite considerable progress in expanding institutional finance, several challenges continue to hinder the modernization of agriculture in Karnataka. Small and marginal farmers often experience difficulties in accessing formal credit due to inadequate collateral, procedural complexities, delayed loan disbursement, low financial literacy, and regional disparities in banking services. Tenant farmers and sharecroppers also face limited access to institutional loans because of the absence of formal land ownership records. Addressing these constraints requires strengthening rural financial institutions, simplifying credit procedures, promoting digital financial services, and expanding financial literacy among farmers. Therefore, examining the relationship between institutional finance and agricultural modernization is essential for understanding how credit availability influences technological adoption, productivity enhancement, and sustainable agricultural development in Karnataka, thereby providing valuable insights for policymakers, financial institutions, and researchers.

II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Narasimham Committee (1991) emphasized the importance of strengthening institutional credit systems to improve agricultural productivity and rural development in India. The Committee recommended expanding the role of commercial banks, cooperative banks, and Regional Rural Banks in providing timely and affordable agricultural credit. It highlighted that adequate institutional finance enables farmers to adopt modern technologies, irrigation facilities, mechanization, and improved agricultural inputs, thereby increasing agricultural productivity and reducing dependence on informal sources of finance.

NABARD's Annual Report (2023–24) highlighted that institutional finance has significantly contributed to agricultural modernization through investments in farm mechanization, irrigation infrastructure, Farmer Producer Organizations (FPOs), watershed development, and climate-resilient agriculture. The report noted that agricultural credit has expanded steadily across Karnataka, supporting crop diversification, horticulture, dairy, fisheries, and allied agricultural activities. NABARD also emphasized that strengthening rural financial institutions remains essential for achieving sustainable agricultural growth and improving farmers' income.

Reserve Bank of India's (2024) Report on Trend and Progress of Banking in India (2023–24) observed that institutional agricultural credit has increased substantially due to priority sector lending policies and government initiatives such as the Kisan Credit Card Scheme. The report found that timely access to institutional finance has encouraged farmers to adopt improved seeds, farm machinery, micro-irrigation, and digital agricultural technologies. However, it also identified challenges such as regional disparities in credit distribution, procedural delays, and limited access to credit for tenants and marginal farmers.

III. RESEARCH GAP

The literature review indicates that institutional finance has played a significant role in promoting agricultural modernization by improving access to credit, supporting farm mechanization and irrigation development, and encouraging the adoption of improved technologies and diversification into allied agricultural activities. Studies by the Narasimham Committee (1991), NABARD (2023–24), and the Reserve Bank of India (2024) primarily focus on the growth of institutional credit, policy initiatives, and the overall performance of the agricultural credit system at the national and state levels. However, limited empirical research has examined the direct relationship between institutional finance and agricultural modernization in Karnataka, particularly at the district level. Existing studies provide insufficient evidence on how institutional finance influences farmers' adoption of modern technologies, productivity, income, and sustainable agricultural practices across different categories of farmers, especially small and marginal farmers. Furthermore, primary data-based studies assessing farmers' perceptions regarding the accessibility, adequacy, and effectiveness of institutional finance in supporting agricultural modernization are lacking. Therefore, the present study seeks to bridge this gap by examining the role of institutional finance in modernizing agricultural development in Karnataka through an empirical analysis of farmers' responses, thereby providing policy-relevant insights to improve agricultural credit delivery and promote sustainable rural development.

Objectives Of the Study

1. To examine the accessibility and utilization of institutional finance among marginal, small, medium, and large farmers in Chamarajanagar District.
2. To assess the role of institutional finance in the modernization of agriculture in technologies and modern farming practices in Chamarajanagar District.
3. To evaluate the impact of institutional finance on agricultural productivity and sustainable agricultural development in Chamarajanagar District.

Hypothesis Testing

(H_0) There is no significant impact of institutional finance on agricultural productivity among farmers in Chamarajanagar District.

(H_1) Institutional finance has a significant positive impact on agricultural productivity among farmers in Chamarajanagar District.

IV. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The present study adopts a descriptive and analytical research design to examine the role of institutional finance in modernizing agricultural development in Chamarajanagar District, Karnataka. The study is based on primary. Primary data were collected through a well-structured questionnaire using a five-point Likert scale. A total of 100 farmers were selected through stratified random sampling, with respondents categorized as marginal, medium, and large farmers to ensure adequate representation of different landholding groups in the district. The collected data were analyzed using descriptive statistics, such as frequency and percentage, and statistical tools, including the Chi-square test. The findings are presented in tables and interpreted to evaluate the accessibility, utilization, and impact of institutional finance on the adoption of modern agricultural practices and the overall agricultural development of Chamarajanagar District.

V. DATA INTERPRETATION

The data presented in Table 1 indicate that farmers across different landholding categories generally perceive institutional finance as a significant driver of agricultural modernization in Chamarajanagar District. A majority of the respondents (76%) either agreed or strongly agreed that institutional finance is essential for the modernization of agriculture, with marginal farmers constituting the largest proportion of positive responses. Similarly, 67% of the respondents agreed that institutional finance has reduced their dependence on private moneylenders, demonstrating the growing importance of formal credit institutions in rural areas. Regarding the purchase of modern agricultural machinery, 59% of the farmers expressed positive opinions, suggesting that institutional credit has facilitated investments in mechanization, although a notable proportion (22%) remained dissatisfied, possibly due to inadequate loan amounts or limited access to credit. Furthermore, 70% of the respondents agreed that institutional credit has encouraged the adoption of improved seeds and fertilizers, highlighting its contribution to enhancing farm productivity and technological adoption. Overall, the findings reveal that institutional finance has positively influenced agricultural modernization irrespective of farm size, with marginal and small farmers representing the majority of beneficiaries. However, the presence of neutral and negative responses indicates the need for improving the accessibility, adequacy, and timely delivery of institutional credit to ensure inclusive and sustainable agricultural development in Chamarajanagar District.

Table-1: Landholding-wise Opinion of Farmers on the Role of Institutional Finance in Agricultural Modernization in Chamarajanagar District

		Land holdings				Total
		Marginal	Small	Medium	Large	
Institutional finance is essential for the modernization of agriculture.	Strongly Agree	10	11	1	3	25
	Agree	33	6	9	3	51
	Neutral	17	0	4	0	21
	Disagree	1	1	0	1	3
Total		61	18	14	7	100
		Land holdings				Total
		Marginal	Small	Medium	Large	
Institutional finance has reduced farmers' dependence on private moneylenders.	Strongly Agree	22	13	5	5	45
	Agree	17	2	3	0	22
	Neutral	16	2	4	1	23
	Disagree	5	1	2	1	9
	Strongly Disagree	1	0	0	0	1
Total		61	18	14	7	100
		Land holdings				Total
		Marginal	Small	Medium	Large	
Institutional finance has helped farmers purchase modern agricultural machinery	Strongly Agree	23	12	4	2	41
	Agree	8	2	5	3	18
	Neutral	13	2	3	1	19
	Disagree	14	2	2	1	19
	Strongly Disagree	3	0	0	0	3
Total		61	18	14	7	100
		Land holdings				Total
		Marginal	Small	Medium	Large	
Institutional credit has encouraged the adoption of improved seeds and fertilizers	Strongly Agree	21	12	6	5	44
	Agree	18	4	3	1	26
	Neutral	13	2	2	1	18
	Disagree	9	0	3	0	12
Total		61	18	14	7	100

Source: Primary Data

Table 2: Landholding-wise Opinion of Farmers on the Impact of Institutional Finance on Agricultural Productivity and Modernization in Chamarajanagar District

		Land holdings				Total
		Marginal	Small	Medium	Large	
Institutional finance has increased agricultural productivity.	Strongly Agree	42	9	3	2	56
	Agree	7	3	5	3	18

	Neutral	4	3	2	2	11
	Disagree	5	2	4	0	11
	Strongly Disagree	3	1	0	0	4
Total		61	18	14	7	100
		Land holdings				Total
		Marginal	Small	Medium	Large	
Institutional finance has supported post-harvest infrastructure such as storage and warehouses.	Strongly Agree	47	12	9	4	72
	Agree	3	2	1	1	7
	Neutral	3	2	1	1	7
	Disagree	6	0	3	1	10
	Strongly Disagree	2	2	0	0	4
Total		61	18	14	7	100
		Land holdings				Total
		Marginal	Small	Medium	Large	
Institutional finance has contributed to sustainable agricultural development.	Strongly Agree	46	11	10	6	73
	Agree	3	4	2	1	10
	Neutral	5	0	1	0	6
	Disagree	5	2	1	0	8
	Strongly Disagree	2	1	0	0	3
Total		61	18	14	7	100
		Land holdings				Total
		Marginal	Small	Medium	Large	
Overall, institutional finance has significantly modernized agriculture	Strongly Agree	35	11	7	5	58
	Agree	8	1	2	0	11
	Neutral	7	3	4	2	16
	Disagree	8	2	1	0	11
	Strongly Disagree	3	1	0	0	4
Total		61	18	14	7	100

Source: Primary Data

The data presented in Table 2 reveal that institutional finance has made a substantial contribution to agricultural productivity and modernization across different categories of farmers in Chamarajanagar District. A majority of the respondents (74%) either strongly agreed or agreed that institutional finance has increased agricultural productivity, indicating that access to formal credit has enabled farmers to invest in improved technologies, quality inputs, and better farm management practices. An even larger proportion (79%) of the respondents acknowledged that institutional finance has supported post-harvest infrastructure such as storage and warehouses, reflecting its role in minimizing post-harvest losses and improving marketing opportunities. Similarly, 83% of the respondents expressed positive opinions that institutional finance has contributed to sustainable agricultural development by promoting efficient resource utilization, mechanization, and climate-resilient farming practices. Furthermore, 69% of the respondents agreed that institutional finance has significantly modernized agriculture through increased adoption of advanced farming technologies, improved irrigation facilities, and mechanized cultivation. Marginal farmers constituted the largest share of positive responses in all four statements, suggesting that institutional credit has been particularly beneficial to small landholders. However, the presence of neutral and negative responses indicates that some farmers continue to face challenges such as inadequate credit availability, procedural delays, and limited financial support.

Overall, the findings demonstrate that institutional finance has played a vital role in enhancing agricultural productivity, strengthening rural infrastructure, and accelerating the modernization of agriculture in Chamarajanagar District.

Testing of the Hypothesis

(H_0) There is no significant impact of institutional finance on agricultural productivity among farmers in Chamarajanagar District.

(H_1) Institutional finance has a significant positive impact on agricultural productivity among farmers in Chamarajanagar District.

Table-3: Testing of the Hypothesis for Institutional Finance has increased agricultural productivity

Chi-Square Tests			
	Value	df	Asymptotic Significance (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	22.083[a]	12	0.037
Likelihood Ratio	22.002	12	0.037
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.689	1	0.055
N of Valid Cases	100		
a. 14 cells (70.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .28.			

Table 3 presents the Chi-square test results examining the relationship between landholding categories and farmers' opinions on whether institutional finance has increased agricultural productivity in Chamarajanagar District. The Pearson Chi-square value is 22.083 with 12 degrees of freedom, and the corresponding p-value is 0.037, which is less than the 0.05 level of significance. This indicates a statistically significant association between landholding size and respondents' perceptions of the impact of institutional finance on agricultural productivity. Therefore, the null hypothesis (H_0), stating that there is no significant impact of institutional finance on agricultural productivity among farmers, is rejected, while the alternative hypothesis (H_1) is accepted. The findings suggest that institutional finance has significantly enhanced agricultural productivity by enabling farmers to invest in modern agricultural technologies, quality inputs, irrigation facilities, and mechanization. Although the linear-by-linear association is not statistically significant ($p = 0.055$), the overall Chi-square test confirms that institutional finance has a positive and significant impact on agricultural productivity among farmers in Chamarajanagar District.

VI. MAJOR FINDINGS

1. Institutional finance is widely recognized as a key driver of agricultural modernization. About 76% of respondents either agreed or strongly agreed that institutional finance is essential to the modernization of agriculture, underscoring its significant role in facilitating technology adoption and improving farming practices in Chamarajanagar District.
2. Institutional finance has reduced dependence on informal credit sources. Nearly 67% of the farmers agreed that access to institutional finance has decreased their reliance on private moneylenders, thereby improving access to affordable and formal agricultural credit, particularly among marginal and small farmers.
3. Institutional credit has promoted the adoption of modern agricultural inputs and technologies. Around 70% of the respondents reported that institutional finance encouraged the use of improved seeds and fertilizers, while 59% agreed that it enabled the purchase of modern agricultural machinery, contributing to farm mechanization and enhanced production efficiency.
4. Institutional finance has significantly improved agricultural productivity and sustainable development. The study found that 74% of respondents believed institutional finance had increased agricultural productivity, 79% acknowledged its support for post-harvest infrastructure, 83% agreed that it contributed to sustainable agricultural development, and 69% felt it had significantly modernized agriculture in the district.
5. The Chi-square test confirmed a significant positive relationship between institutional finance and agricultural productivity. The Pearson Chi-square value of 22.083 with a p-value of 0.037 ($p < 0.05$) indicates a statistically significant association between landholding categories and

farmers' perceptions of productivity improvements. Therefore, the null hypothesis was rejected, confirming that institutional finance has a significant positive impact on agricultural productivity among farmers in Chamarajanagar District.

VII. CONCLUSION

Institutional finance has emerged as a crucial catalyst for the modernization of agriculture in Chamarajanagar District by providing farmers with timely and affordable credit for farm mechanization, improved agricultural inputs, irrigation, post-harvest infrastructure, and sustainable farming practices. The study reveals that institutional credit has not only reduced farmers' dependence on informal moneylenders but has also enhanced agricultural productivity, promoted technological adoption, and supported overall agricultural development across different landholding categories. The statistical analysis further confirms a significant positive relationship between institutional finance and agricultural productivity, validating the importance of formal financial institutions in rural development. However, the presence of some neutral and negative responses indicates the need to improve credit accessibility, simplify loan procedures, ensure timely disbursement, and strengthen financial literacy among farmers. Strengthening institutional finance through inclusive policies and efficient credit delivery mechanisms will play a vital role in achieving sustainable agricultural growth, increasing farm income, and enhancing the overall socio-economic well-being of farmers in Chamarajanagar District.

REFERENCES

[1] Government of India, *Report of the Committee on the Financial System (Narasimham Committee)*. New Delhi, India: Ministry of Finance, Government of India, 1991.

[2] National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development, *Annual Report 2023–24*. Mumbai, India: NABARD, 2024.

[3] National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development, *Potential Linked Credit Plan (PLP) 2024–25: Karnataka*. Mumbai, India: NABARD, 2024.

[4] Reserve Bank of India, *Report on Trend and Progress of Banking in India 2023–24*. Mumbai, India: Reserve Bank of India, 2024.

[5] Government of Karnataka, *Karnataka Economic Survey 2023–24*. Bengaluru, India: Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Government of Karnataka, 2024.

[6] Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, *Agricultural Statistics at a Glance 2024*. New Delhi, India: Government of India, 2024.

[7] Government of India, *Economic Survey 2023–24*. New Delhi, India: Ministry of Finance, Government of India, 2024.

[8] Reserve Bank of India, *Handbook of Statistics on the Indian Economy 2022–23*. Mumbai, India: Reserve Bank of India, 2023.

[9] National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development, *Status of Microfinance in India 2022–23*. Mumbai, India: NABARD, 2023.

[10] Government of India, *Kisan Credit Card Scheme: Operational Guidelines*. New Delhi, India: Department of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, Government of India, 2023.