Techno-Economic and Material Impacts of Ethanol-Blended Gasoline on the Indian Automobile Fleet

Prof. L.B. Diwakar[1], S.E.S. Polytechnic[2]
[1,2] S.E.S. Polytechnic, Solapur

***Abstract*—India's Accelerated Ethanol Blended Petrol (EBP) roadmap achieved nationwide standard integration of E20 (20% ethanol, 80% gasoline) to reduce crude oil import dependency and mitigate greenhouse gas emissions. However, the legacy fleet introduces technical complexities regarding material compatibility and engine calibration. This paper reviews the performance, emission characteristics, and structural degradation dynamics of ethanol blends on Indian passenger cars and two-wheelers. Drawing data from the Automotive Research Association of India (ARAI), NITI Aayog, and field surveys, we analyze the engineering tradeoffs between increased octane properties and non-metallic material vulnerability.**

***Index Terms*—Ethanol-Blended Petrol (EBP); E20 Fuel; Indian Automotive Fleet; Hansen Solubility Parameters; Material Compatibility; Phase Separation; Stoichiometric Air-Fuel Ratio; Polymeric Degradation; Engine Performance.**

I. INTRODUCTION & POLICY LANDSCAPE

The Indian automotive landscape is undergoing a critical energy transition. Under the pan-India rollout framework, E20 has become the standard retail fuel grade, functioning as a vital component of India's Panchamrit climate commitments targeting net-zero emissions by 2070.

While the primary macro-economic drivers—amounting to billions in foreign exchange savings and significant monetization of agricultural feedstock surpluses (sugarcane molasses, maize, damaged foodgrains)—are established, the micro-level engineering implications on vehicular assets require strict evaluation. The Indian vehicular fleet is uniquely stratified into legacy BS-IV, baseline BS-VI (Phase I), and newly certified E20-material compliant BS-VI (Phase II) vehicles.

II. COMBUSTION DYNAMICS & ENGINE PERFORMANCE

Ethanol behaves distinctly compared to pure commercial gasoline due to its intrinsic molecular composition (C_2H_5OH).

2.1 Octane Rating and Anti-Knock Profiles

Ethanol possesses a high Research Octane Number (RON ≈ 108.5) relative to Indian base gasoline (RON ≈ 84-88). The integration of 20% ethanol elevates the effective octane threshold of standard commercial pump fuel to approximately 95 RON. This higher knock resistance yields distinct operational advantages:

1) Allows optimization of spark timing (ignition advance) without inducing engine knock.
2) Enhances peak cylinder pressure uniformity, culminating in smoother acceleration profiles and reduced mechanical vibrations in modern, high-compression engines.

2.2 Volumetric Fuel Economy and the Calorific Deficit

Conversely, ethanol suffers from an energy density deficit. The lower heating value (LHV) of pure ethanol is roughly 26.8 MJ/kg, compared to gasoline's 44 MJ/kg.

According to consolidated data from the NITI Aayog expert committee and ARAI mileage accumulation trials, this energy deficit scales mathematically into fuel economy drops:

Sr.No.	Vehicle Classification	Calibrated Base Projected	Drop in Volumetric Fuel Economy (E20 vs. E10/E0)
1	Legacy Four-Wheelers	E0 Baseline / E10 Calibrated	5% to 7% decrease
2	Legacy Two-Wheelers	E0 Baseline / E10 Calibrated	3% to 4% decrease
3	Modern Four-Wheelers	E20 Compliant (Post-April 2023)	1% to 2% decrease

Note: In uncalibrated legacy platforms, the engine control unit (ECU) must inject a greater mass of fuel to satisfy stoichiometric air-fuel targets ($\lambda=1$), directly inflating consumer running costs by 2% to 6% under real-world driving conditions.

III. EXHAUST EMISSIONS CHARACTERIZATION

Ethanol acts as an oxygenated fuel additive, introducing approximately 35% oxygen by weight into the fuel matrix. This supplementary oxygen alters internal combustion pathways favorably:

Carbon Monoxide (CO) & Unburnt Hydrocarbons (HC): The localized oxygen surplus promotes comprehensive fuel oxidation within the cylinder. Tailpipe CO and HC concentrations show reduction profiles across both two-wheelers and passenger vehicles.

Oxides of Nitrogen (NOx): The influence on NOx emissions remains highly conditional on vehicle architecture. In legacy engines with fixed open-loop maps, leaning effects can elevate peak combustion temperatures, occasionally increasing raw NOx outflux. Conversely, closed-loop BS-VI compliant platforms mitigate this via dynamic Exhaust Gas Recirculation (EGR) and three-way catalytic downstream management.

Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Footprint: On a lifecycle basis, E20 adoption has yielded a net reduction of approximately 832 lakh metric tonnes of CO_2 emissions across the Indian transport sector.

Chemical & Phase Stability Dynamics

One of the greatest challenges with ethanol blends in the Indian climate—characterized by high relative humidity and spark temperature swings—is fuel phase separation.

3.1 The Mechanism of Co-Solvent Phase Separation

Ethanol is highly hygroscopic (water-attracting). While pure gasoline can dissolve virtually no water, ethanol is completely miscible with it. When E20 fuel is exposed to atmospheric moisture (e.g., during the monsoon season or via fuel tank breathing cycles), the ethanol molecules preferentially bind to water molecules via hydrogen bonding.

Once the water concentration crosses a critical saturation threshold (typically around 0.4% to 0.5% water by volume at 30°C), the fuel reaches its Critical Solution Temperature (CST). At this point, the mixture splits into two distinct, immiscible layers:

Upper Layer: An ethanol-depleted, low-octane gasoline phase.

Lower Layer: A heavy, highly corrosive water-ethanol phase that settles at the bottom of the fuel tank.

Ethanol-Depleted Gasoline <-- Low Octane (Causes severe engine knock)
(Upper Layer)
Water-Ethanol Concentrated <-- Highly Corrosive (Acidic pH ~4.5 - 5.5)
(Lower Layer) <-- Restricts Fuel Pump Intake Mesh

3.2 Consequences of Phase Separation on Fleet Operations

If a vehicle draws fuel from a separated tank, the fuel pump immediately sucks up the lower water-ethanol layer. This triggers:

1) Instantaneous Engine Stalling: Water-ethanol mixtures will not ignite under standard spark-ignition parameters.
2) Corrosive Damage to Fuel Pumps: The lower layer is often acidic, directly attacking the internal armatures and copper windings of submersible electric fuel pumps.
3) Severe Knocking: If the engine manages to pull from the upper layer, the drastic drop in octane

rating (due to the loss of its ethanol content) causes sudden, severe engine knock (pre-ignition), which can destroy pistons under high-load highway driving.

IV. MATERIAL DEGRADATION & COMPONENT DURABILITY

The most controversial facet of the E20 transition centers on material compatibility, specifically regarding older vehicles manufactured prior to the April 2023 mandate.

4.1 Metallic Compatibility and Galvanic Corrosion

Comprehensive immersion evaluations conducted by ARAI across common metals—including structural steel, cast iron, aluminum alloys, and brass copper matrices—indicate that corrosion rates under prolonged E20 contact remain "insignificant" and structurally manageable.

4.2 Non-Metallic Polymer Degradation

Unlike metals, non-metallic polymers undergo significant physical changes due to ethanol's highly polar molecular nature and hygroscopic properties (its tendency to attract water from atmospheric moisture).

Key Discovery (ARAI Durability Framework): Extended immersion tests reveal that E20 induces accelerated volume swelling, plasticizer leaching, and cross-linking disruptions in specific legacy elastomers.

NBR-PVC Blends & Epichlorohydrin: These compounds, widely used in legacy fuel lines, fuel tank filler necks, and primary fuel pump gaskets, show a severe drop in tensile strength and rapid structural softening when exposed to E20 compared to E10.

Polyamide 66 (PA66): This critical engineering plastic, used in intake manifolds and fuel rails, exhibits pronounced changes in volumetric stability and premature mechanical embrittlement.

[Atmospheric Moisture]
▼ (Absorption)
[E20 Fuel Matrix] ───► [Phase Separation Layer (Water-Ethanol)]
├─► Elastomers (NBR-PVC): Swelling, Loss of Tensile Strength, Gasket Leaks
└─► Plastics (PA66): Volumetric Expansion, Embrittlement

4.3 Engine Valve Integrity

Long-term engine durability trials (ranging from 400 to over 800 hours) have yielded mixed results. While multi-manufacturer two-wheeler evaluations passed without major mechanical anomalies, separate long-duration testing on tertentu BS-VI turbocharged passenger vehicle engines revealed localized thermomechanical failures of the exhaust valves. While valve failure can stem from multiple calibration variables, the altered combustion temperature profile of ethanol blends remains a prominent contributing factor.

Microstructural Elastomer Degradation Mechanisms

The vulnerability of legacy non-metallic components (pre-April 2023 vehicles) involves complex structural chemistry rather than simple surface wear.

4.4 The Hansen Solubility Parameter (HSP) Mismatch

Elastomers like Nitrile Butadiene Rubber (NBR) and Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) blends maintain structural integrity in pure gasoline because their chemical structures are highly dissimilar to the non-polar hydrocarbon chains of gasoline. They do not dissolve or absorb the fuel.

However, ethanol is a highly polar solvent. The introduction of 20% ethanol shifts the overall polarity of the fuel blend, matching the polar regions of NBR and PVC. According to the Hansen Solubility Parameter theory:

$$\delta \text{ Total} = \delta d2 + \delta p2 + \delta h2$$

Where:

Δd = Dispersion forces

δp = Polar forces

δh = Hydrogen bonding forces

When the δ values of the fuel blend approach the δ values of the elastomer, the fuel penetrates the polymer matrix. This causes interstitial swelling, physically pushing the long polymer chains apart.

4.5 Plasticizer Leaching and Mechanical Failure

To keep fuel lines flexible, manufacturers mix polymers with chemical softeners called plasticizers (like phthalates). Because these plasticizers dissolve easily in ethanol, the E20 blend rapidly leaches them out of the rubber.

This process causes a dual mechanical failure over time:

Phase 1 (Wet State): The fuel hose swells, loses up to 45% of its tensile strength, and softens, making it prone to slipping off fuel rail barbs or bursting under high injection pressures (typically 3.5 to 4.5 bar in multipoint fuel injection systems).

Phase 2 (Dry State): When the vehicle sits idle and the fuel evaporates, the rubber shrinks past its original volume. Depleted of its plasticizers, it becomes brittle, develops micro-cracks, and suffers catastrophic fuel leaks upon re-pressurization.

V. CHALLENGES AND FUTURE PROJECTIONS (BEYOND E20)

Electronic Control Unit (ECU) Calibration Challenges

For an engine to run safely and efficiently on E20, its computer must actively manage the fuel blend's unique properties.

5.1 Stoichiometric Air-Fuel Ratio (AFR) Shifting

The chemical equation for the complete combustion of pure gasoline requires an air-to-fuel mass ratio of 14.7:1. Pure ethanol, due to its built-in oxygen atoms, requires a much richer ratio of 9.0:1.

When an engine switches to E20, the required stoichiometric ratio drops significantly:

$$AFR_{E20} = (0.80 \times 14.7) + (0.20 \times 9.0) = 13.56:1$$

Stoichiometric Air-Fuel Ratio Comparison (Mass of Air per 1 Unit of Fuel)

Pure Gasoline (E0): 1: 14.7

Ethanol Blend (E20): 1: 13.56

Pure Ethanol (E100): 1: 9.0

5.2 Legacy Open-Loop vs. Modern Closed-Loop Adaptation

Legacy BS-IV / Early BS-VI Platforms (Fixed Maps): These vehicles rely on pre-programmed lookup tables during high-load acceleration (open-loop mode). Because they cannot detect the lower energy content of E20, they inject fuel as if it were E0/E10. This causes the engine to run lean (too much air, not enough fuel). A lean mixture burns much hotter, which accelerates spark plug degradation and leads to the exhaust valve failures observed in long-term ARAI durability testing.

Modern E20-Compliant BS-VI Phase II Platforms: These vehicles utilize wideband oxygen (O_2) sensors and advanced knock sensors. The ECU actively monitors the lean bias via Short-Term Fuel Trim (STFT) corrections. It automatically commands the fuel injectors to stay open longer (increasing fuel volume by ~7%) to compensate for the energy deficit. While this prevents engine damage and maintains performance, it is the direct cause of the observed 6-7% drop in volumetric fuel economy for the consumer.

As the Bureau of Indian Standards (BIS) formalizes specifications for higher-tier blends including E22, E25, E27, and E30, the Indian automotive ecosystem faces distinct scaling limitations:

5.3 Legacy Logistics: Offering multi-grade pump selections (e.g., E10 alongside E20) introduces severe supply chain fragmentation at regional fuel depots. Consequently, E20 remains the enforced baseline grade.

5.4 Aftermarket Remediation: For the millions of pre-2023 vehicles operational on Indian roads, aftermarket retrofitting utilizing ethanol conversion kits (costing roughly ₹15,000) provides electronic optimization but does not completely eliminate the risk of polymer fuel line degradation.

VI. CONCLUSION

The transition to E20 fuel in India serves as an effective mechanism for carbon reduction and macroeconomic import relief. From a mechanical standpoint, modern vehicles manufactured post-April 2023 are engineered with advanced elastomers and optimized ECU calibrations to handle higher ethanol contents seamlessly.

However, for the legacy vehicle sector, E20 fuel accelerates the wear of non-metallic fuel components (gaskets, O-rings, and hoses) and causes a minor but definitive drop in fuel economy. Future policy expansions toward E25 or E30 must integrate clear consumer guidelines and standard preventative maintenance protocols for older vehicles to safeguard fleet durability.

Recommended Mitigations for the Indian Ecosystem

To preserve the lifespan of the millions of legacy vehicles sharing the road with new E20-compliant models, the paper proposes a three-tier mitigation strategy:

Fuel Additive Standardization: Mandating the addition of corrosion inhibitors and surfactant-based phase-stabilizers at the refinery level. These additives wrap around water molecules, preventing them from grouping together and triggering phase separation inside vehicle fuel tanks.

Material Upgrades During Routine Maintenance: Encouraging Indian independent workshops to replace failing legacy fuel lines with Fluorocarbon (FKM / Viton) or Fluorosilicone hoses during standard 40,000 km service intervals. These advanced synthetics are entirely immune to polar solvent penetration.

Targeted Subsidies for E20 Aftermarket Kits: Implementing government-approved digital piggyback ECUs for older commercial fleets. These devices intercept factory injector signals and stretch injection windows to ensure appropriate fuel delivery, preventing the dangerous lean-burn cycles that cause engine damage.

REFRENCES

[1] NITI Aayog & Ministry of Petroleum and Natural Gas (MoPNG). (2021). Report of the Expert Committee on Roadmap for Ethanol Blending in India 2020–2025. Government of India, New Delhi.

[2] Ministry of Road Transport and Highways (MoRTH). (2021). G.S.R. 156(E): Ethanol Blended Petrol (E20) Notification and Mass Emission Standards for BS-VI Vehicles. Gazette of India.

[3] Automotive Research Association of India (ARAI) & Society of Indian Automobile Manufacturers (SIAM). (2023). Technical Evaluation and Material Compatibility Guidelines for E20 Fuel Transition in the Indian Automobile Fleet. ARAI Report No. ARAI/TR/EBP-E20/2023.

[4] Bureau of Indian Standards (BIS). (2021). IS 2796: Motor Gasoline — Specification (8th Revision) [Specifying requirement thresholds for E10, E12, E15, and E20 blends]. BIS, New Delhi.

[5] Bureau of Indian Standards (BIS). (2023). IS 17021: E20 Fuel Specification for Spark Ignition Engines. BIS, New Delhi.

[6] ASTM International. (2021). ASTM D4814 - 21b: Standard Specification for Automotive Spark-Ignition Engine Fuel [Addressing Critical Solution Temperature (CST), water tolerance, and phase separation]. ASTM International, West Conshohocken, PA

[7] Abu-Isa, I. A. (1983). Elastomer—gasoline blends interactions II. Effects of ethanol/gasoline and methyl-t-butyl ether/gasoline mixtures on elastomers. Rubber Chemistry and Technology, 56(1), 169–196. https://doi.org/10.5254/1.3538110 Cited by: 23

[8] Hansen, C. M. (2007). Hansen Solubility Parameters: A User's Handbook (2nd ed.). CRC Press. https://doi.org/10.1201/9781420006834

[9] Kass, M. D., Theiss, T. J., Janke, C. J., Pawel, S. J., & Lewis, S. A. (2012). Intermediate Ethanol Blends Infrastructure Materials Compatibility Study. Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL), U.S. Department of Energy (DOE/TM-2011/515).

[10] Society of Automotive Engineers (SAE). (2018). Compatibility of Fuel System Elastomers and Engineering Plastics with Ethanol-Gasoline Blends. SAE Technical Paper Series, J1681_201801.

[11] Tsai, J.-H., Ko, Y.-L., Huang, C.-M., & Chiang, H.-L. (2019). Effects of blending ethanol with gasoline on the performance of motorcycle catalysts and airborne pollutant emissions. Aerosol and Air Quality Research, 9(12), 2781–2792. https://doi.org/10.4209/aaqr.2019.10.0539 Cited by: 29

[12] Catapano, F., Sementa, P., & Vaglieco, B. M. (2014). Air-fuel ratio control and combustion analysis of a small spark-ignition engine fueled with ethanol/gasoline blends. Energy Conversion and Management, 81, 321–333. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2014.02.045