Behavioral Functioning and Renaissance Humanism: A Psychological Analysis of the Renaissance Self

Arka Das[1], Yash B. Naik[2]
[1] *Literature Student, Department of English, The M.S. University of Baroda, Vadodara (Gujarat), India.*
[2] *Teaching Assistant, Department of Psychology, The M.S. University of Baroda, Vadodara (Gujarat), India.*
doi.org/10.64643/IJIRTV13I3-207953-459

***Abstract*—The relationship between psychology and literature provides an important interdisciplinary framework for understanding the representation of human thought, emotion, behavior, and adaptation across historical periods. Renaissance humanism, with its emphasis on human potential, individual agency, education, reason, and self-development, produced literary characters who were increasingly represented as psychologically complex individuals responsible for their own decisions. The present paper examines the relationship between behavioral functioning and Renaissance humanism through a psychological analysis of Christopher Marlowe's *Doctor Faustus* and William Shakespeare's *Julius Caesar* and *Hamlet*. Behavioral functioning is examined through interconnected domains of adaptive functioning, emotional functioning, social functioning, cognitive functioning, and occupational or role functioning. Using a qualitative interpretive and interdisciplinary approach, the paper argues that Renaissance literature can be read as a representation of the psychological consequences of freedom, ambition, moral conflict, and individual responsibility. Faustus illustrates the destructive consequences of unregulated ambition and maladaptive decision-making; Brutus demonstrates the psychological consequences of value conflict, social influence, and impaired political judgment; and Hamlet represents the relationship between reflection, emotional disturbance, indecision, and impaired action. The analysis does not attempt to diagnose fictional characters retrospectively. Rather, contemporary psychological concepts are used as interpretive tools for understanding literary representations of human functioning. The paper concludes that Renaissance humanism created a literary environment in which the individual became a central site of conflict between aspiration and limitation, reason and emotion, autonomy and responsibility. This interdisciplinary perspective demonstrates that Renaissance literature remains valuable for examining enduring questions concerning human behavior, self-regulation, identity, decision-making, and psychological adaptation.**

***Index Terms*—behavioral functioning, Renaissance humanism, psychology and literature, Doctor Faustus, Julius Caesar, Hamlet, emotional regulation, individualism**

I. INTRODUCTION

Human beings have historically attempted to understand themselves through multiple systems of knowledge. Psychology investigates cognition, emotion, personality, behavior, adjustment, and mental functioning, whereas history and literature provide access to changing cultural representations of the human condition. When these fields are brought together, literary texts can be examined not merely as artistic products but also as representations of human motivation, conflict, decision-making, relationships, and adaptation.

The present study explores the relationship between behavioral functioning and Renaissance humanism. The original manuscript establishes behavioral functioning as a multidimensional concept involving an individual's ability to manage everyday life, regulate emotions, maintain social relationships, and fulfill occupational or academic responsibilities. This perspective provides a useful foundation for examining Renaissance literary characters because the literature of the period increasingly emphasized individual consciousness, ambition, moral responsibility, and the consequences of personal choice.

Renaissance humanism represented an intellectual and educational movement that emphasized the study of classical texts and the *studia humanitatis*, including

grammar, rhetoric, poetry, history, and moral philosophy (Kristeller, 1990). Humanist thought contributed to renewed attention to human dignity, education, civic life, moral judgment, and the capacities of the individual. Although older accounts sometimes describe the Renaissance primarily as the sudden emergence of modern individualism, contemporary scholarship has demonstrated that the historical development of humanism was more complex and remained deeply connected to religious, political, educational, and social institutions.

This complexity is particularly important when Renaissance literature is interpreted through psychological concepts. The purpose of the present paper is not to claim that Renaissance writers possessed modern psychological theories or that literary characters can be clinically diagnosed using contemporary diagnostic categories. Instead, the paper employs psychological concepts as interpretive frameworks. Concepts such as emotional regulation, adaptive functioning, cognitive conflict, social influence, maladaptive decision-making, and impaired role functioning can help explain how literary characters negotiate the consequences of their choices. The analysis focuses primarily on Christopher Marlowe's *Doctor Faustus* and William Shakespeare's *Julius Caesar* and *Hamlet*. These works are particularly relevant because their central characters confront questions concerning knowledge, ambition, political responsibility, moral obligation, identity, action, and the limits of human agency. The paper therefore examines how Renaissance humanism creates both opportunities and psychological burdens for the individual.

II. CONCEPTUALIZING BEHAVIORAL FUNCTIONING

Behavioral functioning refers broadly to the ways in which individuals perform, adapt, regulate themselves, and participate in important areas of life. Contemporary frameworks of functioning emphasize that health and human adaptation involve more than the presence or absence of symptoms. Functioning also involves participation in activities, social relationships, environmental contexts, and the ability to perform meaningful roles. The World Health Organization's International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health conceptualizes functioning as a multidimensional interaction involving body functions, activities, participation, and contextual factors.

For the purposes of the present analysis, behavioral functioning is organized into five interconnected domains: adaptive functioning, emotional functioning, social functioning, cognitive functioning, and occupational or role functioning.

III. ADAPTIVE FUNCTIONING

Adaptive functioning concerns the practical ability to respond effectively to the demands of life. It includes decision-making, self-care, problem-solving, safety, responsibility, and the capacity to adjust behavior according to changing circumstances. The original manuscript similarly identifies adaptive functioning as involving everyday survival and self-management.

In literary analysis, adaptive functioning can be examined through a character's ability to recognize consequences, respond realistically to challenges, modify ineffective behavior, and maintain personal and social stability. A failure of adaptive functioning may therefore be represented through impulsive decisions, destructive coping, avoidance, impaired judgment, or the inability to respond appropriately to reality.

IV. EMOTIONAL FUNCTIONING

Emotional functioning concerns the experience, expression, understanding, and regulation of emotion. Effective emotional functioning does not mean the absence of difficult emotions. Rather, it involves the ability to experience fear, anger, grief, guilt, desire, and disappointment without allowing these emotions to completely determine behavior.

Gross (1998, 2015) conceptualized emotion regulation as involving processes through which individuals influence the emotions they experience, when they experience them, and how those emotions are expressed. This framework is particularly relevant to Renaissance tragedy because many tragic characters possess intellectual ability and social status but fail to regulate ambition, anger, guilt, grief, or fear.

V. SOCIAL FUNCTIONING

Social functioning involves communication, interpersonal relationships, cooperation, social judgment, and the ability to understand the perspectives and emotional responses of others. The original manuscript identifies social functioning as the capacity to establish relationships, communicate effectively, and negotiate the expectations of society. Renaissance tragedy repeatedly demonstrates that intellectual reasoning alone does not guarantee successful social functioning. Characters frequently misjudge public opinion, misunderstand the motives of others, isolate themselves, or permit ideological commitments to override interpersonal relationships.

VI. COGNITIVE FUNCTIONING AND DECISION-MAKING

Cognitive functioning includes reasoning, attention, judgment, problem-solving, reflection, and decision-making. Cognitive ability can promote effective functioning, but intellectual complexity does not necessarily guarantee adaptive behavior. Excessive reflection may produce indecision, while strong beliefs may create rigid reasoning or distorted interpretations of reality.

The concept of cognitive dissonance is particularly useful in understanding situations in which individuals experience psychological discomfort because of conflict between beliefs, values, and actions (Festinger, 1957). Characters such as Brutus demonstrate how moral and ideological conflict may influence decision-making and behavior.

VII. OCCUPATIONAL AND ROLE FUNCTIONING

Occupational functioning concerns the ability to perform responsibilities associated with education, work, leadership, citizenship, family, and other social roles. The original manuscript emphasizes the importance of academic and occupational competence and uses Faustus as an example of exceptional intellectual achievement.

However, role competence may coexist with dysfunction in other areas. An individual may possess extraordinary intellectual ability while experiencing serious difficulties in emotional regulation, social relationships, moral judgment, or adaptive decision-making. This distinction is central to the present analysis.

VIII. RENAISSANCE HUMANISM AND THE EMERGENCE OF THE INDIVIDUAL

Renaissance humanism developed through renewed engagement with classical learning and the educational traditions associated with the *studia humanitatis*. Kristeller (1990) emphasized that Renaissance humanism should be understood historically rather than reduced to a modern belief in unrestricted individual freedom. Humanists were associated with the study of language, rhetoric, history, poetry, and moral philosophy, and these disciplines contributed to new forms of education and cultural expression.

Nevertheless, questions concerning individuality and selfhood became increasingly significant in interpretations of Renaissance culture. Burckhardt's influential account of the Renaissance emphasized the development of individual consciousness, although later historians have significantly revised and qualified his interpretation. Greenblatt's concept of Renaissance self-fashioning further contributed to the understanding of identity as something shaped through social, political, cultural, and psychological processes. The literary importance of this development is considerable. Renaissance drama increasingly presented characters whose inner conflicts became central to the dramatic experience. Rather than functioning simply as symbolic representations of virtue or vice, characters such as Faustus, Brutus, and Hamlet demonstrate complex relationships among thought, emotion, desire, morality, and action.

The original manuscript describes Renaissance humanism as encouraging belief in human potential, free will, and the capacity to shape one's destiny. While this description requires historical qualification, it identifies an important interpretive issue. Greater emphasis on human agency also increases the importance of personal responsibility. The individual becomes responsible for managing ambition, desire, moral conflict, relationships, and the consequences of choice.

Thus, Renaissance humanism can be interpreted as creating a productive tension between aspiration and limitation. Human beings are encouraged to learn, act,

create, explore, and develop themselves, yet they remain subject to mortality, social structures, ethical obligations, emotional vulnerability, and uncertainty.

IX. THE PSYCHOLOGICAL BURDEN OF HUMAN AGENCY

One of the central arguments of this paper is that Renaissance literature frequently dramatizes the psychological burden associated with human agency. The ability to choose does not automatically produce psychological well-being. Freedom requires judgment, emotional regulation, responsibility, and the capacity to tolerate uncertainty.

The original manuscript identifies this tension by suggesting that the expansion of human possibility also creates pressure to manage one's own life and ambitions. From a contemporary psychological perspective, this observation can be developed through theories of self-regulation and decision-making.

Self-regulation involves the capacity to manage impulses, emotions, goals, and behavior in relation to long-term outcomes. When immediate desires dominate reflection on consequences, individuals may engage in maladaptive behavior. Conversely, excessive reflection without effective action may also impair functioning.

The tragedies examined in this study demonstrate different forms of this problem. Faustus possesses extraordinary intellectual achievement but lacks limits in his pursuit of power and knowledge. Brutus possesses moral ideals but struggles to reconcile personal loyalty with political principle. Hamlet possesses exceptional reflective ability but becomes increasingly unable to transform reflection into decisive action.

These characters therefore demonstrate that high intelligence, education, or social status cannot independently ensure healthy functioning.

X. DOCTOR FAUSTUS: AMBITION, DESIRE, AND MALADAPTIVE FUNCTIONING

Christopher Marlowe's *Doctor Faustus* is one of the most significant literary representations of Renaissance aspiration. The play presents a scholar who rejects the intellectual disciplines in which he has already achieved distinction and seeks a form of knowledge and power that transcends ordinary human limitations.

The original manuscript appropriately identifies Faustus as possessing exceptionally high academic and occupational functioning at the beginning of the play. He is highly educated, intellectually accomplished, and dissatisfied with the limits of conventional knowledge. His dissatisfaction is therefore not the result of incompetence. Instead, it emerges from the inability to establish limits on desire.

Faustus's psychological conflict can be interpreted through the interaction between ambition and self-regulation. His desire for knowledge and power gradually becomes disconnected from realistic evaluation of consequences. The problem is not intellectual aspiration itself. Renaissance humanism encouraged learning and intellectual development. The problem emerges when aspiration becomes absolute and when personal desire overrides ethical, existential, and practical limitations.

Marlowe's Faustus therefore represents a paradox of functioning. He is intellectually successful but behaviorally maladaptive. His extraordinary cognitive and occupational abilities do not prevent destructive decision-making. This distinction is significant because functioning is multidimensional.

The agreement with Lucifer and the subsequent pursuit of magical power can be interpreted symbolically as an extreme form of short-term decision-making. Faustus privileges immediate access to extraordinary power over the long-term consequences of his actions. The original manuscript describes this as maladaptive behavior and emphasizes the destructive consequences of seeking immediate gratification at the expense of long-term survival and well-being.

Faustus's subsequent behavior further complicates his ambitions. Although he seeks extraordinary power, much of that power is used for entertainment, spectacle, and trivial manipulation. The discrepancy between his initial aspirations and his later actions reveals a decline in purposeful functioning. His desire for transcendence does not produce meaningful achievement.

Scholarly interpretations of *Doctor Faustus* have similarly emphasized the complexity of the play's relationship to humanism, religion, knowledge, power, and individual desire. The work cannot be reduced simply to a celebration or condemnation of

Renaissance humanism. Rather, it dramatizes the tension between human aspiration and human limitation.

Psychologically, Faustus can therefore be understood as a character whose intellectual functioning remains impressive while emotional and adaptive functioning progressively deteriorate. His tragedy demonstrates that the pursuit of achievement becomes destructive when self-regulation, realistic judgment, and ethical responsibility collapse.

XI. JULIUS CAESAR: COGNITIVE CONFLICT, SOCIAL INFLUENCE, AND POLITICAL JUDGMENT

William Shakespeare's *Julius Caesar* provides a different model of psychological conflict. Whereas Faustus is primarily concerned with knowledge and personal ambition, Marcus Brutus is confronted with competing moral and political commitments.

The original manuscript identifies Brutus as initially possessing strong social and occupational functioning. He is respected, politically influential, and associated with honor and civic responsibility. However, his psychological stability is challenged by a conflict between his personal loyalty to Caesar and his commitment to the Roman Republic.

This conflict can be interpreted through the concept of cognitive dissonance. Festinger (1957) proposed that inconsistency between beliefs, attitudes, and actions can generate psychological discomfort and motivate attempts to restore consistency. Brutus experiences a conflict between affection for Caesar and fear concerning the political consequences of Caesar's potential power.

Importantly, Brutus acts not primarily in response to an established act of tyranny but partly in response to anticipated possibilities. His reasoning becomes increasingly shaped by hypothetical danger. This reveals the limitations of abstract reasoning when it becomes disconnected from available evidence and interpersonal reality.

The original manuscript emphasizes that Brutus eventually permits anxiety concerning the future to determine his present action. This interpretation can be strengthened by recognizing that decision-making is influenced not only by logical analysis but also by emotion, social influence, identity, and uncertainty.

Cassius plays a significant role in shaping Brutus's decisions. His manipulation demonstrates the importance of social context in behavioral functioning. Brutus does not make decisions in isolation. His existing beliefs and vulnerabilities are reinforced by persuasive communication and political pressure.

The assassination of Caesar represents a major failure of moral and adaptive judgment. Brutus believes that a violent act can protect the political system he values. However, he underestimates the emotional and social consequences of his action.

His subsequent failure to manage public response is equally important. Brutus assumes that rational explanation will be sufficient to persuade the Roman population. Antony, by contrast, demonstrates a stronger understanding of collective emotion and rhetorical influence.

The original manuscript interprets the resulting chaos as a collapse of both individual and collective social functioning. Although a fictional crowd should not be treated as a clinical population, Shakespeare's representation clearly demonstrates how emotion, persuasion, group influence, and political instability can transform social behavior.

Brutus ultimately demonstrates that moral idealism without adequate social understanding may produce destructive consequences. His tragedy is not simply a failure of intelligence. It is a failure to integrate moral reasoning, emotional understanding, social judgment, and realistic assessment of consequences.

XII. HAMLET: REFLECTION, EMOTIONAL DISTURBANCE, AND IMPAIRED ACTION

Hamlet represents perhaps the most psychologically complex example of the relationship between humanistic reflection and behavioral functioning. The original manuscript identifies Hamlet as a university student associated with Wittenberg and as a character whose intense reflection contributes to difficulty in translating thought into action.

Hamlet's crisis emerges from grief, betrayal, uncertainty, and the demand for action. He must interpret the meaning of his father's death, evaluate the reliability of the ghost, understand his mother's remarriage, and determine his own moral responsibility.

From a psychological perspective, Hamlet's behavior can be interpreted through the relationship between

rumination, emotional distress, uncertainty, and impaired decision-making. His extensive reflection allows him to examine moral and existential questions with extraordinary depth. However, reflection does not consistently result in effective action.

It is important not to impose a retrospective clinical diagnosis on Hamlet. Terms such as depression, executive dysfunction, or other modern diagnostic categories cannot be used as definitive descriptions of a fictional character from an early modern literary context. Nevertheless, psychological concepts may illuminate patterns of behavior represented in the play. Hamlet repeatedly demonstrates difficulty converting intention into action. His internal analysis expands rather than resolves uncertainty. His emotional relationships also deteriorate, particularly in his interactions with Ophelia and Gertrude.

The tragedy therefore presents another paradox. Cognitive sophistication can coexist with behavioral impairment. The ability to analyze every possible consequence may become dysfunctional when it prevents action.

Hamlet consequently complements the examples of Faustus and Brutus. Faustus acts with insufficient restraint. Brutus acts on ideological reasoning that fails to account adequately for social consequences. Hamlet reflects so extensively that action becomes increasingly delayed and complicated. Together, the three characters demonstrate different psychological relationships between cognition and functioning.

XIII. SYNTHESIS: BEHAVIORAL FUNCTIONING AND THE RENAISSANCE SELF

The comparative analysis of Faustus, Brutus, and Hamlet demonstrates that Renaissance literature repeatedly represents human beings as psychologically complex individuals confronted with the consequences of agency.

Faustus illustrates excessive ambition and inadequate self-regulation. Brutus demonstrates moral conflict, social influence, and impaired political judgment. Hamlet represents the tension between reflection and action.

These characters differ significantly, yet each demonstrates that functioning is multidimensional. Intellectual ability does not guarantee emotional regulation. Moral intention does not guarantee adaptive decision-making. Social status does not guarantee interpersonal competence. Extensive reflection does not guarantee effective action.

The original manuscript concludes that Renaissance literature can be understood as dramatizing the relationship between human freedom and the capacity to manage that freedom. This argument remains valuable when historically qualified. Renaissance humanism did not simply replace a completely passive medieval society with unrestricted individual autonomy. Nevertheless, humanist education and Renaissance literary culture contributed to sustained attention to the capacities, responsibilities, identities, and aspirations of human beings.

The psychological significance of this development lies in the increasing centrality of the individual as a site of conflict. Renaissance tragedy asks what happens when individuals possess desire but lack restraint, principles but lack flexibility, or intelligence but lack emotional integration.

In this sense, behavioral functioning provides a useful interdisciplinary framework. It enables literary characters to be examined across multiple domains rather than being reduced to a single trait or diagnosis.

XIV. IMPLICATIONS

The present analysis has several implications for psychology, literary studies, and interdisciplinary research.

First, literature can provide valuable material for examining historical representations of psychological processes. Literary characters are not clinical cases, but they can illustrate enduring patterns involving emotion, motivation, conflict, decision-making, identity, and social behavior.

Second, psychological concepts should be used cautiously when analyzing historical literature. Anachronistic diagnosis should be avoided. The goal should not be to claim that Faustus, Brutus, or Hamlet possessed modern psychiatric disorders. Instead, psychological theory should function as an interpretive framework.

Third, the study demonstrates the importance of multidimensional approaches to functioning. High achievement in one area does not ensure effective functioning in other areas. Faustus's intellectual accomplishment, Brutus's moral reputation, and Hamlet's reflective intelligence coexist with serious

difficulties in emotional, social, and adaptive functioning.

Fourth, the analysis contributes to the growing value of interdisciplinary scholarship. Psychology and literary studies can complement one another when their methodological boundaries are respected.

XV. RECOMMENDATIONS

Future research should expand the psychological analysis of Renaissance literature through additional methodological approaches. Comparative studies could examine characters from Shakespeare, Marlowe, Ben Jonson, Thomas Kyd, and other Renaissance writers.

Researchers may also investigate specific psychological constructs such as emotion regulation, self-control, moral reasoning, social influence, identity formation, grief, rumination, and decision-making.

Another important direction would be the use of qualitative thematic analysis to identify recurring representations of psychological functioning across multiple Renaissance texts. Such research could develop a systematic coding framework based on domains of functioning while maintaining sensitivity to historical and cultural context.

Future interdisciplinary research should also compare Renaissance representations of the self with contemporary psychological theories of agency and identity. However, scholars should distinguish clearly between literary interpretation, historical analysis, and clinical assessment.

XVI. LIMITATIONS

The present study has several limitations. First, it is a qualitative and interpretive analysis rather than an empirical psychological investigation. The conclusions therefore concern literary representations and theoretical relationships rather than measurable psychological outcomes.

Second, the use of contemporary psychological terminology creates the possibility of historical anachronism. Concepts such as emotional regulation and cognitive dissonance originate from modern theoretical traditions and were not categories used by Renaissance writers in their contemporary psychological form.

Third, the study focuses on three major literary characters. The findings cannot therefore represent the full diversity of Renaissance literature or humanist thought.

Finally, Renaissance humanism itself is historically complex and cannot be reduced to a single philosophy of unlimited individual freedom. The interpretation presented here therefore emphasizes the productive relationship between humanist ideals and literary representations of individual conflict rather than claiming a direct one-to-one relationship.

XVII. CONCLUSION

The relationship between behavioral functioning and Renaissance humanism provides a productive framework for interdisciplinary research. Renaissance humanism emphasized education, classical learning, moral inquiry, human capacities, and the importance of the individual. Renaissance literature transformed these intellectual concerns into dramatic representations of ambition, conflict, identity, responsibility, and failure.

The analysis of *Doctor Faustus*, *Julius Caesar*, and *Hamlet* demonstrates that psychological functioning is not determined by intelligence, education, social status, or moral intention alone. Faustus possesses extraordinary knowledge but fails to regulate ambition. Brutus possesses honorable political ideals but fails to integrate emotional, social, and practical consequences into his decision-making. Hamlet possesses remarkable intellectual depth but struggles to transform reflection into effective action.

These characters collectively demonstrate a central insight: human potential requires psychological balance. Freedom requires responsibility, ambition requires self-regulation, reasoning requires emotional awareness, and individual agency requires recognition of social consequences.

Thus, Renaissance literature remains relevant to contemporary psychological inquiry not because its characters can be clinically diagnosed, but because the conflicts they represent continue to illuminate fundamental dimensions of human functioning. The struggles involving ambition, grief, moral conflict, social relationships, uncertainty, and decision-making remain central to psychological life.

The interdisciplinary study of behavioral functioning and Renaissance humanism therefore demonstrates

that literature and psychology can jointly deepen our understanding of the human condition. Renaissance writers explored the possibilities and limitations of the individual, while psychology provides contemporary concepts for examining how individuals adapt, regulate themselves, form relationships, and respond to conflict. Together, these perspectives reveal that the challenge of becoming fully human involves not only the freedom to choose but also the capacity to live with the consequences of those choices.

REFERENCES

[1] American Psychiatric Association. (2022). Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (5th ed., text rev.). American Psychiatric Association Publishing.

[2] Baker, P. (2015). Italian Renaissance humanism in the mirror. Cambridge University Press.

[3] Burckhardt, J. (1990). The civilization of the Renaissance in Italy. Penguin Books. (Original work published 1860)

[4] Campbell, L. B. (1952). Doctor Faustus: A case of conscience. PMLA, 67(2), 219–239. https://doi.org/10.2307/460096

[5] Carter, R., & McRae, J. (2001). The Routledge history of literature in English: Britain and Ireland (2nd ed.). Routledge.

[6] Duxfield, A. (2005). Modern problems of editing: The two texts of Marlowe's Doctor Faustus. Literature Compass, 2(2), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1741-4113.2005.00143.x

[7] Festinger, L. (1957). A theory of cognitive dissonance. Stanford University Press.

[8] Gaddawi, M. F. (2023). Christopher Marlowe's Faustus as a supreme archetype of Renaissance man. Manar Elsharq Journal for Literature and Language Studies, 1(1), 16–25. https://doi.org/10.56961/mejlls.v1i1.338

[9] Greenblatt, S. (1980). Renaissance self-fashioning: From More to Shakespeare. University of Chicago Press.

[10] Gross, J. J. (1998). The emerging field of emotion regulation: An integrative review. Review of General Psychology, 2(3), 271–299. https://doi.org/10.1037/1089-2680.2.3.271

[11] Gross, J. J. (2015). Emotion regulation: Current status and future prospects. Psychological Inquiry, 26(1), 1–26. https://doi.org/10.1080/1047840X.2014.940781

[12] Han, J. (2010). Doctor Faustus: Renaissance individualism and Protestant individualism. Journal of English Classical and Renaissance Literature, 19(2), 73–93. https://doi.org/10.17259/jcerl.2010.19.2.73

[13] Hudson, W. H. (2015). An outline history of English literature. Maple Press.

[14] Kristeller, P. O. (1990). Renaissance thought and its sources. Columbia University Press.

[15] Lemon, R. (2018). Scholarly addiction: Doctor Faustus and the drama of devotion. Renaissance Quarterly, 71(4), 1249–1278.

[16] Long, W. J. (2015). English literature: Its history and its significance. CreateSpace Independent Publishing Platform.

[17] Marlowe, C. (2005). Doctor Faustus (D. Bevington & E. Rasmussen, Eds.). Manchester University Press.

[18] Ornstein, R. (1968). Marlowe and God: The tragic theology of Dr. Faustus. PMLA, 83(5), 1378–1385. https://doi.org/10.2307/1261310

[19] Shakespeare, W. (2009). The tragedy of Julius Caesar (D. Daniell, Ed.). Arden Shakespeare.

[20] Shakespeare, W. (2016). Hamlet (A. Thompson & N. Taylor, Eds.). Arden Shakespeare.

[21] Skinner, Q. (2002). Visions of politics: Volume 2: Renaissance virtues. Cambridge University Press.

[22] Subedi, S. (2021). Renaissance humanism and tragic heroism in Christopher Marlowe's The Tragical History of Dr. Faustus. Journal of Development Review, 6(1), 79–85. https://doi.org/10.3126/jdr.v6i01.66936

[23] Witt, R. G. (2018). Medieval ars dictaminis and the beginnings of humanism: A new construction of the problem. Renaissance Quarterly, 71(1), 1–32.

[24] World Health Organization. (2001). International classification of functioning, disability and health: ICF. World Health Organization.