# Root To Rebirth: Bio-Inductive Matrices Beyond Silicate Barriers

Mudita Rathore[1], Dr. Pranay Kumar Singh[2], Dr. Sumit Bhateja[3], Dr. Vineeta Charan[4]
[1,2,3,4]*Manav Rachna Dental College, MRIIRS*

*Abstract*—**Introduction: In order to restore the pulp-dentine complex's physiological vitality, regenerative endodontic therapy (RET) offers a paradigm shift from traditional obturation to a physiologically based strategy. The cessation of root growth in young permanent teeth with pulpal necrosis causes weak dentinal walls and open apices, which greatly increases the risk of catastrophic cervical root fractures. To create a hard tissue barrier, traditional management employed apexification procedures. However, by using the trio of tissue engineering—stem cells, morphogenic signaling chemicals, and physical scaffolds—modern RET aims to coordinate the ongoing thickening of canal walls and apical extension. The Limitation of Current Gold Standards: In order to provide a conclusive coronal seal over the generated intracanal blood clot, Mineral Trioxide Aggregate (MTA) and Biodentine are currently the clinical gold standards. These calcium silicate-based cements function only as passive structural barriers, despite their remarkable biocompatibility, superior microleakage control, and proven ability to promote hard tissue development. They are incapable of delivering exogenous mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) or coordinating the intricate spatial-temporal release of growth factors needed to direct cellular migration, homing, and differentiation in the root canal. In addition, conventional procedures that just use an induced blood clot have low mechanical stability, insufficient consistency, and clinical unpredictability.**

**Emerging Bio-Inductive Matrices: Transition Modern endodontic research is pushing beyond traditional silicate barriers toward sophisticated bio-inductive matrices in order to achieve real histomorphic pulp regeneration instead of reparative fibrovascular ingrowth. Autologous platelet concentrates (Platelet-Rich Plasma [PRP] and Platelet-Rich Fibrin [PRF]), natural and synthetic hydrogels, customized collagen scaffolds, bioactive glasses, calcium phosphate materials, and electrospun nanofibrous scaffolds are some of these new substrates. These third-generation biomaterials engage interactively with the periapical microenvironment through certain biological pathways rather than acting as inert obturation materials:**

A. **Three-Dimensional Architecture: Providing biomimetic, extremely porous structural networks that maximize deep-tissue motility, host MSC adhesion, and proliferation.**
B. **Regulated Growth Factor Delivery: Serving as vehicles for the regulated delivery of vital morphogens, such as Transforming Growth Factor-beta (TGF-Beta) and Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor (VEGF), to promote localized cellular division.**
C. **Angiogenesis Support: Encouraging the quick development of microvascular networks, which guarantees the vital delivery of oxygen and systemic nutrients to newly developing pulpal tissues.**
D. **Odontoblastic Differentiation: Migrating stem cells are signaled to develop into polarized, functional odontoblast-like cells that can produce tubular dentine.**
E. **Root Maturation Kinetics: Promoting organized mineralization to actively strengthen young, thin-walled roots' structural integrity.**

**Current Evidence and Clinical Translational Status: Throughout the experimental spectrum, these novel biomaterials' translational readiness vary significantly. Because of their high concentration of native growth factors, safety profile, and convenience of preparation, autologous concentrates such as PRF and PRP are successfully incorporated into modern clinical protocols. On the other hand, synthetic hydrogels and electrospun nanofibrous matrices are still mostly experimental and are only used in in vitro models and in vivo animal research that are intended to maximize their rates of degradation and align with the extracellular matrix (ECM). Although the biological results of these sophisticated matrices are extremely promising, widespread clinical use depends on additional high-level, long-term human validation, according to recent systematic studies. Conclusion: New bio-inductive matrices are now positioned to supplement MTA and Biodentine rather than to replace them. A complex hybrid treatment approach that uses bio-inductive, engineered scaffolds internally to actively regenerate a crucial pulp-dentine complex while securely sealing the coronal access with proven, high-strength bioceramic**

**cements is essential to the definitive future of regenerative endodontics.**

## I. INTRODUCTION

A. The Paradigm Shift in Endodontic Philosophy:

1. For more than a century, a rigid obturation-centric paradigm served as the foundation for clinical endodontics doctrine. In order to treat pulpal diseases, mechanical debridement was completed, strong chemical disinfectants were used, and inert, hydrophobic core materials—most notably gutta-percha mixed with zinc oxide-eugenol or resin-based sealers—were used to fill the empty canal space. This conventional method is quite self-limiting and clinically troublesome when applied to immature permanent teeth that present with pulpal necrosis, even though it produces extremely predictable results in fully matured permanent teeth.
2. This paradigm has been completely upended by the introduction of regenerative endodontic therapy (RET) into the mainstream of clinical knowledge. The goal of the clinician is changed from dead-space obliteration to biological renewal through RET. It is a biologically based therapeutic approach intended to restore damaged pulp-dentine complex cells as well as dentine and root structures.
3. Modern regenerative techniques approach the root canal as a dynamic biological niche that can receive cellular, molecular, and structural cues to enable full tissue designed repair or regeneration, as opposed to viewing the root canal system as an isolated, non-essential conduit.

B. The Clinical Crisis of the Immature Permanent Tooth:

1. The necrosis of an immature permanent tooth frequently secondary to dental trauma, anatomical anomalies such as *dens evaginatus*, or deep carious lesions presents one of the most complex challenges in contemporary dental medicine. When the dental pulp succumbs to bacterial contamination before completing root development (root maturation), several physiological processes are abruptly halted:

a. Dentinogenesis Halt:
Odontoblasts stop actively depositing primary and secondary dentine right away, leaving the root walls thin, brittle, and structurally weakened.

b. Arrested Root Elongation:
An unfavorable crown-to-root ratio that compromises long-term periodontal support is the result of the root's longitudinal development being halted.

c. Open Apices:
Because there is no anatomical stop against which to securely condense gutta-percha without running the risk of serious periapical extrusion of materials, the lack of an apical constriction (an open, diverging apex or "blunderbuss" canal) renders standard mechanical instrumentation impossible.

2. Apexification was used in the past to handle these instances. This included either employing Mineral Trioxide Aggregate (MTA) to create an artificial apical plug or long-term intracanal calcium hydroxide implantation to create a calcified apical barrier. Apexification is essentially a static, non-regenerative method even though it effectively resolves periapical radiolucencies and establishes a definite physical halt for obturation. It doesn't encourage more dentinal wall thickening or root length elongation.

3. As a result, teeth that have undergone traditional apexification treatment continue to be structurally weak and are particularly vulnerable to catastrophic cervical root fractures under typical occlusal stresses. By trying to restore a live, vascularized tissue matrix inside the canal that might restart dentinogenesis and direct typical root maturation kinetics, RET tackles this clinical vulnerability.

C. The Regenerative Endodontics Tissue Engineering Triad:

1. The concepts of tissue engineering are directly applicable to the clinical implementation of RET. According to this concept, three interrelated pillars must operate together in order to achieve real tissue regeneration:

a. Stem/Progenitor Cells: The primary cellular engines driving regeneration in RET are mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs). These include Stem Cells from the Apical Papilla (SCAPs) and Dental Pulp Stem Cells (DPSCs). SCAPs are uniquely resilient; due to their anatomical positioning just peripheral to the root apex, they frequently survive severe periapical

infections and possess a high capacity for proliferation and multi-lineage differentiation.

b. Morphogens and growth factors are biological signaling molecules that control important cellular processes such as differentiation, proliferation, and chemotaxis. Transforming Growth Factor-beta (TGF-beta) for extracellular matrix deposition, Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor (VEGF) for capillary sprouting, and Bone Morphogenetic Proteins (BMPs) for mineralization signaling are examples of essential morphogens.

c. The crucial three-dimensional (3D) physical architecture that fills the canal space is provided by scaffolds. They function as a transient extracellular matrix (ECM) that facilitates cell adhesion, shields migratory progenitor cells from functional shear pressures, and offers a spatial template for well-organized tissue development.

D. The Architectural Ceiling of Calcium Silicates:

1. According to conventional clinical RET protocols developed by groups like the European Society of Endodontology (ESE) and the American Association of Endodontists (AAE), the trio is started by mechanically irritating periapical tissues to cause an intracanal blood clot. To prevent coronal microleakage, a bioceramic barrier typically MTA or Biodentine is then placed over this clot.
2. Despite being excellent calcium silicate-based cements with precise marginal adaptation, great biocompatibility, and a proven ability to promote hard tissue formation, MTA and Biodentine constitute a unique architectural ceiling. These cements are essentially passive materials that focus on barriers. They have little inherent capacity to actively organize the sub-adjacent canal area, yet they work well as caps or plugs. They are unable to coordinate the intricate, time-dependent release of signaling molecules needed to direct tissue development throughout the whole length of the root canal, nor can they supply precise amounts of exogenous stem cells.
3. Moreover, there is significant clinical instability when the triggered blood clot is the only underlying substructure. Blood clots typically cause intracanal calcification or reparative fibrous ingrowth rather than structured, functional pulp-dentine regeneration. They are also frequently structurally weak, prone to early erythrocyte lysis, and difficult to standardize across patient demographics.

E. The Development of Bio-Inductive Matrices: Overcoming the Obstacle:

1. Modern endodontic research has shifted its focus to bio-inductive matrices in order to overcome these structural and biological constraints. These cutting-edge, third-generation biomaterials, which include bioactive glasses, electrospun nanofibrous meshes, natural and synthetic polymer hydrogels, autologous platelet concentrates (PRP, PRF), and functionalized collagen scaffolds, are designed to actively contribute to the healing process.
2. These developing matrices serve as dynamic, intelligent ecosystems rather than an inert bottom under a silicate barrier. They are specifically designed to mimic the extracellular matrix of the native dental pulp, speed up angiogenesis (local capillary sprouting), attract host stem cells from the periapical niche, and deliver targeted biochemical signals that instruct cells to differentiate into polarized, functional odontoblasts. Modern endodontics seeks to solve the drawbacks of conventional calcium silicates by supplementing or replacing the erratic blood clot with tailored bio-inductive matrices. This change has the potential to safely change RET from a simple reparative procedure into a highly regulated, reliable, and anatomically correct structural root rebirth.

## II. OBJECTIVE

This review's main goal is to methodically assess new bio-inductive matrices that go beyond the passive barrier-centric functioning of biodentine and mineral trioxide aggregate (MTA) in regenerative endodontics. This article outlines the unique contributions of advanced substrates, such as autologous platelet concentrates, functionalized hydrogels, and biomimetic scaffolds, to angiogenesis, stem cell homing, and odontoblastic differentiation by examining their translational preparedness and biological mechanisms.

In order to integrate these active matrices into a hybrid therapeutic procedure intended to accomplish real, predictable pulp-dentine complex regeneration, it ultimately seeks to build a clear structural and conceptual framework.

## III. METHODOLOGIES:

A. To find peer-reviewed information on regenerative endodontic operations up to the present year, a systematic literature search was conducted utilizing targeted Boolean search strings across key databases, including PubMed, Scopus, and EMBASE. This electronic inquiry was carefully cross-referenced with official clinical guidelines and gray literature issued by the European Society of Endodontology (ESE) and the American Association of Endodontists (AAE) in order to prevent data omissions.

B. A third-party adjudicator was used to settle disagreements between the two independent reviewers who assessed the collected material using stringent inclusion and exclusion criteria. Randomized controlled trials, prospective cohorts, and in vivo animal models pertaining to immature necrotic permanent teeth were given priority during the selection process. Narrative reviews, isolated case reports, and studies looking at conventional apexification or non-vital canal obturation were methodically excluded.

C. A standardized analytical matrix was created using the extracted data to assess important factors such as material taxonomy, pore width, degradation rates, and biocompatibility under residual chemical disinfection. Lastly, a four-tier Translational Readiness Scale (TRS) was used to categorize each newly developed bio-inductive material according to its degree of scientific validation, making it easy to distinguish between clinically integrated autologous systems and experimental laboratory substrates.

## IV. RESULTS

A. The Translational Readiness Scale (TRS) was used to assess and stratify 84 studies. With the backing of strong human randomized controlled trials, autologous concentrates (PRF/PRP) attained the highest clinical integration status (Tier 4). While synthetic hydrogels, natural polymers, and nanofibrous meshes are still mostly in the experimental stage (Tier 2), purified collagen scaffolds and sophisticated bioactive glasses are in the advanced translational stage (Tier 3).

B. The matrix profile and structural design have a significant impact on angiogenesis and cellular homing. VEGF and PDGF, two crucial angiogenic growth factors, are released continuously by platelet concentrates (PRF and PRP). The best host mesenchymal stem cell adhesion and deep-tissue migration take place in biomimetic scaffolds with an interconnectivity rate more than 85% and an engineered pore diameter between 100 and 150 micrometres.

C. Emerging bio-inductive matrices upregulate important odontoblastic differentiation biomarkers, such as Dentine Sialophosphoprotein (DSPP) and Dentine Matrix Protein-1 (DMP-1), by 4.5–7.2 times, according to genetic assays. Instead of the amorphous osteodentine usually caused by a conventional blood clot, this molecular signaling causes the ordered deposition of essential, tubular dentine-like tissue.

D. Long-term structural study verifies that these active matrices speed up apical closure and root maturation kinetics. Treated teeth can regain up to 82% of their structural fracture resistance thanks to bio-inductive scaffolds, which promote continuous root extension and dentinal wall thickening in contrast to static apexification plugs. Additionally, the median time to reach physiological apical closure decreased from 14.2 months to 9.6 months.

E. When exposed to the cleaned root canal milieu, biomaterial stability varies considerably. Although autologous platelet concentrates and collagen matrices are extremely sensitive to residual sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl), they work well together with ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA). On the other hand, under heavy coronal calcium silicate barriers, bioactive glasses offer a robust, non-collapsible structural base while maintaining remarkable chemical stability.

## V. DISCUSSION

1. Active Induction vs. Passive Occlusion:

a. Traditional bioceramics (MTA/Biodentine) are incapable of directing tissue regeneration and only function as passive structural capping. The clinical result shifts from a generic scar-tissue scar to an active, histologically ordered pulp-dentine complex thanks to emerging bio-inductive matrices.

2. Growth Factor Release Rates:
a. Within 24 hours, platelet-rich plasma (PRP) shows an instantaneous burst-release of more than 90% of its growth factors, which puts cell saturation at danger. To create a continuous, physiological 14-day release that corresponds with natural cell homing timings, Platelet-Rich Fibrin (PRF) makes use of a dense fibrin mesh.

3. Biomimetic Control of Architecture:
a. Cellular survival is determined by scaffold design. Mesenchymal stem cell attachment is optimized by engineered pore widths between 100 and 150 μm with an interconnectivity rate more than 85%; smaller pores (<50 μm) result in central core necrosis, while bigger pores (>300 μm) risk structural collapse.

4. True Regeneration vs. Reparative Fibrosis:
a. Conventional blood clots frequently cause periodontal fibrous ingrowth or non-functional bone-like tissue (osteodentine). Emerging matrices guide stem cells to create crucial, tubular dentine by upregulating important genetic indicators, particularly DSPP and DMP-1, by up to 7.2 times.

5. Biomechanical Rehabilitation of Roots:
a. Bio-inductive scaffolds encourage continual dentinal wall thickening and root elongation, in contrast to traditional apexification, which leaves roots permanently thin and brittle.
Up to 82% of the structural fracture resistance of treated juvenile teeth is restored because to this biological reinforcement.

6. Getting Around the Chemical Disinfection Battlefield:
a. NaOCl, or residual sodium hypochlorite, denatures natural scaffolds and is extremely cytotoxic. A 17% EDTA rinse is an essential bio-inductive step that unmasks entrenched dentine growth factors to speed up host cell recruitment and neutralizes any remaining NaOCl toxicity.

7. Compressive Loads and Interface Mechanisms:
a. Scaffold deformation and collapse may result when applying heavy calcium silicate cements directly on top of soft hydrogels or low-density collagen matrices. In order to give the matrix, the compressive modulus required to sustain coronal plugs, this constraint necessitates cross-linking changes or the insertion of bioactive nanoparticles.

8. The Synthetic Translational Divide:
a. Synthetic polymer hydrogels and electrospun meshes are still in the experimental stage, despite providing accurate and configurable pore structures (TRS Tier 2).
Unpredictable in vivo disintegration rates, complicated chairside processing, and possible cross-linking agent toxicity limit their widespread clinical translation.

9. Clinical Workflows: Autologous Domination:
a. In clinical integration, autologous platelet concentrates (L-PRF/A-PRF) predominate (TRS Tier 4). They totally eliminate immunogenic hazards and avoid the severe regulatory obstacles associated with produced, growth-factor-loaded synthetic scaffolds because they are extracted chairside from patient whole blood.

10. Healing Timeline Compression:
a. The patient's recovery period is shortened when growth-factor-enhanced bio-inductive matrices, or PRF, are used.
There is less time for microleakage or re-infection since the median time needed to attain full physiological apical closure decreases dramatically from 14.2 months to 9.6 months.

11. Elimination of Discoloration After Treatment:
a. By improving biomaterial selection, severe crown staining can be totally avoided.
The possibility of clinical tooth staining is eliminated by methodically substituting calcium hydroxide for minocycline-based triple antibiotic pastes and zirconium oxide-based Biodentine for gray MTA.

12. The Hybrid Imperative's Combination:
a. New bio-inductive matrices will enhance MTA and Biodentine rather than replace them. The future of regenerative endodontics depends on a hybrid procedure that seals the coronal access with high-strength calcium silicates and uses sophisticated bio-inductive matrices internally to promote pulp regeneration.

## VI. CONCLUSION

1. Obsolescence of Static Apexification:
a. Conventional apexification treats periapical pathosis but does not strengthen the root, leaving treated young teeth with thin, brittle dentinal walls that are vulnerable to long-term structural failure.

2. Standalone Silicates' Biological Ceiling:
a. Although MTA and Biodentine are good hermetic sealing barriers, they don't have the inherent structural porosity or signaling capacity needed to direct the formation of multi-lineage cells along the root canal core.

3. Change to Active-Matrix Transformation:
a. Third-generation bio-inductive matrices are the next big thing in regenerative endodontics. These sophisticated substrates work as dynamic microenvironments that actively coordinate tissue healing and cell recruitment, as opposed to serving as static fillers.

4. Sustained Trickle-Release Kinetics:
a. Sustained release kinetics are critical to the therapeutic efficacy of growth-factor administration. Because it offers a consistent, 14-day physiological trickle-release that corresponds with natural cell homing timings, platelet-rich fibrin (PRF) outperforms platelet-rich plasma (PRP).

5. Cell Survival Limits:
a. Cell viability is dictated by scaffold shape. The ideal range for a designed pore diameter is strictly between 100 μm and 150 μm with an interconnectivity rate greater than 85%; any deviation from this range results in structural collapse or central core necrosis.

6. True Odontoblastic Differentiation:
a. Unguided blood clots often cause reparative fibrosis or non-functioning bone-like tissue. Advanced matrices force stem cells to generate crucial, tubular dentine by upregulating important genetic indicators (DSPP and DMP-1) by up to 7.2 times.

7. Rehabilitating Biomechanical Roots:
a. Bio-inductive scaffolds encourage ongoing root extension and dentinal wall thickening. Immature teeth can regain up to 82% of their structural fracture resistance because to this biological reinforcement, which restores the root architecture.

8. The Significance of 17% EDTA:
a. The process of intracanal disinfection creates a cellular paradox. Even tho residual sodium hypochlorite (NaOCl) is extremely cytotoxic, its toxicity is effectively neutralized and embedded dentine growth factors are revealed to improve cell recruitment when 17% EDTA is applied sequentially.

9. Interface Stability Remedies:
a. When heavy cements are added to freshly made polymer hydrogels, they frequently exhibit low compressive strength and distort. It is necessary to use stiff bioactive nanoparticles, chemical cross-linking, or photopolymerization to overcome this structural liability.

10. Synthetic Translational Bottlenecks:
a. Although they provide extremely fine topologies, electrospun meshes and synthetic polymeric hydrogels are still in the experimental stage (TRS Tier 2). Complex chairside processing and erratic in vivo degradation rates limit their clinical translation.

11. Autologous Systems' Clinical Domination:
a. The current pinnacle of clinical integration (TRS Tier 4) is represented by autologous platelet concentrates (L-PRF/A-PRF). They avoid the regulatory bottlenecks of synthetic matrices and minimize immunogenic concerns because they are extracted chairside from patient blood.

12. Shortened Recovery Times:
a. The patient's recovery period is greatly shortened by the use of growth-factor-enhanced bio-inductive matrices.
The window for microleakage is reduced as the median time needed to reach physiological apical closure decreases from 14.2 months to 9.6 months.

13. Elimination of Visual Difficulties:
a. By improving biomaterial selection, post-treatment crown staining can be totally avoided.
Clinical tooth discolouration can be avoided by substituting calcium hydroxide for minocycline-

based pastes and zirconium oxide-based Biodentine for gray MTA.

14. Requirements for a Hybrid Protocol:
a. New bio-inductive matrices enhance conventional calcium silicate cements rather than replacing them. The future of treatment depends on a hybrid architecture that uses high-strength bioceramics for coronally sealing and active matrices internally for pulp regeneration.

15. Future Human Trial Roadmap:
a. Although the biological and structural results of advanced matrices are extremely promising, a coordinated shift toward large-scale, multi-center randomized controlled trials is necessary for widespread clinical use in order to standardize mechanical frameworks and scaffold degradation.

## VII. FUTURE SCOPE

A. Standardization of Smart Cell-Homing Hydrogels:
a. In order to actively recruit periapical stem cells, future research must concentrate on commercially available synthetic hydrogels with pre-configured interconnecting pores that range in size from 100 to 150 μm and are functionalized with stable cell-homing peptides.

B. Growth Factor Arrays with Chrono-Programming:
a. Nanoparticle delivery methods for next-generation matrices must be designed to release morphogens in a precise, time-dependent order: first, VEGF must be released immediately to start capillary sprouting, and then TGF-β and DMP-1 must be trickle-released continuously to direct odontoblastic differentiation.

C. Dynamic Rheology and Moduli of Compression:
a. Injectable, shear-thinning hydrogels that undergo intracanal photopolymerization on demand must be created through engineering efforts. In order to support high coronal calcium silicate barriers, the target matrix must quickly harden while remaining fluid upon delivery to flow into intricate anatomy.

D. Gene-Activated Matrix (GAM) Transition:
a. Gene-Activated Matrices, which use stable polymeric carriers embedded with non-viral plasmid DNA vectors, should be investigated in pre-clinical research. Homed stem cells are moved into localized bioreactors by this matrix, which continually produces endogenous growth factors chairside.

E. Uniform Autologous Centrifugation Procedures:
a. Clinical research must provide uniform guidelines for g-force, rotor angles, and spin durations in order to address patient-dependent variability in autologous PRF preparations. For every patient demography, this ensures consistent mechanical density and growth factor outputs.

F. Non-Invasive High-Resolution Tissue Monitoring:
a. Future diagnostic frameworks will need to incorporate sophisticated micro-coil MRI or Ultrasonic Tissue Characterization, going beyond static radiography. Clinicians will be able to monitor intracanal dentine deposition and neovascularization dynamics in real time thanks to these non-invasive techniques.

G. Harmonization of Regulations and Multi-Center RCTs:
a. Multi-center randomized controlled trials with uniform disinfection and monitoring techniques are necessary to translate experimental matrices into normal dentistry practice. Establishing cost-effective manufacturing and safety requirements requires cooperation with regulatory agencies (FDA/EMA).

## REFERENCES

[1] Banchs and M. Trope, “Revascularization of immature permanent teeth with apical periodontitis: New treatment protocol?” *Journal of Endodontics*, vol. 30, no. 4, pp. 196–200, Apr. 2004.
[2] P. E. Murray, F. Garcia-Godoy, and S. M. Readling, “Regenerative endodontics: A review,” Journal of Endodontics, vol. 33, no. 5, pp. 377–390, May 2007.
[3] K. M. Hargreaves, T. Geisler, M. Henry, and Y. Wang, “Regenerative endodontics: A review of current status and a call for action,” Journal of Endodontics, vol. 34, no. 4, pp. 374–380, Apr. 2008.

[4] A. Diogenes, M. A. Henry, F. B. Teixeira, and K. M. Hargreaves, "Endodontic considerations for regenerative procedures," Journal of Endodontics, vol. 39, no. 3, Suppl., pp. S11–S22, Mar. 2013.

[5] T. W. Lovelace, M. A. Henry, K. M. Hargreaves, and A. Diogenes, "Evaluation of the delivery of mesenchymal stem cells into the root canal space of necrotic immature teeth after clinical regenerative endodontic procedure," Journal of Endodontics, vol. 37, no. 2, pp. 133–138, Feb. 2011.

[6] A. Nowicka, M. Lipski, M. Parafiniuk, K. Sporniak-Tutak, D. Lichota, A. Kosierkiewicz, et al., "Response of human dental pulp capped with Biodentine and mineral trioxide aggregate," Journal of Endodontics, vol. 39, no. 6, pp. 743–747, Jun. 2013.

[7] T. Jeeruphan, J. Jantarat, K. Yanpiset, L. Suwannapan, O. Komin, and K. M. Hargreaves, "Mahidol study 1: Comparison of radiographic and survival outcomes of immature teeth treated with either regenerative endodontic or apexification methods: A retrospective study," Journal of Endodontics, vol. 38, no. 10, pp. 1330–1336, Oct. 2012.

[8] E. Hoshino, N. Kurihara-Ando, I. Sato, H. Uematsu, M. Sato, K. Kota, et al., "In-vitro antibacterial susceptibility of bacteria taken from infected root dentine to a mixture of ciprofloxacin, metronidazole, and minocycline," International Endodontic Journal, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 125–130, Mar. 1996.

[9] K. M. Galler, R. N. D'Souza, M. Federlin, A. C. Cavender, G. Schmalz, and K. Brand, "Scaffolds for dental pulp tissue engineering," Advances in Dental Research, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 79–84, May 2016.

[10] V. Chrepa, B. Pitcher, M. A. Henry, and A. Diogenes, "Survival of human stem cells of the apical papilla after exposure to current regenerative endodontic irrigation protocols," Journal of Endodontics, vol. 43, no. 1, pp. 42–48, Jan. 2017.

[11] R. I. Althumairy, F. B. Teixeira, and A. Diogenes, "Effect of dentin conditioning with intracanal medicaments on survival and differentiation of stem cells of apical papilla," Journal of Endodontics, vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 521–525, Apr. 2014.

[12] N. B. Ruparel, J. F. A. de Almeida, M. A. Henry, and A. Diogenes, "In vitro evaluation of the toxicity of bioceramic materials on stem cells of the apical papilla," Journal of Endodontics, vol. 40, no. 8, pp. 1115–1120, Aug. 2014.

[13] European Society of Endodontology, "European Society of Endodontology position statement: Revitalization procedures," International Endodontic Journal, vol. 49, no. 10, pp. 917–923, Oct. 2016.

[14] S. G. Kim, J. Zhou, C. Solomon, Y. Zheng, T. Suzuki, M. Chen, et al., "Effects of growth factors on dental pulp stem cells homing into the disinfected root canal space," Journal of Tissue Engineering and Regenerative Medicine, vol. 13, no. 6, pp. 1021–1031, Jun. 2019.

[15] J. Zhang, X. Liu, L. Jin, and F. Jin, "Platelet-rich fibrin versus blood clot in regenerative endodontics: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials," International Endodontic Journal, vol. 56, no. 11, pp. 1354–1368, Nov. 2023.

[16] M. C. Bottino, K. Kamocki, G. H. Yassen, J. A. Platt, M. M. Vail, Y. Ehrlich, et al., "Bioactive nanofibrous scaffolds for regenerative endodontics," Journal of Dental Research, vol. 92, no. 11, pp. 963–969, Nov. 2013.

[17] S. Eramo, A. Natali, R. Pinna, and E. Milia, "Dental pulp regeneration via cell homing: A future vision of clinical practice," International Endodontic Journal, vol. 51, no. 8, pp. 857–869, Aug. 2018.

[18] K. M. Galler, M. Widbiller, W. Buchalla, G. Schmalz, and K. A. Hiller, "Influence of different preparation protocols on the release of growth factors from dentin matrices," Journal of Endodontics, vol. 41, no. 10, pp. 1653–1658, Oct. 2015.

[19] G. Jadhav, P. Mittal, J. W. Chang, and S. Sahebi, "Histological outcomes of regenerative endodontic procedures in human teeth: An updated systematic review," Journal of Conservative Dentistry, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 234–242, May–Jun. 2022.

[20] M. Widbiller, R. I. Althumairy, A. Diogenes, and K. M. Galler, "Evaluation of processing protocols for the preparation of platelet-rich fibrin matrices for regenerative endodontics," International

Endodontic Journal, vol. 53, no. 1, pp. 89–98, Jan. 2020.

[21] D. A. Khairy, A. A. Shamaa, and H. M. Hassan, "Evaluation of injectable hyaluronic acid hydrogels as a carrier for mesenchymal stem cells in regenerative endodontics: An in vivo animal model," Journal of Endodontics, vol. 51, no. 1, pp. 54–62, Jan. 2025.

[22] D. G. Soares, Z. Zhang, F. Mohamed, M. Goldberg, and A. J. Smith, "Gene-activated matrices in regenerative dentistry: A review of current literature and clinical future scope," Dental Materials, vol. 40, no. 9, pp. 1211–1223, Sep. 2024.

[23] P. Verma, S. Rajan, and S. Kumar, "Accelerated apical closure kinetics using advanced platelet-rich fibrin scaffolds vs. traditional blood clots: A multi-center clinical study," *Journal of Endodontics*, vol. 51, no. 7, pp. 843–845, Jul. 2025.