

Self-Reliance in Defence Production: An Indispensable Essential

Col. VKS Chauhan

Group Commander, NCC Group Headquarters, Madurai

Abstract—Self-reliance in defence production is an essential component of national security, strategic autonomy, and long-term economic development. Modern armed forces require sophisticated weapons, equipment, technology, and maintenance support, making defence procurement a complex and financially demanding process. Excessive dependence on imported defence equipment exposes nations to supply disruptions, export restrictions, technological limitations, and geopolitical pressures. The concept of self-reliance has therefore evolved from complete self-sufficiency towards the development of indigenous capabilities in design, research, production, maintenance, and modernisation, while permitting the judicious import of essential technologies and equipment.

This paper examines the significance of self-reliance in defence production, the limitations of import dependence, and the major socio-economic and strategic benefits of indigenous defence manufacturing. It also discusses the evolution of India's defence production approach, the importance of private-sector participation, technology development, joint design and production, and defence exports. The study adopts a qualitative, descriptive methodology based on secondary sources, including the supplied reference material, government policy documents, and published institutional reports. The paper argues that self-reliance in defence production should be treated not merely as a procurement objective but as a comprehensive national development strategy involving government, industry, research institutions, educational institutions, and skilled human resources. A balanced approach combining indigenous innovation, international cooperation, and selective imports can strengthen India's strategic autonomy and contribute to economic growth, employment generation, and technological advancement.

Index Terms—Self-reliance, Defence production, Strategic autonomy, Indigenous technology, Defence manufacturing, National security, Atmanirbhar Bharat.

I. INTRODUCTION

National security depends upon a country's ability to protect its sovereignty, territorial integrity, and national interests. Military power is one of the important components of national security, alongside economic power, political power, and diplomacy. A credible military capability requires modern weapons, equipment, communication systems, infrastructure, and trained personnel. As defence technology becomes increasingly sophisticated, the availability of reliable equipment and maintenance support has become a critical strategic requirement.

Defence equipment may be procured from indigenous public-sector and private-sector sources or obtained through imports. However, defence procurement involves not only the initial acquisition cost but also expenditure on operation, maintenance, training, modernisation, and replacement. The total life-cycle cost of imported equipment can be substantial. Furthermore, the availability of imported weapons and spare parts may depend upon the political and strategic decisions of the supplying country. Export controls and technology restrictions can create vulnerabilities for the importing nation.

India's experience demonstrates the importance of developing indigenous defence capabilities. Although complete self-sufficiency in every category of defence equipment may not be economically feasible, excessive dependence on imports can affect strategic autonomy and national security. Consequently, self-reliance in defence production has emerged as a more practical and comprehensive objective.

II. CONCEPTUAL UNDERSTANDING OF SELF-RELIANCE IN DEFENCE PRODUCTION

2.1 Meaning of Self-Reliance

Self-reliance in defence production refers to the ability of a nation to develop and maintain the necessary indigenous capabilities to meet a substantial proportion of its defence requirements. It includes the capacity to design, research, develop, manufacture, maintain, upgrade, and modernise defence equipment. The concept differs from complete self-sufficiency. Complete self-sufficiency implies the ability to

manufacture all required defence equipment domestically. However, the complexity of modern defence technology makes such an objective difficult and, in some cases, economically impractical. Self-reliance therefore emphasises maximum feasible indigenous capability while allowing selective and judicious imports where necessary.

The supplied paper describes self-reliance as an objective aimed at achieving maximum autonomy in indigenous design, development, and production of required categories of weapons systems for national defence purposes.

2.2 Self-Sufficiency and Self-Reliance: A Distinction

Self-Sufficiency	Self-Reliance
Emphasises complete domestic production.	Emphasises maximum feasible indigenous capability.
Seeks to eliminate imports entirely.	Permits selective and judicious imports.
Focuses mainly on production capacity.	Includes design, R&D, production, maintenance, and modernisation.
May be difficult to achieve in all defence categories.	Provides a more practical and sustainable strategic objective.
Prioritises quantity and domestic availability.	Prioritises autonomy, capability, technology, and resilience.

III. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

The study has the following objectives:

1. To examine the importance of self-reliance in defence production.
2. To understand the limitations and risks associated with excessive import dependence.
3. To analyse the evolution of India's approach from self-sufficiency towards self-reliance.
4. To examine the role of indigenous technology, research and development, and industrial participation.
5. To identify the socio-economic and strategic benefits of defence indigenisation.
6. To suggest measures for strengthening India's self-reliance in defence production.

IV. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

4.1 Research Design

The study adopts a qualitative and descriptive research design. It examines the concept, significance, and policy dimensions of self-reliance in defence production through a review of secondary information.

4.2 Sources of Data

The study is based on:

- The supplied reference paper, *Self Reliance in Defence Production: An Indispensable Essential*.
- Government policy documents and defence-related reports cited in the supplied material.
- Institutional publications and secondary literature relating to defence production and strategic autonomy.

4.3 Method of Analysis

The information was analysed thematically under the following dimensions:

1. National security and strategic autonomy.
2. Import dependence and life-cycle costs.
3. Indigenous design and technological capability.
4. Industrial participation and defence manufacturing.
5. Socio-economic benefits.
6. Policy measures and future priorities.

4.4 Scope of the Study

The study focuses primarily on the conceptual and strategic importance of self-reliance in India's defence

production sector. It does not attempt to provide a comprehensive statistical assessment of all defence procurement programmes or a detailed evaluation of individual weapon systems.

4.5 Limitations

The study is based mainly on secondary sources. Therefore, the findings are interpretive and descriptive rather than based on primary field surveys or econometric analysis. Some numerical statements in the supplied material are retained as reported historical figures and should not be treated as independently verified current statistics.

V. EVOLUTION OF SELF-RELIANCE IN INDIA'S DEFENCE PRODUCTION

For several decades after independence, Indian defence policy emphasised self-sufficiency in defence production. The objective was to develop the capacity to manufacture sufficient equipment for the armed forces. However, the increasing complexity of military technology and the limitations of domestic industrial capability made complete self-sufficiency difficult to achieve.

The policy approach gradually evolved towards self-reliance. This involved increasing indigenous production, developing domestic technological capabilities, and importing essential high-end equipment where necessary. The supplied paper notes that the objective of achieving approximately 70% indigenous defence production, envisioned for 2005 and later revised to 2010, remained elusive.

The experience of the past several decades has highlighted the need for a broader defence industrial ecosystem. Such an ecosystem must include design, research and development, manufacturing, maintenance, upgrading, and export capabilities.

VI. IMPORT DEPENDENCE AND ITS CHALLENGES

6.1 Strategic Vulnerability

Dependence on foreign suppliers can create strategic vulnerabilities. A supplying country may restrict the export of defence equipment or spare parts based on its political and military considerations. Such restrictions may not always correspond to the interests of the importing nation.

6.2 Maintenance and Spare-Part Dependence

Imported equipment requires continuous maintenance and the availability of spare parts. In many cases, only limited quantities of spares are procured along with the original equipment. As equipment remains in service, spare support may decline because production lines are closed or diverted to newer products. Indigenous maintenance capability is therefore a key element of self-reliance.

6.3 Financial Burden

Defence imports involve substantial expenditure not only at the time of acquisition but also throughout the equipment's operational life. Maintenance, training, upgrades, and modernisation add to the total life-cycle cost. Greater indigenous capability can help reduce certain recurring costs and improve the efficiency of defence expenditure.

6.4 Technology Restrictions

Advanced defence technologies are often subject to export controls and technology restrictions. Importing equipment without acquiring adequate technological knowledge may limit the ability of the recipient country to modify, upgrade, or independently maintain the system.

6.5 Supply-Chain Disruptions

International conflicts, geopolitical tensions, sanctions, and changes in foreign policy can disrupt defence supply chains. Indigenous production and diversified sources of supply can reduce such risks.

VII. MAJOR DIMENSIONS OF SELF-RELIANCE IN DEFENCE PRODUCTION

7.1 Indigenous Research and Development

Research and development is the foundation of technological self-reliance. Indigenous R&D enables the development of defence technologies suited to the country's specific geographical, climatic, and operational requirements.

7.2 Design and Development Capability

True self-reliance requires more than assembling imported components. It requires domestic capability in system design, engineering, testing, integration, and innovation. Design capability provides greater autonomy in upgrading and adapting equipment.

7.3 Manufacturing Capability

Domestic manufacturing strengthens the defence industrial base and creates opportunities for both public-sector and private-sector enterprises. It also supports the development of specialised suppliers and ancillary industries.

7.4 Maintenance, Repair, and Upgradation

Indigenous maintenance and repair capability reduces dependence on foreign suppliers and improves equipment availability. Local expertise is particularly important for extending the service life of existing defence systems.

7.5 Human Resource Development

Self-reliance depends upon skilled scientists, engineers, technicians, managers, and trained personnel. Educational institutions and training organisations have an important role in developing the human resources required for defence manufacturing and technology development.

7.6 Defence Exports

A strong domestic defence industry can contribute to defence exports. Export capability can expand production volumes, improve industrial competitiveness, and generate foreign exchange. The supplied paper highlights the importance of a viable strategy for defence exports and export-related incentives.

VIII. ROLE OF GOVERNMENT AND PRIVATE SECTOR

The government plays a central role in creating an enabling environment for defence production through policy, procurement, infrastructure, research support, and institutional coordination.

The supplied paper identifies several important developments, including the sharing of the Technology Perspective and Capability Roadmap (TPCR) with the private sector, increased private-sector participation, and encouragement of joint design, development, and production collaborations.

8.1 Public-Sector Participation

Public-sector defence organisations have traditionally played an important role in defence production. Their experience, infrastructure, and technological

capabilities provide a foundation for indigenous manufacturing.

8.2 Private-Sector Participation

Private enterprises can contribute through innovation, specialised manufacturing, supply-chain development, and investment in advanced technologies. Greater private-sector participation can improve competition and expand the industrial base.

8.3 Joint Ventures and Technology Partnerships

Joint ventures and technology partnerships can help bridge technological gaps. However, such collaborations should aim to develop genuine domestic capability rather than merely increasing dependence on imported technology.

8.4 Government Procurement Policy

Defence procurement policy should encourage indigenous design, development, production, maintenance, and export capability. Procurement decisions should consider not only the initial purchase price but also long-term strategic and economic benefits.

IX. SOCIO-ECONOMIC AND STRATEGIC BENEFITS

9.1 Reduction in Fiscal Pressure

The supplied paper identifies the reduction of defence import dependence as a means of reducing pressure on the fiscal deficit. It also highlights the potential for indigenous defence technology and equipment to support exports.

9.2 Strengthening National Security

Indigenous defence capability helps ensure the availability of essential equipment and technology during emergencies. It also supports technological expertise and innovation, which are important for national security.

9.3 Employment Generation

Defence manufacturing can generate direct employment in production facilities and indirect employment through ancillary industries, logistics, maintenance, and research. The supplied paper reports government estimates that a reduction of 20–25% in

defence-related imports could create approximately 100,000–120,000 highly skilled jobs in India.

9.4 Industrial Development

Defence production can stimulate the growth of supporting industries such as electronics, engineering, software, metallurgy, precision manufacturing, and advanced materials.

9.5 Technological Innovation

Defence R&D can generate technological spillovers that benefit civilian industries. Innovations developed for defence applications may contribute to sectors such as aerospace, communications, electronics, and transportation.

9.6 Strategic Autonomy

Self-reliance enhances a nation's ability to make independent strategic decisions. Indigenous technology and production capability reduce the influence of external suppliers and strengthen national sovereignty.

9.7 International Standing

A strong defence industrial base can enhance India's position among major global powers. It can also support defence diplomacy and international cooperation.

X. CHALLENGES TO ACHIEVING SELF-RELIANCE

Despite its importance, self-reliance in defence production faces several challenges.

10.1 Technological Gaps

Advanced defence technologies require substantial investment in research, testing, and development. Bridging technological gaps is a long-term process.

10.2 High Research and Development Costs

Defence R&D involves high costs and long development cycles. Projects may face technical risks, delays, and cost overruns.

10.3 Limited Industrial Capacity

The production of sophisticated defence equipment requires specialised infrastructure, skilled labour, and reliable supply chains.

10.4 Coordination Challenges

Effective coordination among government departments, armed forces, research institutions, public-sector organisations, private enterprises, and educational institutions is essential.

10.5 Need for Continuous Modernisation

Defence technology changes rapidly. Indigenous production must therefore be supported by continuous innovation and modernisation.

10.6 Balancing Imports and Indigenous Production

India may not be able to manufacture every category of defence equipment economically. Therefore, the challenge is to balance indigenous development with selective imports while ensuring long-term strategic autonomy.

XI. SUGGESTIONS FOR STRENGTHENING SELF-RELIANCE

The following measures may help strengthen India's defence production ecosystem:

1. Increase investment in defence R&D through sustained funding and long-term research programmes.
2. Promote indigenous design and development rather than focusing only on domestic assembly.
3. Strengthen public-private partnerships in research, manufacturing, and maintenance.
4. Encourage joint design and production collaborations with suitable international partners.
5. Develop a strong defence supply chain involving MSMEs and specialised industries.
6. Improve testing and certification infrastructure to reduce delays in defence production.
7. Promote skill development in engineering, electronics, aerospace, and advanced manufacturing.
8. Encourage defence exports through appropriate policy support and quality standards.
9. Develop indigenous maintenance and upgrade capabilities for existing defence equipment.
10. Ensure stable and transparent procurement policies that provide long-term confidence to industry.
11. Promote innovation and technology transfer through research institutions and industry partnerships.

12. Adopt a balanced approach that combines self-reliance with selective imports where economically and strategically necessary.

XII. FINDINGS OF THE STUDY

The study identifies the following major findings:

1. Self-reliance in defence production is essential for national security and strategic autonomy.
2. Excessive import dependence creates financial, technological, and geopolitical vulnerabilities.
3. Complete self-sufficiency is difficult to achieve in all categories of defence equipment.
4. Indigenous design, research, development, and maintenance are central to genuine self-reliance.
5. Private-sector participation can strengthen India's defence industrial ecosystem.
6. Defence production has significant employment and industrial-development potential.
7. Indigenous defence capability can contribute to technological innovation and export growth.
8. A comprehensive and long-term policy approach is required to achieve sustainable self-reliance.

XIII. CONCLUSION

Self-reliance in defence production is not merely an industrial or procurement objective; it is a strategic necessity. A nation's ability to protect its sovereignty and national interests depends significantly on the availability of reliable defence equipment, indigenous technological capability, and resilient supply chains. India's experience shows that complete self-sufficiency may not be practical in every category of defence production. However, the development of maximum feasible indigenous capability in design, research, manufacturing, maintenance, and modernisation can substantially reduce strategic vulnerabilities.

The future of India's defence production depends upon the coordinated efforts of the government, armed forces, public-sector organisations, private enterprises, research institutions, and educational institutions. A balanced approach combining indigenous innovation, international cooperation, and selective imports can strengthen strategic autonomy while contributing to employment generation, industrial development, and technological advancement.

As the supplied paper concludes, self-reliance is an essential national priority and must remain at the heart of India's defence and national development agenda.

REFERENCES

- [1] Henrik Ibsen Quotes. (n.d.). Henrik Ibsen quotes. Quotes.net.
- [2] Ministry of Defence. (2018). Technology Perspective and Capability Roadmap (TPCR) 2026. Government of India.
- [3] Ministry of Defence. (2020, August 27). Defence Minister's tweet on the event of Atmanirbhar Bharat—Defence Industry Outreach Webinar. Government of India. Ministry of Defence. (2026). Report of the Committee of Experts for Amendment to DPP-2013 including Formulation of Policy Framework. Government of India. Stockholm International Peace
- [4] Research Institute. (2020). SIPRI Yearbook 2020: Armaments, disarmament and international security—Summary.