

Global Citizenship Education (GCED) In Indian Higher Education: Prospects and Challenges

Garima Singh¹, Dr. Dinesh Kumar²

¹Senior Research Fellow, Department of Education, University of Lucknow, U.P, India

²Professor, Department of Education, University of Lucknow, U.P, India

Abstract—Global Citizenship Education (GCED) has gained increasing importance in higher education as societies become more interconnected and face shared challenges related to sustainability, human rights, social justice, cultural diversity, and peace. In India, the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 emphasizes holistic and multidisciplinary education, ethical values, constitutional principles, and the development of responsible citizens. It also recognizes the importance of preparing learners to contribute to global well-being while remaining rooted in Indian cultural and intellectual traditions. The present paper examines the prospects and challenges of implementing GCED in Indian higher education through a qualitative, conceptual analysis of policy documents and relevant literature. It explores the potential of GCED to promote critical thinking, intercultural understanding, global awareness, ethical responsibility, sustainability consciousness, and active civic engagement among university students. The paper also identifies challenges associated with curriculum integration, faculty preparedness, educational inequalities, linguistic and cultural diversity, institutional resources, and the tension between global perspectives and local contexts. Drawing on UNESCO's framework and Indian educational policy, the paper proposes strategies for integrating GCED through interdisciplinary curricula, experiential learning, community engagement, international collaboration, and faculty development. It argues that effective GCED should connect global concerns with local realities and Indian constitutional values rather than treating global citizenship as a replacement for national citizenship. The paper concludes that meaningful integration of GCED can contribute to a more inclusive, socially responsible, culturally responsive, and globally connected higher education system in India.

Index Terms—Global Citizenship Education, Indian higher education, NEP 2020, global citizenship, sustainability, intercultural competence, higher education policy

I. INTRODUCTION

Higher education in the twenty-first century extends beyond the acquisition of disciplinary knowledge and professional qualifications. Universities are increasingly expected to prepare learners to understand complex global issues, engage constructively with cultural differences, uphold human rights, and contribute to peaceful and sustainable societies. Globalisation, technological developments, environmental concerns, international migration, economic interdependence, and digital communication have strengthened connections between local and global communities. Consequently, higher education institutions have an important role in preparing students to understand these relationships and respond responsibly to shared challenges.

Global Citizenship Education (GCED) has emerged as an educational approach that seeks to develop the knowledge, skills, values, and attitudes required for responsible participation in an interconnected world. According to UNESCO (2015), GCED aims to empower learners to contribute to more inclusive, just, peaceful, and sustainable societies. It encompasses three interconnected learning domains: cognitive, socio-emotional, and behavioural. These domains address the development of critical understanding, a sense of shared humanity and respect for diversity, and the capacity to take responsible action.

In the Indian context, GCED has particular significance because of the country's cultural diversity, constitutional commitments, democratic traditions, and growing participation in global educational, scientific, economic, and technological networks. India is home to numerous languages, religions, cultural traditions, and social communities. This diversity provides opportunities for developing

intercultural understanding, dialogue, cooperation, and mutual respect within educational institutions.

The National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 provides an important policy context for incorporating global citizenship into Indian education. The policy emphasizes holistic and multidisciplinary learning, ethical values, constitutional principles, critical thinking, and the development of responsible individuals. It also advocates educational experiences that enable learners to understand India's knowledge traditions while engaging with contemporary national and global challenges.

Recognising this policy direction, the University Grants Commission (UGC) has developed an *Educational Framework for Global Citizenship in Higher Education*. The framework seeks to provide conceptual guidance to higher education institutions on integrating global citizenship through teaching, pedagogy, and research. It identifies the knowledge, skills, values, and dispositions that learners require to become responsible global citizens (UGC, 2021).

Nevertheless, translating policy objectives into meaningful educational practices presents several challenges. Global citizenship may remain an abstract concept if it is not connected with curriculum content, classroom practices, institutional culture, and students' lived experiences. Similarly, unequal access to educational resources, limited faculty preparation, and insufficient opportunities for intercultural engagement may constrain its implementation.

Against this background, the present paper examines the prospects and challenges of Global Citizenship Education in Indian higher education and suggests strategies for its meaningful integration into university teaching, learning, research, and community engagement.

II. REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE

Previous studies highlight the importance of Global Citizenship Education (GCED) in developing global awareness, intercultural understanding, social responsibility, critical thinking, and respect for human rights and diversity. Akçay et al. (2024) linked global citizenship with the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), emphasising equality, empathy, collaboration, and responsible action. Similarly, Aydin and Cinkaya (2018) highlighted the role of curriculum and teachers

in promoting cultural respect, democratic awareness, and global citizenship among university students.

Studies by Aktas et al. (2017) and Clifford and Montgomery (2014, 2017) argued that global citizenship in higher education should extend beyond international mobility and employability. They emphasised critical reflection, social justice, sustainability, and the inclusion of diverse and Indigenous knowledge systems. Horey et al. (2018) and Massaro (2022) also found that purposeful teaching, coursework, experiential learning, and reflection can support global citizenship development. However, they identified conceptual inconsistencies and gaps in research, particularly across diverse geographical and cultural contexts.

Research has also explored the relationship between GCED and sustainable development. Pachó (2020) highlighted the need to integrate GCED into institutional policies and curricula through learner-centred approaches. Witenstein et al. (2025) identified opportunities to connect GCED and Education for Sustainable Development through curriculum reform, faculty development, assessment, and community engagement. Bosio (2025) further emphasised critical awareness, reflective dialogue, social change, and context-sensitive approaches, particularly in the Global South.

In the Indian context, Gaur (2023) connected GCED with the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 and SDG 4, highlighting the potential of multidisciplinary education to promote cultural diversity, critical thinking, and social responsibility. The study also identified challenges such as fragmented knowledge, limited innovative teaching practices, and inequalities in educational access and quality. Zalli (2024) similarly emphasised the need to balance global perspectives with local cultures while addressing educational inequality and cultural differences.

The reviewed literature demonstrates the educational value of GCED but also highlights challenges related to curriculum integration, faculty preparedness, institutional support, and contextual relevance. Much of the existing research focuses on international or Western contexts, indicating a need for further investigation within Indian higher education. Therefore, the present study seeks to examine the prospects and challenges of GCED in India and explore ways to integrate global citizenship principles with Indian cultural values and the aims of NEP 2020.

III. NEED OF THE STUDY

In India, the need for GCED has gained importance due to the country's cultural diversity and its growing participation in global affairs. Indian higher education institutions have the potential to prepare students to address both local and global challenges while respecting their own cultural heritage and appreciating different perspectives. The National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 emphasises holistic and multidisciplinary education, ethical values, constitutional values, and the development of responsible citizens. These aims provide opportunities to integrate the principles of GCED into higher education. Furthermore, the University Grants Commission (UGC, 2021) has highlighted the importance of promoting global citizenship through curriculum development, teaching-learning practices, research, and experiential learning.

Therefore, the present study is needed to examine the prospects and challenges of Global Citizenship Education in Indian higher education. It seeks to understand the relevance of GCED in the Indian context, explore opportunities for its integration into higher education, and identify the barriers that may affect its effective implementation. The study may also help suggest strategies for incorporating GCED into curricula, pedagogy, institutional practices, and student experiences. It is expected to contribute to the development of an inclusive, culturally responsive, and globally oriented higher education system that prepares students to become responsible citizens at local, national, and global levels.

IV. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

1. To study the conceptual understanding and significance of Global Citizenship Education in Indian higher education.
2. To explore the major prospects of integrating GCED into higher education institutions.
3. To identify challenges in integrating GCED into higher education.

V. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The present research paper adopts a conceptual document analysis approach to examine Global Citizenship Education (GCED) in Indian higher

education, focusing on its prospects and challenges. The study draws upon relevant policy documents, academic literature, research articles, and reports, particularly the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 and the University Grants Commission (UGC, 2021) framework on Global Citizenship Education in higher education. The analysis also explores the alignment of GCED with cultural diversity, human rights, sustainability, and social responsibility. Based on this conceptual analysis, the paper identifies key areas for strengthening GCED in Indian higher education and suggests possible directions for its effective integration into curriculum and institutional practices.

VI. FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

Conceptual Understanding of Global Citizenship Education

Global Citizenship Education refers to an educational approach that develops learners' understanding of global interdependence and encourages them to act responsibly towards humanity, society, and the environment (UNESCO, 2015). GCED refers to an educational process that prepares learners to become responsible citizens who are rooted in their cultural and national identity while being aware of global interdependence and committed to addressing global challenges through ethical, inclusive, and sustainable action (NEP, 2020).

Global Citizenship Education (GCED) in higher education helps students understand global issues, respect different cultures, recognise diverse identities, and develop empathy and compassion for others. It encourages learners to contribute to a peaceful, inclusive, and sustainable society. GCED also focuses on human rights, sustainable development, gender equality, peace, non-violence, and environmental protection. The framework encourages higher education institutions to include GCED in their curriculum, teaching methods, research, and practical learning activities. It also helps students understand how local, national, and global issues are connected and develop a sense of shared responsibility towards humanity (UGC, 2021).

The need for Global Citizenship Education has increased with growing global interdependence, technological advancement, cultural diversity, environmental challenges, and rapid social and economic changes. Higher education institutions are

no longer expected to prepare students only for employment; they also need to develop individuals who can understand complex global issues, interact respectfully with diverse communities, and contribute responsibly to society.

Significance of Global Citizenship Education in Higher Education

Global Citizenship Education (GCED) is increasingly significant in higher education because contemporary challenges such as climate change, pandemics, migration, economic instability, and technological transformation transcend national boundaries. GCED enables learners to understand global interdependence and recognise the connections between local actions and their wider global consequences (UNESCO, 2015). It also promotes respect for cultural diversity by developing intercultural understanding, empathy, tolerance, and appreciation of differences among people from diverse linguistic, cultural, social, and national backgrounds.



Key Areas of Global Citizenship Education

In an era characterised by the rapid spread of both information and misinformation, GCED contributes to the development of critical thinking by encouraging students to evaluate sources, question assumptions, analyse multiple perspectives, and make informed decisions. Furthermore, it promotes human rights and dignity by fostering understanding of equality, justice, inclusion, human dignity, and individual and collective responsibilities towards others. GCED is also important for peacebuilding and conflict resolution, as

it develops skills such as dialogue, cooperation, empathy, negotiation, and peaceful resolution of differences. In relation to sustainable development, GCED encourages learners to develop sustainability consciousness and participate responsibly in addressing environmental degradation, climate change, biodiversity loss, and resource scarcity in alignment with the Sustainable Development Goals (UNESCO, 2015).

In the digital age, GCED further supports responsible digital citizenship by helping students use digital technologies critically, ethically, and responsibly while addressing challenges such as misinformation, cyberbullying, privacy concerns, hate speech, and digital inequality. It also contributes to preparation for the future world of work, as global workplaces increasingly demand communication, collaboration, adaptability, intercultural competence, and the ability to work effectively with diverse teams. In addition, GCED can strengthen democratic participation by encouraging learners to understand their rights and responsibilities and participate constructively in civic and social life. Importantly, in the Indian context, GCED can help learners remain rooted in Indian constitutional and cultural values while developing an awareness of global interdependence. Such an approach is consistent with the holistic, multidisciplinary, ethical, inclusive, and globally oriented vision of education articulated in NEP 2020.

In the Indian context, the idea can be connected particularly well with the ethos of “Vasudhaiva Kutumbakam”, meaning “*the world is one family*.” This perspective encourages learners to view humanity as an interconnected community and to develop empathy, respect, solidarity, and responsibility towards people irrespective of nationality, religion, culture, language, or social background (Kar, 2023). These values closely correspond with the socio-emotional dimension of GCED, which focuses on empathy, respect for diversity, a sense of belonging to a common humanity, and peaceful coexistence (UNESCO, 2015).

GCED is further reinforced by NEP 2020's emphasis on holistic and multidisciplinary education, constitutional values, responsible citizenship, sustainability, and preparing learners to engage with both national and global concerns. Thus, GCED can contribute to developing graduates who are culturally

rooted, socially responsible, critically informed, and capable of contributing to peaceful, inclusive, and sustainable societies.

Prospects of Global Citizenship Education

The prospects of Global Citizenship Education (GCED) in Indian higher education lie in its potential to develop responsible, empathetic, and globally aware citizens. It provides opportunities to integrate human rights, cultural diversity, sustainability, and social responsibility into curricula and teaching-learning practices. By aligning with NEP 2020, GCED can promote multidisciplinary learning and connect Indian cultural values with global challenges and responsibilities.

Alignment with NEP 2020

One of the major prospects for GCED in India is its compatibility with the educational vision of NEP 2020. Internationalization of higher education in India refers to incorporating international, intercultural, and global perspectives into the curriculum, research, and governance of higher education institutions. Its purpose is to develop global citizenship, intercultural understanding, and the knowledge and skills required to address the challenges of the twenty-first century (Joshi, 2023). The policy promotes holistic development, multidisciplinary education, critical thinking, ethical values, and engagement with India's cultural heritage and contemporary global developments (NEP, 2020).

GCED can support these objectives by encouraging students to examine issues from multiple perspectives and understand the relationship between local experiences and global processes.

For instance, students studying environmental science may investigate local water scarcity while examining global climate change. Similarly, students of economics may explore local employment challenges in relation to international trade and changing labour markets.

Such learning experiences can help students connect disciplinary knowledge with wider social responsibilities.

Promotion of Cultural Diversity and Intercultural Understanding

India's cultural and linguistic diversity offers a valuable foundation for GCED. Higher education

institutions bring together students from different regions, languages, social backgrounds, and cultural traditions. These interactions can provide opportunities to understand differences, challenge stereotypes, and develop respect for diverse perspectives.

GCED can strengthen these opportunities by incorporating comparative cultural studies, multilingual learning, intercultural dialogue, and collaborative projects. Cross-cultural exchanges help students develop open-mindedness, empathy, tolerance, respect, and intercultural communication skills by exposing them to different cultures, values, traditions, and perspectives. Such exchanges can reduce stereotypes and prejudice, encourage appreciation and preservation of cultural heritage, and stimulate creativity, innovation, and new approaches to problem-solving.

International educational programmes such as student exchanges, study-abroad programmes, and academic collaborations also promote global competence, research collaboration, networking, peace, diplomacy, and global citizenship. By bringing people from different backgrounds together, these programmes strengthen mutual understanding and cooperation while preparing learners to participate responsibly in an increasingly interconnected world (Zalli, 2024). Therefore, GCED in India should recognise cultural diversity as a resource for learning rather than treating difference as a barrier to social cohesion.

Promotion of Human Rights, Social Justice, and Ethical Responsibility

Higher education institutions have an important role in helping students understand human dignity, equality, justice, and democratic participating can provide opportunities to discuss discrimination, gender equality, social exclusion, accessibility, freedom of expression, and the responsibilities of individuals and institutions (Bajaj et al., 2016). Through case studies, classroom discussions, debates, and community-based projects, students can examine how social inequalities affect different communities and explore possible responses.

In a study it was found that students in the People's Watch programme learned about human rights issues from different parts of India and the world. National conferences brought together students and teachers from different regions. For many students, it was their

first opportunity to travel outside their village or state. Meeting students from different backgrounds helped them understand that the problems faced by others were also connected to their own lives. They developed a sense of solidarity and worked together to understand and address common problems (Bajaj et al., 2016). Integration of GCED can strengthen students' understanding of ethical responsibility and encourage them to consider the social implications of their academic and professional decisions.

Contribution to Sustainable Development

Sustainability is an important area for integrating GCED into higher education. Environmental challenges, resource scarcity, biodiversity loss, climate change, and unsustainable consumption require coordinated responses across communities and countries. GCED can help students understand how local decisions relate to broader environmental and social systems. It can also encourage participation in sustainability initiatives (Akçay et al., 2024). For instance, university students could undertake projects involving waste management, water conservation, renewable energy awareness, sustainable consumption, or biodiversity protection.

These activities connect academic learning with practical action and can contribute to the objectives of Sustainable Development Goal 4.7, which calls for learners to acquire the knowledge and skills needed to promote sustainable development, human rights, gender equality, a culture of peace, global citizenship, and appreciation of cultural diversity (Sharma, 2025).

UNDP's concept of sustainable human development extends beyond economic growth by incorporating human, cultural, and educational dimensions. The idea of global solidarity, emphasised by the United Nations, highlights the importance of cooperation and shared responsibility in addressing global challenges. These principles influenced UNESCO's 1998 World Declaration on Higher Education, which recognised education as a key instrument for building a more equitable, tolerant, responsible, and peaceful society. Thus, education plays a central role in transforming individual mindsets and promoting solidarity among nations (Gacel-Ávila, 2005).

Enhancement of Employability and Professional Competence

Contemporary workplaces increasingly involve collaboration among individuals from different cultural, linguistic, and professional backgrounds can contribute to the development of transferable competencies such as communication, teamwork, ethical decision-making, adaptability, intercultural understanding, and responsible digital participation. Transformative competencies include critical thinking, problem-solving, empathy, communication, collaboration, intercultural understanding, conflict resolution, and responsible decision-making. These competencies combine knowledge, skills, attitudes, and values to help individuals respond to complex social, environmental, cultural, and global challenges. They emphasise respect for human rights, cultural diversity, equality, sustainability, and democratic participation. Their development requires integration into curricula, active and participatory learning, teacher training, lifelong learning opportunities, and appropriate assessment methods. Particular attention is also needed to addressing inequalities, managing uncertainty, challenging unequal power relations, and incorporating indigenous and Global South perspectives into education (Helin, 2021).

Integration with Indian Knowledge Traditions

GCED in India offers an opportunity to connect global perspectives with Indian philosophical, ethical, cultural, and intellectual traditions. The significance of Indian philosophical principles such as Dharma (ethical duty), Ahimsa (non-violence), Satya (truth), and Nishkama Karma (selfless action) in fostering moral reasoning, emotional regulation, empathy, and civic responsibility (Modi & Barot, 2026). The integration of yoga, meditation, ethical education, and community-based service into educational curricula can strengthen students' self-discipline, cultural identity, constitutional values, and social engagement. The authors further emphasised that IKS-based value education complements the objectives of global citizenship education by connecting Indian cultural traditions with universal human values and sustainable development (Modi & Barot, 2026). This approach can help students develop a sense of cultural rootedness alongside an appreciation of global interdependence.

Challenges of Global Citizenship Education in Indian Higher Education

Despite its potential, integrating GCED into Indian higher education presents several challenges.

Limited Curriculum Integration

Limited curriculum integration is a potential barrier to the effective implementation of Global Citizenship Education (GCED) in higher education. When global citizenship themes are not systematically incorporated into course content, programme learning outcomes, teaching strategies, and assessment practices, students may have limited opportunities to develop global awareness, intercultural understanding, critical thinking, and social responsibility. Overloaded curricula, discipline-centred teaching practices, inadequate interdisciplinary coordination, and a lack of clearly defined GCED learning outcomes may further constrain its integration. Consequently, global citizenship may remain a peripheral educational concern rather than becoming an integral component of students' academic experiences. Addressing this challenge requires the systematic integration of GCED into existing disciplines, the introduction of dedicated interdisciplinary courses where appropriate, and the adoption of experiential and community-based learning approaches.

Faculty Preparedness

Faculty preparedness is an important consideration in the effective implementation of Global Citizenship Education (GCED) in higher education. Faculty members are responsible for translating the principles of global citizenship into meaningful teaching and learning experiences; however, their preparedness may be constrained by limited conceptual understanding, inadequate pedagogical training, and insufficient institutional support. Difficulties in integrating global issues into discipline-specific curricula and facilitating discussions on culturally and socially sensitive topics may further affect implementation.

Moreover, a lack of familiarity with participatory, experiential, and interdisciplinary pedagogies may restrict opportunities for students to develop critical thinking, intercultural understanding, empathy, and social responsibility. Strengthening faculty preparedness therefore requires continuous professional development, interdisciplinary collaboration, access to appropriate teaching

resources, and institutional support for innovative pedagogical practices.

Educational Inequality and Unequal Access

Educational inequality and unequal access constitute significant potential barriers to the inclusive implementation of Global Citizenship Education (GCED) in higher education. Disparities in socioeconomic status, geographical location, digital connectivity, institutional resources, and educational opportunities may restrict students' participation in GCED-related activities. Students from disadvantaged backgrounds and under-resourced institutions may have limited access to international collaborations, digital learning platforms, intercultural exchanges, and experiential learning opportunities. Furthermore, gender-based discrimination and inaccessible educational infrastructure may create additional barriers for marginalised groups and students with disabilities. Such inequalities can undermine the fundamental objectives of GCED by limiting the equitable development of global awareness, intercultural understanding, critical thinking, and social responsibility. Addressing these challenges requires inclusive curriculum design, equitable resource allocation, accessible learning environments, digital inclusion, and community-based educational initiatives (Clifford & Montgomery, 2014).

Balancing Global and Local Perspectives

Balancing global and local perspectives represents an important consideration in the implementation of Global Citizenship Education (GCED) in higher education. One of the key challenges is to develop students' awareness of global issues while maintaining their appreciation of local cultures, community needs, and indigenous knowledge systems. An excessive emphasis on global perspectives may marginalise local experiences and cultural traditions, whereas an exclusively local orientation may restrict students' understanding of international interdependence and shared global challenges. Furthermore, differences between local practices and broader international perspectives on human rights, equality, and sustainability may require critical reflection and intercultural dialogue. Addressing these challenges requires the integration of global themes with local contexts through interdisciplinary curricula, community-based learning, comparative studies, and

culturally responsive pedagogy. GCED draw upon Indian ethos, cultural traditions, and everyday practices of citizenship. Such an approach can help higher education institutions nurture learners who remain rooted in their local contexts while demonstrating global awareness, cultural sensitivity, and a commitment to the welfare of humanity (UGC,2021).

Linguistic and Cultural Diversity

Linguistic and cultural diversity presents an important consideration in the implementation of Global Citizenship Education (GCED) in higher education. Students and faculty members from diverse linguistic, cultural, and social backgrounds may experience communication barriers, cultural misunderstandings, stereotyping, and unequal opportunities for classroom participation. Furthermore, curricula that inadequately represent diverse cultural perspectives may limit students' understanding of different knowledge systems and global experiences. These challenges can affect intercultural dialogue, collaborative learning, and the development of a shared sense of global responsibility. However, linguistic and cultural diversity can also serve as a valuable educational resource by exposing learners to multiple perspectives and promoting empathy, tolerance, and mutual respect. The University Grants Commission (2021) identifies cultural diversity and tolerance, intercultural understanding, solidarity, and cooperation as important themes associated with GCED. Therefore, multilingual learning resources, culturally responsive pedagogy, inclusive classroom practices, and intercultural collaboration can help higher education institutions transform diversity into an opportunity for developing responsible global citizens.

Assessment Difficulties

Assessment difficulties constitute a significant challenge in the effective implementation of Global Citizenship Education (GCED) in higher education. Unlike conventional academic subjects, GCED seeks to develop not only knowledge but also values, attitudes, ethical understanding, intercultural sensitivity, and responsible civic behaviour. These multidimensional outcomes are difficult to measure through traditional examinations and standardised testing. Furthermore, differences in institutional assessment practices, students' cultural backgrounds,

and opportunities for community participation may complicate the consistent evaluation of GCED competencies. Assessing the long-term impact of GCED on students' attitudes and real-world behaviour also remains challenging. To address these difficulties, the University Grants Commission (2021) recommends continuous assessment, action-research-based projects, and the evaluation of experiential learning, internships, and field exposure. Such approaches can provide a more comprehensive understanding of students' development as informed, responsible, and active global citizens (Clifford & Montgomery, 2014).

Conventional examinations often emphasise factual recall and subject-specific knowledge. However, GCED includes complex outcomes such as empathy, ethical reasoning, intercultural understanding, critical thinking, and responsible participation.

Limited Institutional Resources and Collaboration

Limited institutional resources and inadequate collaboration constitute potential barriers to the effective implementation of Global Citizenship Education (GCED) in higher education. Insufficient funding, a shortage of trained faculty, limited technological infrastructure, and competing curricular priorities may restrict institutions' capacity to provide meaningful learning experiences related to global issues. Furthermore, weak collaboration among academic departments, universities, communities, and international organisations may limit opportunities for intercultural exchange, interdisciplinary research, and community engagement. These constraints can reduce GCED to theoretical instruction rather than fostering the practical knowledge, skills, values, and attitudes required for responsible global citizenship. Addressing these challenges requires the integration of GCED into existing curricula, faculty development, resource sharing, community-based learning, and collaborative research initiatives. The University Grants Commission (2021) recommends approaches such as cross-curricular integration, experiential learning, collaborative research, and virtual international learning to strengthen GCED implementation in higher education (Clifford & Montgomery, 2014). Effective GCED may require partnerships with community organisations, international institutions, civil society groups, research centres, and other educational organisations. Encourage inter-university

networks, shared digital resources, collaborative research, virtual exchanges, and partnerships with local organisations.

VII. CONCLUSION

Global Citizenship Education has considerable potential to strengthen the social, ethical, cultural, and global dimensions of Indian higher education. By helping students understand global interdependence, appreciate cultural diversity, critically examine social issues, and participate responsibly in society, GCED can complement the academic and professional objectives of higher education.

NEP 2020 provides a supportive policy context through its emphasis on holistic learning, multidisciplinary education, ethical values, and responsible citizenship. The UGC's *Educational Framework for Global Citizenship in Higher Education* further provides a basis for integrating global citizenship through teaching, pedagogy, and research (UGC, 2021).

Nevertheless, the effective implementation of GCED depends on addressing curriculum limitations, faculty preparedness, educational inequalities, linguistic diversity, assessment difficulties, and institutional constraints. Its integration must be context-sensitive and should recognise the relationship between local realities, Indian constitutional values, cultural traditions, and global responsibilities.

The central argument of this paper is that GCED should be understood not merely as knowledge about the world, but as an educational process that develops the capacity to understand, respect, critically engage with, and responsibly contribute to an interconnected society. When embedded meaningfully in university curricula and institutional practices, it can support the development of informed, empathetic, critically reflective, and socially responsible graduates who are prepared to contribute to peaceful, inclusive, and sustainable futures.

REFERENCES

[1] K. Akçay, F. Altınay, Z. Altınay, G. Dağlı, R. Shadiev, M. Altınay, *et al.*, "Global citizenship for the students of higher education in the realization of sustainable development goals," *Sustainability*, vol. 16, no. 4, Art. no. 1604, 2024.

[2] F. Aktas, K. Pitts, J. C. Richards, and I. Silova, "Institutionalizing global citizenship: A critical analysis of higher education programs and curricula," *Journal of Studies in International Education*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 65–80, 2017, doi: 10.1177/1028315316669815.

[3] H. Aydin and M. Cinkaya, "Global citizenship education and diversity (GCEDS): A measure of students' attitudes related to social studies program in higher education," *Journal for Multicultural Education*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 221–236, 2018, doi: 10.1108/JME-05-2017-0030.

[4] M. Bajaj, B. Cislighi, and G. Mackie, "Advancing transformative human rights education: Appendix D to the report of the Global Citizenship Commission," 2016.

[5] E. Bosio, "Global South university educators' perceptions of global citizenship education: Reflective dialogue, social change, and critical awareness," *Prospects*, vol. 55, no. 1, pp. 67–81, 2025.

[6] V. Clifford and C. Montgomery, "Challenging conceptions of Western higher education and promoting graduates as global citizens," *Higher Education Quarterly*, vol. 68, no. 1, pp. 28–45, 2014.

[7] V. Clifford and C. Montgomery, "Designing an internationalised curriculum for higher education: Embracing the local and the global citizen," *Higher Education Research & Development*, vol. 36, no. 6, pp. 1138–1151, 2017, doi: 10.1080/07294360.2017.1296413.

[8] J. Gacel-Ávila, "The internationalisation of higher education: A paradigm for global citizenry," *Journal of Studies in International Education*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 121–136, 2005.

[9] S. Gaur, "Global citizenship education revamping the higher education system through a holistic and multi-disciplinary perspective," *IPEM Journal for Innovations in Teacher Education*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 64–71, 2023, doi: 10.61691/IPEM_CTE.26.2023.64-71. [Online]. Available: <https://journal.ipem.edu.in/education/global-citizenship-education-revamping-the-higher-education-system-through-a-holistic-and-multi-disciplinary-perspective>

- [10] Government of India, National Education Policy 2020. Ministry of Human Resources Development, pp. 1–66, 2020.
- [11] J. Helin, Transformative Competencies: How to Define and Implement Competencies for SDG Target 4.7. Bridge 47, 2021.
- [12] D. Horey, T. Fortune, T. Nicolacopoulos, E. Kashima, and B. Mathisen, “Global citizenship and higher education: A scoping review of the empirical evidence,” *Journal of Studies in International Education*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 6–20, 2018, doi: 10.1177/1028315318786443.
- [13] M. Joshi, “Empowering internationalization: A critical analysis of the national education policy (NEP) on higher education in India,” *Journal of Data Acquisition and Processing*, vol. 38, no. 2, p. 4436, 2023.
- [14] A. K. Kar, “The concept of Vasudhaiva Kutumbakam (The world is a family): Insights from the Mahopanisad,” *National Journal of Hindi & Sanskrit Research*, vol. 1, no. 49, pp. 42–45, 2023.
- [15] V. R. Massaro, “Global citizenship development in higher education institutions: A systematic review of the literature,” *Journal of Global Education and Research*, vol. 6, no. 1, 2022.
- [16] V. Modi and T. Barot, “Value-based education: Fostering character, discipline, and citizenship through Indian Knowledge Systems (IKS),” *Samvad E-Journal*, vol. 4, no. 1, 2026.
- [17] T. O. Pacho, “Global citizenship education in the era of globalization,” in *Handbook of Research on Diversity and Social Justice in Higher Education*. IGI Global Scientific Publishing, 2020, pp. 274–291.
- [18] N. Sharma, *Education for Sustainability and Global Citizenship: Intercultural, Ethical, and Justice-Based Approaches*. Bloomsbury Publishing (UK), p. 232, 2025.
- [19] UNESCO, *Global Citizenship Education: Topics and Learning Objectives*. Paris, France: UNESCO, 2015. [Online]. Available: <https://en.unesco.org/news/global-citizenship-education-topics-and-learning-objectives>
- [20] University Grants Commission, *Educational Framework for Global Citizenship in Higher Education*, 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://www.ugc.ac.in/>
- [21] M. A. Witenstein, A. Baxter, R. Iyengar, E. U. Schaller, and S. Bah, “A scoping study of education for sustainable development and global citizenship education in higher education,” *Prospects*, 2025, doi: 10.1007/s11125-025-09739-7.
- [22] E. Zalli, “Globalization and education: Exploring the exchange of ideas, values, and traditions in promoting cultural understanding and global citizenship,” *Interdisciplinary Journal of Research and Development*, vol. 11, no. 1 S1, pp. 55–55, 2024.