

Karnanada (Tinnitus): Current Evidence and Future Directions

Dr. Amruta Dadaraje Giramkar¹, Dr Atul Babasaheb Tupe², Dr. Amruta Dadaraje Giramkar³

^{1,3}BAMS, MS (Shalakya Tantra), Assistant Professor, Shalakya Tantra Dept, G.S. Gune ayurved college, Ahilyanagar

²MBBS, MS (ENT), Assistant Professor, ENT Dept. PDVVF's Medical college and research centre, vilad, Ahilyanagar

Abstract—Karnanada is described in Ayurvedic literature as a disorder of the ear characterized by the perception of various abnormal sounds. In contemporary medicine, tinnitus refers to the perception of sound without a corresponding external acoustic stimulus. The perceived sounds may be described as ringing, buzzing, humming, whistling, or hissing. The Ayurvedic understanding of Karnanada is primarily based on classical descriptions of Karna Roga, particularly the role of Vata Dosha and its involvement in Shabdavaha Srotas. Modern literature, in contrast, explains tinnitus through auditory-system dysfunction and changes in central auditory processing. Reviewing these two knowledge systems provides a conceptual basis for understanding Karnanada while recognizing that their explanatory frameworks are not directly equivalent. Classical Ayurvedic literature discusses treatment principles for Karna Roga according to the nature of the disorder and the involvement of Doshas. Sushruta Samhita and Ashtanga Hridaya provide a traditional framework emphasizing Vata Dosha and the involvement of Shabdavaha Srotas. Modern literature describes tinnitus as a heterogeneous auditory perceptual phenomenon associated with several possible underlying conditions and mechanisms.

Index Terms— Current Evidences, Karnanada, Karna Roga, tinnitus, Vata Dosha.

I. INTRODUCTION

Karnanada is described in Ayurvedic literature as a disorder of the ear characterized by the perception of various abnormal sounds. In contemporary medicine, tinnitus refers to the perception of sound without a corresponding external acoustic stimulus. The perceived sounds may be described as ringing, buzzing, humming, whistling, or hissing. Tinnitus may

be associated with auditory dysfunction and can influence sleep, concentration, emotional well-being, and quality of life. ^[1,2] The Ayurvedic understanding of Karnanada is primarily based on classical descriptions of Karna Roga, particularly the role of Vata Dosha and its involvement in Shabdavaha Srotas. Modern literature, in contrast, explains tinnitus through auditory-system dysfunction and changes in central auditory processing. Reviewing these two knowledge systems provides a conceptual basis for understanding Karnanada while recognizing that their explanatory frameworks are not directly equivalent. ^[1-3]

II. REVIEW OF CLASSICAL AYURVEDIC LITERATURE

2.1 Description of Karnanada in Sushruta Samhita

Sushruta Samhita describes Karnanada among the disorders affecting the ear. The classical explanation associates the manifestation of abnormal auditory perceptions with vitiated Vata entering an inappropriate pathway or affecting the channels responsible for sound perception. Different types of sounds may consequently be perceived by the affected individual. ^[3]

This description establishes the importance of Vata Dosha and the auditory channels in the Ayurvedic conceptualization of Karnanada. The classical account provides a foundation for understanding the disorder within Shalakya Tantra.

2.2 Description in Ashtanga Hridaya

Ashtanga Hridaya discusses Karnanada in the context of disorders of the ear and describes the perception of unusual sounds associated with the disturbance of

Vata. The text also emphasizes the relationship between Dosha vitiation, the site of manifestation, and the resulting clinical features.^[4]

The descriptions in Sushruta Samhita and Ashtanga Hridaya provide a traditional framework for understanding the origin and manifestation of Karnanada. However, interpretations should remain consistent with the specific terminology and context of each classical text.

2.3 Karnanada and Karnakshweda

Karnanada and Karnakshweda are discussed as distinct conditions in Ayurvedic literature. The supplied educational material presents differences in their descriptions, proposed etiological factors, pathogenesis, manifestations, and treatment principles.^[3,4]

Although both conditions involve abnormal auditory perceptions, their classical descriptions should be examined independently rather than assuming that they are completely synonymous. Their precise interpretation requires reference to the original Sanskrit passages and the relevant commentaries.

2.4 Nidana and Samprapti

The classical Ayurvedic explanation of Karnanada emphasizes Vata Dosha as a major pathological factor. Vata disturbance is described in relation to Shabdavaha Srotas, resulting in the perception of different types of sounds.

From the Ayurvedic perspective, the study of Nidana, Dosha involvement, Srotas, and Samprapti provides a structured approach to understanding the manifestation of the disorder. These concepts should be interpreted within the traditional Ayurvedic framework and should not be equated directly with modern neurophysiological mechanisms without supporting evidence.

2.5 Classical Treatment Principles

Classical Ayurvedic literature discusses treatment principles for Karna Roga according to the nature of the disorder and the involvement of Doshas. The supplied material refers to Vata-oriented management and procedures such as Karnapurana, alongside other approaches described in the context of ear disorders.^[3,4] These references are important for documenting the historical and theoretical foundations of Ayurvedic management. However, descriptions in classical texts

establish traditional therapeutic principles rather than independently demonstrating their effectiveness according to contemporary clinical research standards.

III. REVIEW OF MODERN LITERATURE ON TINNITUS

3.1 Definition and Classification

Modern otolaryngological literature defines tinnitus as the perception of sound without a corresponding external acoustic source. It is commonly classified as subjective or objective. Subjective tinnitus is perceived only by the individual, whereas objective tinnitus refers to uncommon situations in which an associated sound may also be detected by an examiner. Tinnitus can also be described as pulsatile or non-pulsatile, unilateral or bilateral, and transient or persistent. These classifications are useful for organizing the clinical understanding of tinnitus and identifying the different mechanisms that may contribute to its occurrence.

3.2 Etiological Concepts

Modern literature identifies several conditions associated with tinnitus, including hearing loss, noise exposure, age-related auditory changes, disorders of the external or middle ear, inner-ear conditions, and medication-related effects.

The relationship between tinnitus and hearing impairment is particularly important in contemporary auditory research. Noise-related auditory damage and age-associated hearing changes are recognized as relevant areas of investigation. Nevertheless, tinnitus is a heterogeneous symptom, and its underlying mechanisms may differ among individuals.

3.3 Pathophysiology of Tinnitus

The pathophysiology of tinnitus remains an important area of scientific investigation. Contemporary explanations emphasize changes in auditory processing that may follow peripheral auditory dysfunction. Altered neural activity and changes in central auditory pathways have been proposed as contributing mechanisms. The experience of tinnitus may also be influenced by attention, emotional responses, sleep, and the individual's interpretation of the perceived sound. These factors help explain why the subjective burden of tinnitus may vary even when the auditory sensation appears similar. The modern

literature therefore presents tinnitus as a complex phenomenon involving auditory and perceptual processes rather than a single disease with one universal mechanism.

3.4 Clinical Assessment and Diagnostic Considerations

Contemporary clinical guidance emphasizes a structured assessment of tinnitus, including its duration, laterality, pulsatile characteristics, associated hearing difficulties, relevant medical history, and impact on daily functioning.

Audiological assessment and examination of the ear are important components of evaluation when indicated. Certain presentations, such as pulsatile tinnitus, persistent unilateral symptoms, asymmetric hearing loss, or sudden hearing changes, may require further investigation.

These diagnostic principles are relevant to literature concerning Karnanada because they help distinguish the general concept of tinnitus from specific underlying auditory or systemic conditions.

IV. CURRENT UNDERSTANDING OF TINNITUS MANAGEMENT

Modern literature describes tinnitus management as an individualized process based on associated conditions, hearing status, and the degree of distress. Contemporary approaches include identification of treatable causes, patient education, counselling, hearing rehabilitation when indicated, and psychological interventions. Cognitive behavioural therapy has received particular attention in evidence reviews because of its potential to reduce the negative impact of tinnitus on quality of life.^[5] Sound-based approaches have also been investigated, although the certainty of evidence varies across interventions and comparisons.^[6]

The literature emphasizes the distinction between reducing tinnitus-related distress and eliminating the perception of sound itself. This distinction is important when reviewing treatment concepts and selecting appropriate outcome measures for future research.

V. RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN KARNANADA AND MODERN TINNITUS

Karnanada and tinnitus share a broad phenomenological feature: the perception of sound without an identifiable corresponding external sound source. This similarity provides a reasonable basis for comparative study. However, Karnanada is interpreted through Ayurvedic concepts such as Vata Dosha, Shabdavaha Srotas, and Samprapti, whereas modern tinnitus research focuses on auditory physiology, neural processing, and associated clinical conditions.^[1-4]

The comparison should therefore be regarded as a clinical and conceptual correlation rather than proof that both terms represent exactly the same pathological process. A careful review of the original classical descriptions, contemporary definitions, and diagnostic criteria is necessary to establish the scope and limitations of this correlation.

VI. RESEARCH GAPS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

The literature indicates several areas requiring further investigation. First, the classical descriptions of Karnanada require detailed textual analysis using original Sanskrit passages and authoritative commentaries. This would help clarify its relationship with Karnakshweda and other Karna Roga.

Second, research should develop a clear operational definition of Karnanada for contemporary academic studies. Standardized terminology would improve consistency when comparing Ayurvedic descriptions with modern tinnitus classifications.

Third, interdisciplinary research could examine similarities and differences between Ayurvedic explanatory concepts and contemporary models of auditory perception. Such work should avoid assuming direct physiological equivalence between traditional concepts and modern scientific mechanisms.

Fourth, future literature reviews should use transparent search strategies and distinguish classical textual evidence, narrative reviews, observational publications, clinical trials, and systematic reviews. This would improve the reliability and reproducibility of evidence synthesis. Finally, further research is needed to establish the quality and extent of evidence

supporting specific Ayurvedic treatment principles. Particular attention should be given to standardized terminology, methodological quality, safety reporting, and appropriate outcome assessment.

VII. CONCLUSION

The literature on Karnanada demonstrates the relevance of classical Ayurvedic descriptions to the broader study of abnormal auditory perception. Sushruta Samhita and Ashtanga Hridaya provide a traditional framework emphasizing Vata Dosha and the involvement of Shabdavaha Srotas. Modern literature describes tinnitus as a heterogeneous auditory perceptual phenomenon associated with several possible underlying conditions and mechanisms.

Although Karnanada can be compared conceptually with tinnitus, the two should not be considered completely equivalent without further textual and scientific evaluation. Future research should integrate careful analysis of classical sources with contemporary knowledge of auditory physiology and evidence appraisal. Such an approach may strengthen the academic understanding of Karnanada and identify meaningful directions for future research.

REFERENCES

- [1] Tunkel, D. E., C. A. Bauer, G. H. Sun, R. M. Rosenfeld, S. S. Chandrasekhar, E. R. Cunningham Jr., et al., "Clinical Practice Guideline: Tinnitus," *Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery*, vol. 151, no. 2_suppl, pp. S1-S40, 2014, doi: 10.1177/0194599814545325.
- [2] National Institute for Health and Care Excellence, *Tinnitus: Assessment and Management*, NICE Guideline NG155. London, U.K.: NICE, 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng155>
- [3] Sushruta, *Sushruta Samhita*, Ayurveda Tattva Sandipika Hindi Commentary, edited by A. Shastri, Uttara Tantra, ch. 20. Varanasi, India: Chaukhambha Sanskrit Sansthan, 2011.
- [4] Vagbhata, *Ashtanga Hridaya*, Vidyotini Hindi Commentary, edited by A. Gupta, Uttara

Sthana. Varanasi, India: Chaukhambha Prakashan, 2012.

- [5] T. Fuller, R. Cima, B. Langguth, B. Mazurek, J. W. S. Vlaeyen, and D. J. Hoare, "Cognitive Behavioural Therapy for Tinnitus," *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, no. 1, Art. no. CD012614, 2020, doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD012614.pub2.
- [6] J. Hobson, E. Chisholm, and A. El Refaie, "Sound Therapy (Masking) in the Management of Tinnitus in Adults," *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, no. 11, Art. no. CD006371, 2012, doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD006371.pub3.