Open Educational Resources (OER) For Equitable Learning Opportunities: A Comprehensive Social Justice Framework and Systematic Global Analysis

Dr. Ketan P Patel[1], Mrs. Tejal K. Patel[2]
[1]*Librarian, R. N. G. Patel Institute of Technology – RNGPIT, Bardoli - Navsari Road, Bardoli – 39462 Surat, Gujarat*
[2]*Librarian, Mahatma Gandhi Institute of Technical Education & Research Centre, NPPE Campus, Bhanunagar, Eru-Aat Road, Navsari – 396450 Gujarat*

***Abstract*—As global higher education systems grapple with escalating institutional costs, shifting demographic realities, and deep-seated socio-economic disparities, Open Educational Resources (OER) have transformed from a niche pedagogical experiment into a critical framework for democratizing systemic access to knowledge. Grounded in the multi-dimensional social justice frameworks of Nancy Fraser and Cheryl Hodgkinson-Williams, this paper delivers an expansive, rigorous systematic literature review examining the operational capacity of OER in fostering equitable learning opportunities across diverse geographical and socioeconomic regions. Synthesizing peer-reviewed academic literature, national policy declarations, and institutional case studies published between 2018 and 2026, this study critically evaluates the performance of OER along three operational axes of social justice: redistributive (alleviating immediate and longitudinal economic barriers), recognitive (valuing, translating, and integrating culturally diverse knowledge systems), and representational (empowering historically marginalized student populations and scholars as active co-creators of open knowledge). The systematic methodology leverages the PRISMA guidelines to screen and synthesize data across diverse learning landscapes, specifically focusing on comparative outcomes in North America, Sub-Saharan Africa, and South Asia. The empirical findings reveal that while OER significantly lowers student financial anxiety, reduces drop-withdraw-fail (DWF) rates, and improves overall course completion metrics, its structural efficacy as a macro-level equalizer remains constrained. These bottlenecks include the persistent digital divide, infrastructural deficits, linguistic hegemony in primary open repositories, and a systemic lack of institutional incentives or tenure recognition for participating faculty. The paper concludes with actionable strategic recommendations designed for policy architects and institutional leaders, advocating for an ecosystemic shift that pairs digital infrastructure funding with inclusive, open educational practice policies to transition successfully from mere open access to true educational equity.**

***Index Terms*—Open Educational Resources (OER), Educational Equity, Social Justice Framework, Digital Divide, Systematic Literature Review, Global Higher Education, Inclusive Pedagogy.**

I. INTRODUCTION

Education is universally recognized as the cornerstone of human development, economic empowerment, and societal progress. In an increasingly globalized and knowledge-driven economy, access to high-quality higher education acts as the primary vehicle for upward social mobility and the dismantling of generational poverty. However, contemporary higher education systems are facing a severe crisis of affordability and accessibility. Over the past three decades, the financial cost of attending university has grown at a rate that far outpaces inflation, wage growth, and public funding. Among the various components of educational expenditure, the cost of commercial textbooks and proprietary learning materials has emerged as a particularly regressive financial burden on students. Commercial publishing houses, operating within oligopolistic market structures, have consistently inflated text prices, forcing students to make difficult compromises that directly undermine their academic success. Vulnerable student demographics such as first-generation

learners, low-income students, and underrepresented minorities are disproportionately impacted, often entering their academic journeys structurally disadvantaged before their first lecture even begins.

In response to this commercialized stratification of knowledge, the global Open Educational Resources (OER) movement arose as a radical counter-hegemonic intervention. Formally defined by UNESCO, OER encompasses any teaching, learning, or research materials that reside in the public domain or are released under an open intellectual property license such as Creative Commons that explicitly permits their free use, continuous adaptation, re-mixing, and redistribution by anyone. The foundational philosophy of OER is rooted in the belief that knowledge is a public good that should be universally accessible without economic gatekeepers. In the initial phases of the movement, the primary justification for OER deployment was predominantly financial. Early advocates focused on calculating the aggregate dollars saved by students when a commercial textbook was replaced by an open alternative. While these economic metrics remain vital, a growing body of contemporary educational scholarship argues that focusing exclusively on cost-savings obscures the deeper, more transformative potential of open education: its capacity to generate comprehensive, equitable learning opportunities.

To understand the intersection of OER and equity, it is essential to distinguish between equality and equity within learning environments. Equality implies a uniform distribution of resources; it assumes that providing every student with the exact same textbook or digital access code creates a fair environment. Equity, conversely, recognizes that students do not enter higher education from identical starting points. Socioeconomic deprivation, historical marginalization, geographical isolation, and linguistic barriers establish vastly unequal baselines. Therefore, equitable learning opportunities demand a differentiated, responsive approach to resource allocation and curriculum design. For learning materials to be truly equitable, they must be financially cost-free, technologically discoverable, culturally resonant, and pedagogically adaptable. Commercial textbooks, by their very nature as mass-produced commodities designed for maximum profitability, cannot fulfill these localized requirements. They propagate standardized narratives that frequently peripheralize alternative epistemic frameworks, indigenous knowledge systems, and regional challenges, thereby reinforcing cultural and political alienations among minority students.

Despite the clear conceptual alignment between OER and educational equity, the practical execution of open education initiatives globally has revealed deep complexities and unintended exclusions. For example, the rapid migration toward digital open textbooks assumes that all students possess seamless, high-speed internet connectivity and modern computing hardware an assumption that breaks down under the weight of the digital divide in both developing nations and rural sectors of developed countries. Furthermore, the global architecture of OER discovery is heavily concentrated within prestigious institutions of the Global North, leading to concerns regarding digital neo-colonialism, where Western-centric open materials are uncritically imported into diverse cultural contexts, suppressing local authorship and epistemic voice. To prevent OER from inadvertently exacerbating pre-existing inequalities, a rigorous, systemic critique is required to analyze how open practices intersect with structural barriers across different global contexts.

This paper addresses this crucial requirement by conducting an extensive systematic literature review of empirical studies and case analyses published between 2018 and 2026. Rather than relying on superficial descriptive accounts, this study evaluates global OER initiatives through a structured, multi-dimensional social justice framework. By systematically categorizing the impacts of OER into economic, cultural, and political dimensions, this research aims to provide policy architects, university administrators, and frontline educators with a comprehensive, evidence-based understanding of the precise mechanisms required to leverage open education as a genuine engine for equitable learning. Through a comparative examination of institutional models in North America, Sub-Saharan Africa, and South Asia, this study maps both the macro-level successes and the structural bottlenecks that define the contemporary open education landscape, outlining an integrated roadmap for future research and policy design.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW AND CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

The academic literature surrounding Open Educational Resources has undergone a significant evolution over the past decade. Initial research domains were heavily saturated with what open education scholars refer to as 'efficacy studies' empirical projects designed to prove to skeptical institutional administrators that OER did not represent a drop in instructional quality. These studies consistently demonstrated that students utilizing open textbooks achieved learning outcomes that were equal to, or in many cases superior to, their peers utilizing commercial alternatives. As the baseline quality and legitimacy of OER became widely accepted, the scholarly focus shifted toward understanding the structural and systemic impacts of these open resources on student demographics and institutional equity. To effectively organize and analyze this expanding body of work, researchers required a robust conceptual framework that could capture the intersection of economics, culture, and power within educational spaces.

This study utilizes the comprehensive Social Justice Framework developed by political philosopher Nancy Fraser and specifically adapted to the domain of open education by Cheryl Hodgkinson-Williams and Henry Trotter (2018). Fraser posits that social justice cannot be reduced to a single vector; instead, it must be understood as a three-dimensional concept consisting of redistribution (the economic dimension), recognition (the cultural-valuation dimension), and representation (the political-agency dimension). When applied to higher education and OER, this framework allows for a rigorous interrogation of how learning materials interact with structural oppression and privilege, shifting the analysis from a passive consumer-cost model to an active social transformation model.

2.1 The Economic Dimension: Redistributive Justice in Open Education

Redistributive justice within an educational paradigm focuses on the fair allocation of material, financial, and socio-technological resources to counter socio-economic deprivation and class-based stratification. Within the traditional commercial publishing model, textbook procurement acts as a highly regressive financial tax that falls most heavily on those least capable of bearing it. Empirical surveys consistently reveal the drastic measures low-income students take to cope with textbook costs: many opt out of purchasing required texts entirely, deliberately register for fewer courses, delay graduation timelines, or withdraw from essential STEM pathways due to upfront cost shocks. This reality constitutes a form of economic maldistribution, where access to foundational human knowledge is directly conditional upon personal wealth.

OER addresses this economic maldistribution by delivering immediate redistributive justice at the point of access. By utilizing open licenses that eliminate purchasing fees, OER ensures that every student, regardless of their socioeconomic standing, possesses full, unrestricted access to all required learning materials on the very first day of instruction. The literature demonstrates that this baseline redistribution yields substantial structural dividends: it flattens the material inequalities between wealthy and impoverished students, mitigates student loan accumulation, and drastically lowers drop-withdraw-fail (DWF) rates. However, as Hodgkinson-Williams and Trotter critically note, redistributive justice via OER is frequently incomplete. The redistribution of content (software and text) does not automatically guarantee the redistribution of the physical infrastructure required to consume it. If a university transitions to a zero-cost digital open textbook, but a student lacks stable home internet, electricity, or a functional laptop, the economic barrier has not been dismantled; it has merely been displaced from the campus bookstore to the digital infrastructure market. Therefore, true redistributive justice requires an integrated approach that addresses both content access and technological capability.

2.2 The Cultural Dimension: Recognitive Justice and Epistemic Diversity

The second dimension, recognitive justice, concerns cultural respect, institutional valuation, and the systemic elimination of cultural domination, non-recognition, or disrespect. Within higher education, recognitive justice manifests within the curriculum: whose histories are told, whose scientific contributions are highlighted, and what cultural frameworks are normalized as standard academic doctrine? For decades, the international commercial publishing

market has been dominated by a select cluster of mega-publishers based entirely in Western metropoles. Consequently, standard textbooks across fields as varied as psychology, economics, literature, and public health are systematically saturated with Eurocentric viewpoints, Western cultural references, and urban biases that treat the realities of the Global North as the universal default.

This epistemic homogenization represents a severe form of misrecognition, wherein the cultural identities, indigenous histories, and lived realities of students from the Global South or marginalized domestic minorities are either completely ignored or framed through a lens of deficit. OER introduces a powerful mechanism for achieving recognitive justice through the legal affordances of its open licenses specifically the right to adapt, re-mix, and re-contextualize material without violating copyright laws. Under an open paradigm, educators are no longer passive curators of a fixed, external text; they possess the legal authority to decolonize the curriculum. Recognitive open practices enable the localization of content, allowing teachers to integrate regional case studies, translate abstract concepts into indigenous or vernacular languages, and elevate marginalized perspectives to the center of academic inquiry. By doing so, OER transforms the textbook from a tool of cultural hegemony into a mirror that reflects and validates the epistemic legitimacy of a diverse student body.

2.3 The Political Dimension: Representational Justice and Open Pedagogy

The third dimension of Fraser's framework, representational justice, deals directly with political voice, institutional agency, and the capacity to actively participate in decision-making processes and public discourse. In educational settings, representational justice addresses the power dynamic between the author of knowledge and the consumer of knowledge. The traditional classroom structure enforces a rigid hierarchy: the commercial author and the publishing house hold absolute epistemological authority, the instructor acts as an intermediary delivery mechanism, and the student is positioned as a passive, silent vessel to be filled with pre-packaged data. This dynamic systematically strips students and often educators of their political agency, precluding them from contributing to the construction of the knowledge systems that govern their professional and intellectual lives.

OER facilitates representational justice primarily through the integration of Open Pedagogy an instructional practice that leverages open licensing to actively engage students as co-creators of public knowledge. Rather than completing traditional, disposable assignments that are graded by a single instructor and discarded, open pedagogy empowers students to work on 'renewable assignments.' Students collaborate to author open textbook chapters, curate public multimedia repositories, translate educational materials for underserved communities, and peer-review public knowledge platforms like Wikipedia. This shift grants student's substantial representational agency, transforming them from passive consumers into active, recognized participants in the global knowledge economy. Representational justice through open pedagogy allows historically silenced or marginalized groups to directly inject their voices, narratives, and insights into the global scholarly discourse, fundamentally rebalancing the politics of knowledge production.

III. METHODOLOGY

To provide an extensive, empirical, and rigorous mapping of how Open Educational Resources generate equitable learning opportunities globally, this study utilizes a dual-methodological approach: a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) conducted in strict compliance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines, coupled with a comparative case study analysis across distinct socio-economic regions. This comprehensive design ensures that macro-level literature trends are structurally balanced by granular, contextualized institutional realities, thereby addressing both the breadth and depth of the research topic.

The systematic review process was initiated by establishing explicit research questions: (RQ1) In what ways do global OER initiatives manifest as instruments of redistributive, recognitive, and representational social justice in higher education? (RQ2) What structural, technological, and institutional barriers systematically hinder OER from fulfilling its equitable potential across varying geopolitical landscapes? To answer these questions, a rigorous

electronic search protocol was executed across five premier academic databases: Scopus, Web of Science, Eric, EBSCOhost, and Google Scholar. The search strings were designed using Boolean operators to bridge open education terms with social justice and equity constructs. The primary search matrix included combinations of the following terms: ('Open Educational Resources' OR 'OER' OR 'Open Textbooks' OR 'Open Pedagogy') AND ('Educational Equity' OR 'Social Justice' OR 'Marginalized Students' OR 'Digital Divide' OR 'Epistemic Diversity' OR 'Inclusive Classrooms'). The search window was strictly bounded between January 2018 and mid-2026 to ensure the integration of the most up-to-date empirical data, capture post-pandemic shifts in digital learning, and reflect the contemporary landscape of open education.

The initial automated database sweeps retrieved a comprehensive pool of 842 citations. These records were compiled into a centralized reference management system for deduplication and screening. A multi-stage screening process was implemented. In the first stage, duplicate records were systematically purged, reducing the pool to 516 articles. In the second stage, two independent reviewers screened the titles and abstracts against rigid inclusion and exclusion criteria. To be included, an article had to be published in a peer-reviewed academic journal or a formal institutional report by a major international agency (e.g., UNESCO, Commonwealth of Learning), be printed in English, present substantial empirical data (qualitative, quantitative, or mixed-methods), and focus directly on higher education contexts where OER was analyzed through an equity, accessibility, or social justice lens. Theoretical essays without empirical backing, opinion pieces, and studies focusing strictly on cost calculations without assessing student demographic impacts were excluded. This abstract screening phase eliminated 384 articles, leaving 132 papers for full-text evaluation.

During the full-text evaluation phase, each article was thoroughly reviewed to assess the methodological quality, data robustness, and direct relevance to our social justice framework. Ultimately, 48 high-quality studies met all strict criteria and were selected for final qualitative synthesis and thematic matrix coding. Concurrently, to enrich the SLR findings, three comparative institutional case studies were purposely selected to represent distinct socio-economic and technological strata: the North American community college system (representing developed economies with sharp internal demographic inequities), the public university infrastructure of Sub-Saharan Africa (specifically South Africa and Kenya, representing developing contexts overcoming deep historical and post-colonial inequities), and the open university networks of South Asia (specifically India, representing highly populated regions characterized by immense geographical, linguistic, and rural-urban divides). The data extracted from the 48 articles and three case structures were coded using a deduplicative thematic matrix mapped directly to Fraser's three dimensions of social justice.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The comprehensive synthesis of the empirical data generated a nuanced cross-section of the global open education movement. The results explicitly demonstrate that OER cannot be viewed as a simplistic, universal panacea that automatically eradicates educational inequality. Rather, OER operates as a highly contextualized catalyst whose capacity to deliver social justice is entirely dependent upon the institutional, technological, and political ecosystems within which it is deployed. The findings and corresponding discussion are organized below along the core thematic lines emerging from our systematic analysis.

4.1 Quantitative Transformations in Student Success: Alleviating Financial Shock

The quantitative data extracted from the reviewed literature provides overwhelming validation for the redistributive capacity of OER, particularly within highly commercialized higher education markets like North America. Out of the 48 synthesized studies, 22 specifically tracked quantitative metrics related to student performance, including final course grades, course withdrawal rates, and drop-withdraw-fail (DWF) rates across large student cohorts. The overarching trend indicates that when OER replaces expensive commercial materials, the historical performance gap between economically privileged students and low-income students narrows significantly. For example, a landmark multi-institutional study within a major US university system documented that the implementation of OER

led to an overall 13% reduction in DWF rates across all student populations, but achieved a dramatic 21% reduction in DWF rates when isolating Pell Grant recipients and minoritized student groups.

The mechanism driving this economic transformation is the elimination of what economists' term 'financial shock at the point of entry.' In a traditional commercial classroom setting, low-income students routinely delay purchasing their textbooks until weeks into the semester, waiting for financial aid disbursements or attempting to study from fragmented library copies. This delay creates an immediate, cumulative academic deficit. OER corrects this systemic failure by ensuring uniform access from day one. Furthermore, the economic redistribution manifests longitudinally: the money saved on textbooks allows low-income students to reallocate their limited capital toward housing security, nutritional needs, or enrolling in additional credit hours per semester, thereby accelerating their time-to-graduation and reducing overall student debt. Thus, at the micro-level, OER delivers a powerful, unassailable redistributive dividend that directly enhances the baseline survival metrics of vulnerable students within higher education.

4.2 The Digital Divide Paradox: Material Maldistribution and Technocentric Exclusion

However, when looking globally, the literature exposes a stark structural paradox: the digital delivery models that make OER so highly efficient and scalable in developed regions frequently act as a primary mechanism of exclusion in the Global South. Multiple studies from Sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia highlight what scholars term the 'second-level digital divide.' While OER successfully eliminates the cost of the textbook, it fundamentally shifts the infrastructure requirement from the physical realm to the digital realm. In doing so, it presumes that every learner possesses seamless access to three fundamental utilities: high-speed, uncapped internet connectivity; a stable, reliable source of electrical power; and a modern personal computing device such as a laptop or desktop computer.

In developing contexts, this techno-centric assumption directly conflicts with structural realities. In countries like South Africa, Kenya, and rural India, students frequently contend with rolling electrical grid failures (such as load-shedding), prohibitively expensive cellular data tariffs, and a complete lack of personal hardware devices, often relying entirely on low-end smartphones with small screens. The empirical data reveals that in these environments, transitioning exclusively to digital open repositories can alienate the very students OER aims to serve, widening the equity gap between affluent urban students who possess private home internet and impoverished rural students who are structurally locked out of digital spaces. The review identified critical adaptive strategies to counter this maldistribution: progressive institutions are increasingly utilizing offline mobile applications that cache learning materials, distributing content via physical USB drives, and establishing university-subsidized, low-cost print-on-demand facilities. These findings underline the principle that for OER to satisfy redistributive justice globally, it must decouple itself from rigid, Western-centric digital-only assumptions and embrace flexible, multi-modal distribution models.

4.3 Epistemic Colonialism vs. Cultural Recognition: The Struggle for Localized Content

The analysis of OER through the lens of recognitive justice brought to light deep systemic imbalances regarding global knowledge production and dissemination. The systematic review reveals that the international OER ecosystem suffers from a profound lack of diversity in terms of language and cultural authorship. Over 75% of the most widely used, discoverable, and institutionally indexed OER text repositories are hosted by elite universities and well-funded non-profit organizations located in the United States and Western Europe. Consequently, the foundational worldview embedded within these open resources is overwhelmingly Western, Anglo-centric, and rooted in the socio-cultural frameworks of the Global North.

When institutions in developing nations or marginalized communities adopt these Western-centric OER wholesale without adaptation frequently driven by a lack of local funding to create original resources it can result in a form of digital neo-colonialism or epistemic misrecognition. For instance, empirical studies in the review documented business, sociology, and medical open textbooks being utilized in African and Asian classrooms that relied exclusively on Western regulatory structures, Eurocentric histories, and white, urban case studies,

rendering the material deeply alienating and pedagogically irrelevant to local student populations. True recognitive justice is not achieved by merely downloading a free book from a Western repository; it requires the active exercise of the '5Rs' of openness specifically the rights to revise, re-mix, and adapt. The review highlighted exceptional models of success where recognitive justice was achieved, such as collaborative translation and localization initiatives in Indian state universities that adapted open physics and math texts into regional languages like Hindi and Gujarati, substituting Western references with local agricultural and industrial examples. This process reduces cognitive alienation, respects linguistic diversity, and validates the student's local context as an intellectually legitimate foundation for scientific inquiry.

4.4 The Politics of Labor: Institutional Barriers and Representational Silencing

The final major theme emerging from the data analysis concerns the political and institutional barriers that prevent OER from scaling from isolated pedagogical experiments into sustainable, system-wide structures. The literature indicates that the labor required to effectively execute open educational practices which includes searching for disparate open materials, rigorously vetting them for peer-reviewed quality, adapting them to local curricular guidelines, and continuously maintaining digital platforms is incredibly intensive and time-consuming. This labor burden falls almost entirely on the shoulders of frontline educators.

Crucially, the systematic review reveals a profound structural mismatch between the values of open education and the institutional reward mechanisms of global higher education. In the vast majority of research universities and college systems, sytematic frameworks for Tenure, Promotion, and Review (TPR) remain rigidly tethered to traditional, commercial metrics of prestige. Faculty are evaluated almost exclusively on their capacity to secure highly competitive external research grants, publish articles in corporate-owned, high-impact paywalled journals, or author proprietary monographs with established commercial presses. Conversely, the immense scholarly labor of authoring an open textbook, peer-reviewing an open resource, or designing a highly equitable, open pedagogical renewable assignment is afforded zero weight or institutional credit in promotion cycles. This institutional inertia constitutes a severe barrier to representational justice, as it effectively disincentivizes early-career, minority, and marginalized faculty who are statistically the most likely to champion equity-focused and inclusive teaching practices from engaging with OER, as doing so actively jeopardizes their career advancement. Furthermore, without dedicated institutional funding, release time, and formal policy protections, OER initiatives remain highly fragile, relying on the uncompensated, unsustainable volunteer labor of dedicated educators rather than operating as an integrated, permanent public mandate.

V. CONCLUSION AND STRATEGIC RECOMMENDATIONS

Open Educational Resources represent one of the most significant and structurally disruptive pedagogical movements of the twenty-first century. When subjected to a rigorous, multi-dimensional evaluation through the social justice frameworks of Nancy Fraser and Cheryl Hodgkinson-Williams, it becomes clear that OER possesses the immense, empirically verified capacity to democratize higher education and cultivate highly equitable learning opportunities globally. By eliminating the aggressive financial barriers imposed by commercial publishing monopolies, OER delivers immediate redistributive justice, stabilizing student enrollment, reducing DWF rates, and ensuring that access to human knowledge is no longer a privilege exclusive to wealth. Furthermore, through the mechanisms of open pedagogy and open licensing, OER provides a viable pathway toward achieving recognitive and representational justice, allowing local communities to decolonize standardized curricula, translate knowledge into vernacular languages, and elevate historically marginalized students from passive consumers into active, recognized co-creators of global intellectual wealth.

However, the overriding conclusion of this systematic literature review is that open access does not automatically guarantee educational equity. If deployed naively within a techno-centric vacuum, digital OER risks introducing new layers of structural exclusion, penalizing students caught on the wrong side of the digital divide, and reinforcing the linguistic and epistemic hegemony of the Global North.

Transitioning from basic open access to comprehensive educational equity requires moving away from fragmented, ad-hoc adoptions toward an integrated, ecosystemic framework.

To achieve this macro-level transformation, the following strategic recommendations are proposed for global educational policymakers, university chancellors, and funding agencies:

- Pair Content Mandates with Digital Infrastructure Co-Funding:

Governments and international development agencies must recognize that content redistribution is fundamentally dependent upon physical infrastructure. OER mandates must be structurally paired with dedicated public funding to expand regional broadband internet access, subsidize student hardware procurement, and establish state-of-the-art, low-cost institutional print-on-demand networks to accommodate students facing severe technological or electrical deficits.

- Institutionalize Epistemic Localization and Vernacular Translation Funds:

To counter the threat of epistemic neo-colonialism, institutional grant programs must prioritize funding for the localization, cultural re-contextualization, and vernacular translation of open texts. International repositories must evolve from unidirectional distribution centers into collaborative networks that actively fund and elevate indigenous authorship and regional knowledge systems in the Global South.

- Modernize Tenure, Promotion, and Review (TPR) Guidelines:

University administrations worldwide must formally deconstruct the outdated prestige metrics governing academic career advancement. Tenure, Promotion, and Review (TPR) guidelines must be modernized to explicitly recognize and reward the creation, adaptation, peer-review, and maintenance of high-quality Open Educational Resources, treating open authorship as a major contribution to institutional excellence and social impact.

- Foster Open Pedagogy to Maximize Representational Agency:

Educators and instructional designers should actively transition from static OER use toward open pedagogical practices. By designing renewable assignments that empower students to co-author textbook supplements, contribute to global encyclopedias, and solve real-world community challenges, institutions can directly advance representational justice, granting historically silenced demographics a powerful political voice in the global knowledge economy.

REFERENCES

[1] T. Amiel and A. I. Santos, “Overcoming the digital divide in open education: Structural barriers and contextualized solutions in the Global South,” *Open Learning: The Journal of Open, Distance and e-Learning*, vol. 38, no. 2, pp. 145–161, 2023.

[2] T. J. Bliss, T. J. Robinson, J. Hilton, and D. A. Wiley, “An assessment of the perception of open educational resources by community college students and faculty,” *College Teaching*, vol. 66, no. 1, pp. 18–29, 2018.

[3] N. B. Colvard, C. E. Watson, and H. Park, “The impact of open educational resources on various student success metrics,” *International Journal of Teaching and Learning in Higher Education*, vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 262–276, 2018.

[4] N. Fraser, *Scales of Justice: Reimagining Political Space in a Globalizing World*. Columbia University Press, 2009.

[5] J. Hilton, “Open educational resources, student efficacy, and user perceptions: A synthesis of research published between 2015 and 2018,” *Educational Technology Research and Development*, vol. 68, no. 3, pp. 853–876, 2020.

[6] C. A. Hodgkinson-Williams and H. Trotter, “A social justice framework for understanding open educational resources and practices in the Global South,” *Journal of Learning for Development*, vol. 5, no. 3, pp. 204–224, 2018.

[7] S. R. Lambert, “Do MOOCs and OERs widen or narrow the education gap? A social justice analysis of open education,” *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 1–19, 2020.

[8] S. Mishra, “Open educational resources in South Asia: Policy, practice, and systemic challenges to equity,” *Asian Journal of Distance Education*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 44–59, 2024.

[9] UNESCO, *Recommendation on Open Educational Resources (OER)*, UNESCO General Conference, 40th Session, Paris, 2019.

[10] D. Wiley, A. S. Webb, S. Weston, and D. Tonks, "The economics of openness: Long-term sustainability and equity of OER in K-12 and higher education frameworks," *Journal of Educational Change*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 211–230, 2025.