Customer Engagement and Chatbots: Examining the Role Of AI-Powered Conversational Agents in Enhancing Customer Experience and Relationship Management

Abhay Jeet Singh[1], Dr. Sachin Shukla[2]
[1,2]*Chandigarh University*

***Abstract*—The fast development of Artificial Intelligence (AI) has changed how organisations engage with consumers, offer services, and build long-term client relationships. AI-powered chatbots are becoming a major digital communication tool, allowing businesses to provide automated, personalised and ongoing customer care. This study paper discusses the link between consumer interaction and chatbot technology, focusing on customer happiness, service quality, personalisation, trust, responsiveness, and customer loyalty. The research intends to explore the impact of chatbot features on customer experience and how organisations may use conversational AI to enhance consumer engagement in competitive digital marketplaces. The study is quantitative, descriptive and analytical. It is backed by contemporary literature produced between 2023 and 2026. The suggested structured questionnaire employs a five-point Likert scale to assess customers' impressions of the usefulness of chatbots. For the purpose of illustrating the quantitative analysis, an example dataset of 200 fictitious respondents is utilised to evaluate patterns of chatbot use, customer happiness, personalisation, responsiveness, trust, and continuing usage intentions. The results were presented using descriptive statistics, percentage analysis, mean scores and comparative interpretation. The study approach identifies chatbot responsiveness, personalisation, communication quality, and perceived dependability as key elements that impact consumer engagement. The article also investigates how consumer trust and privacy concerns moderate the effect of chatbot interactions on customer loyalty. Studies have shown that conversational agents may improve customer experience and engagement. Their success, however, relies on the interaction quality, human-like communication, transparency, and availability of a human assistant when needed. The report indicates that chatbots are not a basic automated customer support tool but a strategic tool for relationship management and digital marketing. Organisations should combine intelligent chatbots with human customer-support systems to boost consumer pleasure, trust, repeat contact and sustainable client relationships. The study findings have theoretical and managerial implications for organisations that adopt AI-based client engagement techniques.**

***Index Terms*—Customer Engagement; Artificial Intelligence; Chatbots; Conversational AI; Customer Satisfaction; Customer Experience; Customer Loyalty; Personalization; Customer Trust; Digital Marketing; Customer Relationship Management.**

I. INTRODUCTION

The dynamics of the connection between companies and their clients have been fundamentally changed by the fast evolution of digital technology. Organisations are increasingly turning to digital communication channels to understand consumer expectations, offer instant support, and build long-term connections. Artificial Intelligence (AI) is a key technology for enhancing client engagement in today fluid corporate climate. Chatbots are one of the many AI applications that have become quite important since they enable automatic discussions between companies and consumers via websites, mobile apps, social media platforms and messaging services.

Customer participation is the psychological, emotional and behavioural investment that consumers put into their interactions with a firm. It is not just about purchase activity, but also about information sharing, feedback, suggestions, repeat encounters and engagement in brand-related activities. Effective client engagement enables organisations to build customer happiness, reinforce brand ties and boost client retention.

Chatbots are computer-based conversational agents that use technologies such as Natural Language

Processing (NLP), machine learning, and generative AI to comprehend client enquiries and provide suitable replies. They provide 24/7 assistance, answer often asked questions, suggest items, aid with transactions, and address normal service-related concerns. Mariani et al. [1] highlighted the main research issues in AI-based conversational agents as customer trust, natural language processing, communication of chatbots, and generation of commercial value.

Another key area of academic inquiry has been the intersection of AI and consumer experience. The interdisciplinary link between artificial intelligence and customer experience was emphasised by Peruchini et al. [2], who showed the increasing relevance of intelligent technology in corporate management and digital services.

However, the adoption of a chatbot does not ensure great client interaction. But customers might become frustrated when chatbots provide irrelevant replies, misinterpret requests, lack emotional intelligence and are unable to deal with complex situations. Therefore, trust is a crucial aspect that influences client acceptance and satisfaction. Studies by the authors of [3] reveal that certain characteristics of trust have a positive impact on customer satisfaction and repurchase intentions. The involvement of humans may enhance the performance of chatbot-based services.

Communication style is an also a key factor in client engagement. Cai et al. [4] studied the impacts of communication styles of chatbots on customer satisfaction, trust and engagement in the setting of service failures.

Technology enhancements are important, but organisations still struggle with issues like data privacy, information security, transparency and finding the right mix of automation and human assistance.

Therefore, this study explores the link between consumer engagement and chatbot technology, including the effect of responsiveness, personalisation, communication quality, trust and satisfaction. It seeks to discover how organisations may use AI-powered chatbots to enhance client experiences, establish customer connections, and develop lasting competitive advantages.

II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

The growing use of conversational AI has motivated academics to explore the link between chatbot features and consumer engagement. Recent research has examined chatbot adoption, perceived usefulness, trust, personalisation, customer satisfaction and behavioural goals.

Ding and Najaf [5] investigated the psychological aspects affecting the adoption of AI chatbots in e-commerce. Their survey was of 343 consumers and explored interaction, perceived humanness, trust and adoption intention. The results showed that involvement and perceived humanness had a favourable effect on confidence in AI chatbots. Trust also plays a mediating role in the link between chatbot qualities and customers' inclination to utilise chatbot services. This work underscores the need to build engaging and human-like interfaces for chatbots.

Research into customer involvement inside chatbot and voicebot settings has also grown. The investigation was conducted in various tests with 477 virtual-assistant users, as reported in the Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services [6]. It focused on the cognitive, emotional and behavioural involvement in online shopping. The results suggest that voice interfaces may provide benefits in specific experiential product situations, suggesting that communication mode affects consumer involvement.

Gomes et al. [7] examined the effect of intelligent chatbots on consumer engagement and customer experience. The researchers surveyed 1,319 Portuguese customers who have used chatbots while making online transactions. The results showed that customer experience drivers had favourable effects on consumer engagement. Furthermore, engagement influences the link between chatbot experience and consumer behavioural intentions. It demonstrates that client engagement is an essential way via which chatbot interactions may affect company results.

Teepapal [8] investigated AI-based personalisation and its effect on customer perception and social media participation. The study found the necessity of trust and perceived usefulness to explain client engagement. But privacy issues pose a problem for organisations deploying personalised AI initiatives.

Kumar et al. [9] studied smart banking chatbots and user engagement via trust and privacy-related issues.

Research with 287 banking clients shows that conversational agility and ubiquitous connection might enhance chatbot trustworthiness, but privacy issues can adversely affect certain interactions.

Finally, in 2026, Fares et al. [10] conducted a thorough literature review and meta-analysis on conversational bot acceptance. Their study synthesised 48 quantitative studies, including 34,302 respondents. They highlighted crucial themes like service quality, human-like connection, trust, user experience, privacy and security.

Research gap: While previous research has studied the adoption of chatbots, as well as customer satisfaction and trust, there is a need for further research to understand the cumulative impact of responsiveness, personalisation, communication quality and trust on consumer engagement and intention to maintain use. The current study fills this gap by offering an integrated quantitative approach.

III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research Design

This research utilises a quantitative, descriptive and analytical research approach to evaluate the link between consumer engagement and AI-powered chatbots. The study is to examine the impact of chatbot features on customer happiness, trust, personalisation and intention of continuous use.

3.2. Research Objectives

1) To investigate the use of AI-driven chatbots in enhancing client interaction.
2) To examine the impact of chatbot response on satisfaction of customers.
3) To examine the link between chatbot personalisation and customer experience.
4) To explore the influence of chatbot dependability and trust on continuing use intentions.
5) To identify the barriers in adoption of chatbot in customer relationship management.

3.3 Research Hypotheses

H1: Chatbot response positively affects consumer engagement.
H2: Personalised chatbots result in greater client satisfaction.
H3: Customer trust has a beneficial effect on the continuous use intentions of chatbots.
H4: Overall, chatbot service quality has a favourable effect on consumer engagement.

3.4 Sample Size and Sampling Technique

For the suggested empirical research, a sample of 200 consumers with past experience of engaging with AI-powered chatbots is taken. Respondents are chosen via convenience sampling from various age groups and vocational backgrounds. Geographically, it may be expanded to users who are utilising online shopping, banking, telecommunications and other digital services.

3.5 Data Collection

Primary data will be obtained by a structured questionnaire that includes demographic questions and comments rated on a five-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (Strongly Disagree) to 5 (Strongly Agree).
The secondary information is collected from the research papers published from 2023 to 2026 in the journals provided by the publishers such as Elsevier, Springer Nature, Wiley and other academic databases.

3.6 Statistical Techniques

The analysis suggested will include frequency distribution, percentage analysis, mean scores and comparative interpretation. For a completed empirical investigation, correlation and multiple regression may be used to investigate the links between chatbot traits and consumer engagement. Statistical analysis may be done using SPSS or SmartPLS.

Important: The figures shown below are indicative numerical outputs for a hypothetical sample of 200 respondents. These are not the findings of a given questionnaire or real SPSS analysis.

IV. RESULTS AND DATA ANALYSIS

Table 1: Customer Usage Patterns and Preferences Regarding AI-Powered Chatbots

Table 1 displays the distribution of the respondents by their chatbot use, favourite apps and interaction techniques. The data indicated that 52 respondents (26%) use AI-powered chatbots on a daily basis, while 76 respondents (38%) use chatbots on a weekly basis. Only 18 respondents (9%) report seldom using chatbot

services, while 54 respondents (27%) use chatbot services sometimes.

Table 1: Distribution of respondents according to chatbot usage patterns (N = 200)

Particulars	Category	Frequency	Percentage
Frequency of usage	Daily	52	26.0%
	Weekly	76	38.0%
	Occasionally	54	27.0%
	Rarely	18	9.0%
Preferred application	Online shopping	64	32.0%
	Banking	46	23.0%
	Customer support	58	29.0%
	Travel and hospitality	32	16.0%
Preferred interaction	Text-based chatbot	132	66.0%
	Voice-based chatbot	42	21.0%
	Hybrid chatbot	26	13.0%

Source: Illustrative hypothetical dataset prepared for research presentation.

The results indicate that chatbots have become a common technique for digital communication. The total number of daily and weekly users is 64% which indicates a large amount of daily involvement in the hypothetical sample [11].

It might be often used because of the ease of getting automated help, the fast access to information and the presence of customer services beyond normal business hours. In terms of application preferences, internet shopping is the biggest category with 64 respondents (32%). 58 respondents (29%) are from customer assistance, followed by 46 respondents (23%) from banking. Travel and hospitality: 32 (16%) responders These results show the relevance of chatbot applications across diverse sectors, especially in situations when consumers need instant information, help with transactions and service-related recommendations. An intriguing pattern also emerges in the preferred way to engage. 132 respondents (66%) prefer text-based chatbots, while 42 respondents (21%) prefer voice-based chatbots. 26 respondents (13%) favour hybrid chatbot systems. The text-based preference may be attributed to ease, accessibility, and the capacity to communicate without speaking out loud.

But as conversational technologies evolve, voice-based and mixed interfaces may become more significant. These results are in line with the general study direction highlighted by Mariani et al. [1] who pointed out the relevance of conversational technologies for customer communication and the generation of company value. Studies on chatbot and voice Bot engagement also indicate that interface styles might affect consumer engagement depending upon product and service context [6]. Interpretation: Chatbots are a significant medium of contact with customers. Text based systems [12] have the greatest illustrative use preference.

Table 2: Customer Satisfaction with AI-Powered Chatbot Services

Table 2: Respondents' perceptions of chatbot service quality (N = 200)

Satisfaction indicators	Highly satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Highly dissatisfied
Response speed	82 (41%)	70 (35%)	28 (14%)	14 (7%)	6 (3%)
Availability	90 (45%)	72 (36%)	22 (11%)	10 (5%)	6 (3%)
Accuracy of answers	58 (29%)	74 (37%)	40 (20%)	20 (10%)	8 (4%)
Ease of interaction	76 (38%)	78 (39%)	30 (15%)	12 (6%)	4 (2%)
Problem resolution	48 (24%)	68 (34%)	46 (23%)	26 (13%)	12 (6%)

Source: Illustrative hypothetical dataset prepared for research presentation.

Table 2 investigates consumer satisfaction in five critical characteristics of chatbot service quality, including response speed, availability, accuracy, ease of contact, and issue resolution. The findings show that availability has the greatest degree of positive satisfaction. responses who are very happy with

chatbot availability is 90 responses (45%) and those who are satisfied is 72 replies (36%). Hence, 81% of the respondents had a favourable outlook on the availability of chatbots. The result emphasises the significance of ongoing customer assistance in the present-day company climate.[13] Response time also shows a good trend. About 76% of respondents are happy or extremely satisfied with the quickness of chatbot answer. This implies that one of the major benefits of AI-powered customer care systems is the ability to communicate fast. Ease of interaction 76 respondents (38%) are very pleased and 78 respondents (39%) are satisfied. The overall favourable answer of 77% suggests that consumers usually like interfaces that enable them to engage with organisations simply [14]. However, the accuracy of the answers provided by the chatbot is somewhat less satisfactory. The majority of responses, 66%, are favourable, while 20% are indifferent and 14% are dissatisfied. This means that clients might get chatbot replies that are wrong, partial or irrelevant. The biggest task is to solve the problem. Only 58% of the respondents say they are satisfied or very satisfied and 19% say they are dissatisfied. The results imply that chatbots may be helpful in addressing straightforward queries, but may struggle with more complex client complaints or unusual service demands.

These results confirm the significance of trust and service quality as mentioned in the literature on chatbot customer satisfaction and human-agent integration [3]. They also support Cai et al. [4], who studied the effect of the chatbot communication styles on customer satisfaction and engagement in service failure situations. Interpretation: Chatbot services are outstanding in availability, response speed, and ease of engagement, but need more technical and management improvements in accuracy and sophisticated issue solving.

Table 3: Influence of Chatbot Personalization, Responsiveness, and Trust on Customer Engagement

Table 3: Customer perceptions of important chatbot engagement factors (N = 200)

Engagement factors	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree
Personalized recommendations	60 (30%)	78 (39%)	34 (17%)	20 (10%)	8 (4%)
Quick responses encourage engagement	86 (43%)	72 (36%)	24 (12%)	12 (6%)	6 (3%)
Human-like communication improves experience	64 (32%)	76 (38%)	36 (18%)	16 (8%)	8 (4%)
Trust in chatbot information	48 (24%)	76 (38%)	42 (21%)	24 (12%)	10 (5%)
Chatbots improve brand interaction	68 (34%)	80 (40%)	30 (15%)	16 (8%)	6 (3%)

Source: Illustrative hypothetical dataset prepared for research presentation.

Table 3 explores the association between essential chatbot features and consumer engagement. The 5 characteristics include personalised advice, rapid response, human-like conversation, trust on chatbot information and better brand connection. The findings reveal that the most important element for engagement in the illustrative sample is fast answers. 86 respondents (43%) agreed strongly that rapid answers promote client involvement and 72 respondents (36%) agreed. 79 % is the sum of the positives. This suggests a possible role of responsiveness in motivating clients to use digital service channels [15]. Personalised suggestions get a thumbs up as well. 69% of respondents either agree or strongly agree that personalised suggestions enhance their experience. This shows that consumers prefer chatbot systems that can comprehend their needs and provide related product or service recommendations. Combined favourable reaction to human-like communication: 70 per cent. The study implies that internet contacts may be made more pleasant by using conversational tone, comprehensible language and contextual answers. However, organisations should make sure that human-like design does not confuse clients as to whether they are engaging with a computer or a human representative [16]. The trust in the chatbot information has a considerably lower favourable response rate of 62%. This means that clients may still have worries about the accuracy, security and dependability of information given by automated

systems. The combined most favourable reaction after fast replies is Improved brand engagement at 74 percent. This shows that chatbot conversation may allow businesses to be in touch with customers around the clock and to build closer digital brand connections The results are conceptually corroborated by Ding and Najaf [5] who found that interaction and perceived humanness are crucial elements impacting trust and chatbots adoption. In the same vein, Teepapal [8] emphasised the significance of trust and perceived utility in AI-powered customer interaction. Interpretation: Responsiveness and personalisation are considered drivers of consumer engagement, whereas trust requires further organisational effort.

Table 4: Customer Loyalty and Future Usage Intentions Regarding Chatbots

Table 4: Respondents' future behavioural intentions towards chatbot services (N = 200)

Behavioural indicators	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree
Intention to use chatbots again	76 (38%)	78 (39%)	26 (13%)	14 (7%)	6 (3%)
Willingness to recommend chatbot services	54 (27%)	72 (36%)	42 (21%)	22 (11%)	10 (5%)
Preference for chatbot support for routine queries	82 (41%)	74 (37%)	26 (13%)	12 (6%)	6 (3%)
Willingness to use personalized chatbot services	66 (33%)	82 (41%)	30 (15%)	16 (8%)	6 (3%)
Continued use when human support is available	70 (35%)	80 (40%)	30 (15%)	14 (7%)	6 (3%)

Source: Illustrative hypothetical dataset prepared for research presentation.

Table 4 evaluates customers' future behavioural intentions for AI-powered chatbot services. It looks at repeat use, intention to suggest, preference for regular help, personalised services and availability of human support. The findings show that 77% of respondents either strongly agreed or agreed that they expect to utilise chatbots again. This implies that easy and enjoyable experiences offered by chatbot services may induce good use intentions of customers [17].78% of respondents reply positively to preference for chatbot help in managing regular questions. The study implies that consumers could want automated help with simple activities such as order status, account information, product identification, and basic service instructions. The willingness to employ personalised chatbot services also earns a favourable response of 74%. This implies that users enjoy digital services that understand their needs and provide suitable suggestions [18]. When asked about their likelihood of recommending chatbot services, 63% of respondents said yes, while 16% said no or strongly disagreed. This considerably lower score shows that repeat use may not always convert into high consumer advocacy. If customers find chatbots helpful, they may be hesitant to promote them when they meet service constraints.75% of the respondents responded positively to the availability of human help. This shows how useful it may be to integrate automated customer services with human help. Customers can want chatbots for easy queries but want human people to take care of complicated complaints, emotional difficulties and extraordinary service circumstances. The results are in line with the findings of Gomes et al. [7] who showed that consumer involvement mediates significantly between chatbot encounters and behavioural intentions. They also support the results of Fares and Lee [10] who identified usefulness, convenience of use, trust and satisfaction as major predictors of conversational bot adoption. Implications: Positive chatbot encounters may result in reuse and client loyalty intentions. However, companies should provide human assistance channels to help with confidence and long-term client connections.

V. CONCLUSION

This study article evaluated the link between consumer engagement and AI-driven chatbots, taking into account the importance of responsiveness, personalisation, customer happiness, communication quality, trust, and customer loyalty. The report points

to the growing relevance of conversational AI in reconfiguring conventional customer-service models into dynamic, tech-powered interaction platforms. The example quantitative results show that consumers like chatbot services because they are always available, respond quickly, are convenient, and can deliver personalised information. Analysis of chatbot use trends indicates that the hypothetical sample prefers text-based chatbots for engagement. The availability, quickness of reaction and simplicity of engagement are considerably more satisfactory to the customers. However, accuracy and complicated issue solutions are still major concerns. Moreover, the data shows that responsiveness and personalisation are major perceived elements of consumer involvement. Customers also show good attitudes regarding continuing use of chatbots and personalised digital services.

However, organisations need to pay more attention to build consumer trust and their desire to advocate chatbot services. A significant finding of the study is that the use of chatbots should not be seen as a whole substitute for human customer support agents. Instead, organisations could build a hybrid customer-support model where chatbots answer common queries, while human agents address complex complaints and sensitive exchanges. The combination may help service efficiency, while keeping client trust. Organisations should also invest in sophisticated Natural Language Processing, generative AI, multilingual communication, data security and ongoing evaluation of chatbot performance. The key is to be transparent about automated interactions and to responsibly handle consumer data to build long-term trust. The research adds to customer relationship management by offering an integrated view of chatbot characteristics and client interaction. However, the example data set does not allow to demonstrate actual correlations or statistical significance. The author recommends that future research uses bigger and more geographically diversified samples for surveys and applies structural equation modelling to test the presented hypotheses. To summarise, AI-driven chatbots are a significant strategic potential to drive up consumer engagement, improve customer experience and enhance organisational competitiveness. Long-term success will hinge on the technology's stability, meaningful personalisation, consumer trust, and successful cooperation between humans and AI.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:

I am grateful to God and my guide for providing me this tremendous chance in my life. I would like to thank those who assisted me directly or indirectly in my work.

REFERENCES

[1] M. M. Mariani, N. Hashemi, and J. Wirtz, "Artificial intelligence empowered conversational agents: A systematic literature review and research agenda," *Journal of Business Research*, vol. 161, Art. no. 113838, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2023.113838.

[2] M. Peruchini, G. M. da Silva, and J. M. Teixeira, "Between artificial intelligence and customer experience: A literature review on the intersection," Discover Artificial Intelligence, vol. 4, Art. no. 4, 2024, doi: 10.1007/s44163-024-00105-8.

[3] D. Huang, D. G. Markovitch, and R. A. Stough, "Can chatbot customer service match human service agents on customer satisfaction? An investigation in the role of trust," Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services, vol. 76, Art. no. 103600, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.jretconser.2023.103600.

[4] N. Cai, S. Gao, and J. Yan, "How the communication style of chatbots influences consumers' satisfaction, trust, and engagement in the context of service failure," Humanities and Social Sciences Communications, vol. 11, Art. no. 687, 2024, doi: 10.1057/s41599-024-03212-0.

[5] Y. Ding and M. Najaf, "Interactivity, humanness, and trust: A psychological approach to AI chatbot adoption in e-commerce," BMC Psychology, vol. 12, Art. no. 595, 2024, doi: 10.1186/s40359-024-02083-z.

[6] K. Rohit, A. Shankar, G. Katiyar, A. Mehrotra, and E. A. Alzeiby, "Consumer engagement in chatbots and voicebots: A multiple-experiment approach in online retailing context," Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services, vol. 78, Art. no. 103728, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.jretconser.2024.103728.

[7] S. Gomes, J. M. Lopes, and T. Trancoso, "Customer experience in digital transformation: The influence of intelligent chatbots toward a

sustainable market," International Journal of Innovation Science, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 803–823, 2025, doi: 10.1108/IJIS-06-2024-0148.

[8] T. Teepapal, "AI-driven personalization: Unraveling consumer perceptions in social media engagement," Computers in Human Behavior, vol. 165, Art. no. 108549, 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2024.108549.

[9] P. Kumari, R. Kumar, N. Singh, and H. Alofaysan, "Smart banking chatbots and consumer engagement: The role of trust and privacy in AI-driven banking," Journal of Strategic Marketing, 2025, advance online publication, doi: 10.1080/0965254X.2025.2481140.

[10] O. H. Fares and S. H. Lee, "Consumer acceptance of conversational bots: Systematic literature review and meta-analysis," Journal of Consumer Behaviour, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 1488–1520, 2026, doi: 10.1002/cb.70130.

[11] C. L. Hsu and J. C. Lin, "Understanding the user satisfaction and loyalty of customer service chatbots," Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services, vol. 71, Art. no. 103211, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.jretconser.2022.103211.

[12] A. Ranieri, I. Di Bernardo, and C. Mele, "Serving customers through chatbots: Positive and negative effects on customer experience," Journal of Service Theory and Practice, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 191–215, 2024, doi: 10.1108/JSTP-01-2023-0015.

[13] Y. Park, J. Kim, Q. Jiang, and K. H. Kim, "Impact of artificial intelligence (AI) chatbot characteristics on customer experience and customer satisfaction," Journal of Global Scholars of Marketing Science, vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 439–457, 2024, doi: 10.1080/21639159.2024.2362654.

[14] M. F. Shahzad, S. Xu, X. An, and I. Javed, "Assessing the impact of AI-chatbot service quality on user e-brand loyalty through chatbot user trust, experience and electronic word of mouth," Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services, vol. 79, Art. no. 103867, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.jretconser.2024.103867.

[15] W. Ozuem, S. Ranfagni, M. Willis, G. Salvietti, and K. Howell, "Exploring the relationship between chatbots, service failure recovery and customer loyalty: A frustration–aggression perspective," Psychology & Marketing, vol. 41, no. 10, pp. 2253–2273, 2024, doi: 10.1002/mar.22051.

[16] Y. Lu and J. Zhang, "Balancing identity diversity and product contexts: Understanding consumer trust in AI-enhanced chatbot services," Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services, vol. 84, Art. no. 104205, 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.jretconser.2024.104205.

[17] S. Y. Kim, S. G. Lee, and G. Y. Hong, "User satisfaction with the service quality of ChatGPT," Service Business, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 417–431, 2024, doi: 10.1007/s11628-024-00566-y.

[18] H. H. Alshibly, A. Alwreikat, R. Morgos, and M. Y. Abuaddous, "Examining the mediating role of customer empowerment: The impact of chatbot usability on customer satisfaction in Jordanian commercial banks," Cogent Business & Management, vol. 11, no. 1, Art. no. 2387196, 2024, doi: 10.1080/23311975.2024.2387196.