AI Tools In Newsrooms: Transforming Journalism, Efficiency and Editorial Responsibility

R. Nagaiswarya, Dr Deepa Viswam
[1]*PHD Scholar, Department of Visual Communication, Mother Teresa Women's University, Kodaikanal.*
[2]*Associate Professor, Head of The Department, Visual Communication, Mother Teresa Women's University, Kodaikanal.*

***Abstract*— Artificial Intelligence (AI) is increasingly becoming part of contemporary newsroom practices, transforming the way of news is gathered, processed, produced and distributed. The modern newsrooms now use AI tools for transcription, translation, summarisation, data analysis, headline generation, image creation, video editing, audience analytics and content recommendation. While these technologies can improve the speed and efficiency of journalistic work, their use also raises important concerns about accuracy, transparency, bias, human oversight and editorial responsibility. Several major news organisations (like Reuters, AP, Bloomberg) have publicly discussed or demonstrated the use of AI-assisted technologies in their newsroom operations. These developments illustrate a broader transformation in journalistic practice, where AI increasingly functions as an assistive technology rather than simply as an independent content producer.**

This study qualitatively examines the use of AI tools in newsrooms by analysing selected news articles published between 2021 - 2026. For the empirical study, a small online survey was conducted. The respondents from Tamil news media organisations shared their AI uses in their work and the AI policies followed officially. The study focuses on how news organizations report and describe the adoption of AI, the journalistic tasks supported by these technologies, the benefits associated with newsroom efficiency, and the challenges related to editorial responsibility and ethical journalism. It argues that AI should be treated as an assistive technology rather than an autonomous replacement for editorial judgement. The paper proposes a human-centred framework based on accuracy, transparency, accountability, fairness, privacy and verification. It concludes that effective AI adoption requires practical policy, continuing staff training, risk-based review procedures and clear public disclosure where AI materially contributes to published journalism.

***Index Terms*— Artificial Intelligence, Newsrooms, Journalism, Generative AI, Automation, Editorial ethics, News credibility, Newsroom technology**

I. INTRODUCTION

The rapid growth in Artificial Intelligence changes our digital life entirely. As like that Journalism not an exception. AI plays a major role in contemporary journalism. Whereas earlier newsroom technologies mainly supported content-management systems, web publishing, basic automation and structured data. But the growth of Generative AI tools can transcribe interviews, summarise documents, translate stories, generate headlines, and generate images and videos using prompts. They include generative AI tools, natural language-processing systems, speech-recognition software, machine-translation tools and data-analysis systems. It means newsrooms are shifting from using AI as a tool to "AI as an infrastructure". Some major news organisation revealed their AI workflows in public forum.

News Agency	AI-Assisted Work	Main Purpose
Reuters	Transcription	Convert speech/video into text
Reuters	Translation	Multilingual news production
Reuters	Video analysis	Find scenes and clips
Reuters	AI search	Search large content archives
Reuters	Visual verification	Assist image/video verification
AP	Data-driven reporting	Produce routine news efficiently

AP	Sports reporting	Generate routine sports updates
AP	Financial reporting	Convert structured data into reports
Bloomberg	Financial data analysis	Identify market trends
Bloomberg	Pattern detection	Find important developments
Bloomberg	News discovery	Assist journalists in finding stories

According to the report "AP survey reveals AI's impact on newsrooms" published on April 24, 2024, generative AI has almost changed the tasks and workflows of newsrooms already; yet there is a need for training initiatives and for more detailed evaluations. Editors, managers and executives should be more responsible for using generative AI because of its misinformation, Dialectal error and emotional values. There must be clear and concrete guidelines to navigate the journalist while using GenAI. The report of Reuters How will AI reshape the news in 2026? identifies five important developments: Audiences accessing news through AI technology, increased demand for verification, the growth of automation and AI agents, newsroom investment in AI infrastructure, and expanded use of AI in data journalism. These developments indicate that AI is not merely a production tool but also influences how news is discovered, edited, distributed and consumed throughout the process.

II. BACKGROUND STUDY

Newsroom function	Examples of AI use	Potential journalistic value	Primary risks
News gathering and research	Document search, topic mapping, interview-question generation, data scraping	Faster research and identification of patterns	Fabricated summaries, missed context, unreliable source attribution
Transcription and translation	Speech-to-text, subtitles, translation of interviews and documents	Faster production and wider multilingual access	Errors in names, quotations, dialects and culturally specific meaning
Writing and editing	Drafting, summarising, headline options, grammar checks, socialmedia adaptation	Reduces routine work and creates multiple format options	Hallucinated facts, plagiarism, loss of nuance and inappropriate tone
Data journalism	Pattern detection, coding support, data cleaning and visualisation	Helps analyse large datasets and identify potential stories	Misleading correlations, poor-quality data and opaque models
Verification	Claim comparison, image analysis, metadata review and anomaly detection	Assists factcheckers and speeds up preliminary checks	False confidence in imperfect detection tools
Visual journalism	Image enhancement, illustration, image descriptions, video editing and graphics	Improves accessibility and visual storytelling	Synthetic or misleading images, copyright issues and misrepresentation
Distribution and engagement	Personalised recommendations, newsletter support and audience analytics	Better reach and format adaptation	Filter bubbles, privacy concerns and commercial bias
Newsroom function	Examples of AI use	Potential journalistic value	Primary risks
Workflow management	Tagging, archive search, content management and scheduling	Improves efficiency and organisational memory	Dependency on vendors and security vulnerabilities

III. REVIEW OF LITRATURE

Sonni AF (2025) states that "Digital transformation has fundamentally changed the face of journalism. Imagine a modern newsroom: digital screens display real-time data, AI algorithms analyze news trends, and journalists collaborate with virtual assistants to produce content. This is no longer a picture of the future but the reality we are facing."

Cigdem Oztabak, a journalist for CNN Turkiye wrote "People will consume news less by reading articles and more by asking an AI assistant and receiving it in their own context, like 'Explain the impact in my life,' 'Summarise this for my industry,' 'How solid is this

claim?' Audience behaviour will split into two modes: comfort mode, summary and suggested actions; and trust mode, a demand to see evidence, sources, and quotations. For both, journalism will become a layer inside the 'Answer Economy',"

The Guardian says that when they use generative AI, they will be open "with their readers when they do this". CBC talks about "no surprises" for their audiences, stating that they will label AI-generated content: "We will not use or present AI-generated content to audiences without full disclosure." Similar statements can be found in the guidelines of the Dutch Press

Agency (ANP), Mediahuis, the Belgian Media Council (RVDJ), the German Press Agency (DPA), and the German Journalists' Association (DJV), that AI generated content needs to be clearly labelled.

Ringier, a media group in Switzerland, describes that their general rule is that content that is generated by AI needs to be labelled. Interestingly, this requirement is not necessary "in cases where an AI tool is used only as an aid" suggesting a different approach towards transparency when AI is used by people as an augmenting part of their workflows. Similarly, STT says that the use of AI in news reporting must always be communicated to the public: "This applies both to situations where technology has been used to help produce the news and to news where the source material has been created by machine intelligence."

IV. RESEARCH GAP

The literature showcase that AI is grooming our Newsrooms from journalistic production to distribution. But there is limited empirical research on how AI tools are adopted, evaluated and governed in Indian regional-language newsrooms. Research on Tamil journalism is particularly limited whereas Tamil as a major language of news, culture and digital communication. Research about polices identified the transparency needed, oversight and verification as a common principle, but it also states that many newsrooms lack actionable procedures for implementing them. Often policies much not clearly mention the risk of third-party vendor, data privacy, tool selection, AI generated visual content and Dialectal error. there is insufficient evidence on the relationship between AI use, newsroom practice and public trust in regional contexts. Questions remain about whether audiences distinguish between AI-assisted and AIgenerated journalism, what types of disclosure they expect and how such disclosure affects perceived credibility.

V. THEORETICAL IMPLICATIONS

This research supports the use of Source Credibility Theory because audiences evaluate news partly through perceived expertise, trustworthiness, accuracy, reliability, and related credibility judgments. Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) provides a complementary perspective for understanding perceptions of AI-mediated content, particularly perceived usefulness and ease of use. Uses and Gratifications Theory can help explain why audiences select, consume, and engage with different forms of news.

Independent variables: AI tools, the type of AI software used, the frequency of AI use, organisational AI policies, verification requirements, disclosure rules and AI training. Dependent variables: Perceived productivity, perceived quality of journalism, confidence in identifying AI-generated content, ethical concerns, trust in AI-generated news.

VI. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

- To identify major applications of AI tools in contemporary newsrooms.
- To assess the opportunities created by AI for journalism practice.
- To analyse ethical, editorial and organisational risks associated with newsroom AI.
- To propose a practical framework for responsible AI use in news organisations.

VII. METHODOLOGY

This paper uses mixed methodology both Qualitative and Empirical Study. For Qualitative access The review drew upon peer-reviewed studies, systematic reviews and credible journalism-policy reports published mainly between 2021 and 2026. Sources were selected when they addressed one or more of the following:

- AI or generative AI in journalism.
- Automated journalism and newsroom workflows.

- Newsroom policies and AI governance.
- Journalism ethics, accountability and transparency.
- AI effects on editorial work and public trust.
- Global South or local-language challenges in AI adoption.

Key search terms included AI in newsrooms, generative AI journalism, automated journalism, AI newsroom policy, AI ethics journalism, algorithmic journalism, AI verification and newsroom AI governance.

For Empirical study, Online survey was conducted among media professionals from different type of news organisations in Tamilnadu. Totally 15 responders participated. As they performed different roles including Journalist, editors, Photographers, videographers, producers, social media staff the study was able to examine the uses of AI tools across various stages of News production.

VIII. RESEARCH QUESTIONS

RQ1: How frequently Journalist use AI tools for professional work and for which newsroom task?
RQ2; Which category of AI tools and AI softwires do they use most often?
RQ3: Does their organisation have a written policy on AI use? If they have, what is the level of clarity on the policies?
RQ4: Does the organisation require journalists to disclose AI use to an editor or supervisor?
RQ5: How does the organisation handle confidential information when using AI tools?
RQ6: What type of AI training has their organisation provided?
RQ7: Does AI tools reduce the number of journalism jobs?

IX. FINDING FROM QUALITATIVE ANALYSIS

The qualitative review of publications published between 2021 and 2026 identified seven main concept related AI tools in Journalism. First and for most AI adaptation is gradually increasing in newsrooms, where AI is used for background research, transcription, language translation, writing assistance, data analysis and audience distribution. Second, GenAI helps several Newsroom applications, including drafting, summarisation, voice cloning, Audio generation, headline creation, social-media wring and visual production like video and image generations. Here the research noticed that ethically, news organizations face questions about whether AI use should be disclosed, who is accountable for an AI-generated story, what data may be supplied to external systems and third-party vendors, and how human editorial responsibility should be maintained by the organization.

Third editorial control remains essential because AI output may be inaccurate or incomplete information, local dialect error, bias; therefor human oversight, verification and editorial responsibility are necessary. AI-generated text may sound confident even it containing false or unsupported information. This is most dangerous in journalism because published errors can damage individuals, influence public debate and spread rapidly across digital platforms as because journalism is a main concerned department in democratic country.

Fourth, although many media organisations have principles related to transparency and human supervision, their practical implementation remains uneven. Fifth, the major risks associated with AI practices in Journalism include privacy violations, copyright issues, job insecurity and stuck into technological companies. To mention Tamil-language journalism, AI tools may offer valuable benefits in translation, captioning, transcription, accessibility and local-language content production. At the same time, systems trained primarily on English or dominantlanguage datasets may make errors in Tamil names, dialects, idioms, place names and cultural references. They may also reproduce harmful gender, caste, class or regional stereotypes.

Therefore, AI tools used in Tamil newsrooms require local testing, editorial review by Tamilspeaking journalists and feedback from diverse communities.

Sixth and most recommended one is, journalists require AI literacy, verification skills and ethical training to use AI responsibly as it could leads privacy violations of news organisations. AI also complicates the principle of authorship. When a journalist use AI generated content must be labelled. A tool cannot be held morally or professionally accountable; the journalist and editor who approve publication must remain responsible for the final work. Finally, the literature reveals a regional gap, as research on AI in

regional-language and Global South newsrooms remains limited.

X. FINDINGS FROM EMPERICAL STUDY

86.7% of respondents reported that their organisation uses AI tools either regularly or occasionally. This shows that AI is no longer a future possibility for these media organisations; it is already part of routine newsroom practice.

80% of respondents use AI tools daily or several times a day. This indicates that AI use is frequent at the individual level, even where organisational guidance is limited.

Generative text tools are the most widely used AI category, selected by 60% respondents. Image-generation and image-editing tools are the second most common category, selected by 40% respondents. This is particularly relevant for visual communication because AI is being used not only for writing but also for visual news production.

ChatGPT is the dominant AI software, used by 93% respondents, followed by Google Gemini, used by 63% respondents. The high use of ChatGPT and Gemini suggests that general-purpose generative AI tools have entered newsroom workflows more strongly than specialised journalistic AI platforms.

AI is used mainly for drafting news copy, creating images or illustrations, background research, grammar editing, headline creation and translation. The use of AI for fact-checking and verification is comparatively lower, despite the risks associated with AI-generated content.

This creates an important imbalance: AI is frequently used to produce or assist content, but less frequently used to verify content.

86.7% of respondents reported that AI saves at least some time during the working day. However, for most respondents the saving is modest—less than one hour—while three respondents reported saving more than two hours daily.

writing and editing is the most time-saving AI application, followed by research and summarisation. This confirms that AI is primarily valued as a productivity tool for routine editorial work rather than as a replacement for core reporting or investigative journalism.

53.3% of respondents said their organisation has no written AI policy, while a further 26.7% did not know whether such a policy exists. Only 13.3% confirmed the presence of a detailed policy. This reveals a major gap between the rapid adoption of AI tools and the development of organisational governance.

Nearly half of respondents said journalists are not required to disclose AI use to an editor or supervisor. This suggests that AI assistance is often informal and may occur outside formal editorial control. “No formal requirement” was the most common response. This is a significant editorial concern because AI-assisted content may be published without a consistent verification process.

Most respondents reported that AI-generated text may be published only after substantial human editing. However, AI-generated visual content shows weaker control: five respondents said such visuals may appear without disclosure, while only three said they must be clearly labelled.

More than half of respondents said there is no clear rule on handling confidential information with AI tools. This is a serious data-security, privacy violence and source-protection risk, particularly for journalists handling unpublished investigations, private interviews or sensitive documents.

Job loss is the most frequently selected risk, followed by false information, copyright infringement and dependence on technology companies. Although bias and audience trust were selected less often, these remain important concerns because AI systems can reproduce social and cultural stereotypes and affect news credibility.

60% of respondents expressed moderate, high or extreme concern that AI-generated content may reduce public trust in journalism. This indicates that media professionals recognise a potential credibility risk even while continuing to use AI tools.

60% of respondents reported low or moderate confidence in identifying AI-generated or AImanipulated content. Only 13.3% expressed high confidence. This highlights an urgent need for AI-literacy and verification training.

Two-thirds of respondents reported receiving no AI training from their organisation. Only one respondent received formal organisational training. This finding strongly supports the need for structured AI-literacy programmes in media organisations.

Respondents moderately agree that AI improves productivity, but they are less convinced that AI improves journalistic quality. They also express

concern about job reduction and report insufficient organisational training. The mean score of 3.00 for audience disclosure indicates divided opinion, even though transparency is widely considered important in AI journalism research.

XI. DATA INTERPRATATION

Research theme	Findings from 2021– 2026 literature	Findings from online survey among Tamil news channels	Interpretation
AI adoption	AI is increasingly adopted in newsrooms.	86.7% of respondents' organisations use AI.	Strong convergence
Research theme	Findings from 2021– 2026 literature	Findings from online survey among Tamil news channels	Interpretation
AI applications	AI supports transcription, translation, research, drafting and editing.	Respondents mainly use AI for drafting, image creation, research, editing and translation.	Strong convergence
Production work	AI improves efficiency by reducing routine work.	Writing and editing was reported as the most timesaving application.	Strong Convergence
Editorial verification	Human verification is essential.	"No formal requirement" was the most common response for prepublication checks.	Divergence between recommended practice and actual practice
AI policy	Detailed policies are needed for responsible AI use.	53.3% reported no written policy.	Divergence
Training	AI literacy and training are necessary.	66.7% received no training.	Divergence
Ethical risks	Misinformation, bias, privacy and copyright are major concerns.	Job loss, false information, copyright and dependence on technology companies were leading concerns.	Partial convergence
Audience disclosure	Transparency is important for public trust.	Only two respondents said their organisation clearly explains disclosure requirements.	Divergence

XII. IMPLICATION

For Newsrooms: The study suggest that the media organisations should develop the practical AI polices. Those policies must include approved AI tools, permitted contents, dos and don'ts with AI, Verification process, privacy rules, copyright guidelines and most important point audience disclosure guidelines. Provide regular training in AI literacy, prompt use, verification, copyright, privacy and bias detection to their staffs.

For Journalists: Journalist should consider the AI output as an unverified material. They need to cross check the name, year, statics, facts and quotes which is given by AI. Be responsible for organisation, government and public people's privacy and aware of deepfake, copyrights and authorship for the content. Require journalists to inform editors when AI materially contributes to a news report.

For Journalism Education: Journalism and visual-communication programmes should incorporate AI literacy into their curriculum. Training should not focus only on how to use AI tools. It should also address when not to use them. Students should be trained in prompt use, AI verification, deepfake awareness, copyright, privacy, bias detection and ethical disclosure.

XIII. LIMITATIONS

This study has some limitations that should be considered. First, the qualitative research was based on a review of selected publications, few important news organisations reports and policy documents published only between 2021 and 2026. The review may not include all relevant studies, especially those published in regional languages. Second, the qualitative research was done with small sample size. The study also did not directly observe newsroom practices or analyse actual AI-generated news content. Third, AI

technology is changing rapidly. The tools, policies and practices discussed in this study may change quickly. This study recommended Future research should use a larger and more diverse sample, include audience studies and conduct content analysis of AI-assisted news materials.

XIV. CONCLUSION

AI can help newsroom productivity, speed, personalisation and creation of new content style. They assist with transcription, research, translation, editing, visual production, data journalism, verification and audience distribution. But it shouldn't override accuracy, context, fairness and public accountability. Routine sports scores, financial reports, weather alerts, captions, subtitles, social-media posts, and summaries can be generated quickly. This may allow journalists to spend more time on investigative reporting, interviews, data interpretation, and process other high-valuable contents.

The futuristic journalism must be like combination of technological and editorial responsibility with human accountability. News organisation must give training to their staff, drive transparent and clear policies, content verification procedure and local language expertise to examine the AI produced content. The simple and essential principle is Ai May Take on An Assistant Role; But Not Journalist's Role.

REFERENCE

[1] Associated Press, "AP survey reveals AI's impact on newsrooms." [Online]. Available: https://www.ap.org/the-definitivesource/products-and-services/ap-survey-reveals-ais-impact-on-newsrooms/

[2] Reuters Institute for the Study of Journalism, "How will AI reshape the news in 2026? Forecasts by 17 experts from around the world." [Online]. Available: https://reutersinstitute.politics.ox.ac.uk/news/how-will-ai-reshape-news-2026-forecasts-17experts-around-world

[3] "AI in journalism 2026–2027: 'More agentic automation'," ETC Journal, Apr. 3, 2026. [Online]. Available: https://etcjournal.com/2026/04/03/aiin-journalism-2026-2027-more-agentic-automation/

[4] Center for News, Technology & Innovation, "Newsroom policies for AI in journalism." [Online]. Available: https://cnti.org/reports/newsroom-policies-for-ai-injournalism-2/

[5] F. Sonni, "Digital transformation in journalism: Mini review on the impact of AI on journalistic practices," Frontiers in Communication, vol. 10, Art. no. 1535156, 2025, doi: 10.3389/fcomm.2025.1535156.

[6] H. Cools and N. Diakopoulos, "Uses of generative AI in the newsroom: Mapping journalists' perceptions of perils and possibilities," Journalism Practice, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 878–896, 2026, doi: 10.1080/17512786.2024.2394558.

[7] "Towards guidelines for guidelines on the use of generative AI in newsrooms," Generative AI in the Newsroom. [Online]. Available: https://generative-ai-newsroom.com/towards-guidelines-for-guidelines-on-the-use-ofgenerative-ai-in-newsrooms-55b0c2c1d960