Beyond Scans and Embryos: A Clinical Perspective on Emotional Well-Being and Psychological Support in Fertility Care

Sunayana Sukka[1], Lakshminarayana K[2]
[1]*Research Scholar, Department of Psychology, Bharatiya Engineering Science and Technology Innovation University (BESTIU), Anantapur, Andhra Pradesh, India.*
[2]*Research Supervisor, Clinical Psychologist, Department of Psychiatry, Institute of Mental Health, YSR Kadapa, Andhra Pradesh, India.*

***Abstract*—Fertility care often prioritises medical investigations, hormonal treatment, assisted reproductive technologies, embryo development, and pregnancy outcomes. However, the emotional and psychological well-being of individuals undergoing fertility treatment is an equally important component of comprehensive reproductive healthcare. Infertility and its treatment may be accompanied by psychological distress, anxiety, depressive symptoms, uncertainty, relationship difficulties, financial pressures, and challenges associated with unsuccessful treatment cycles. Social expectations, infertility-related stigma, and questions about parenthood may further intensify these experiences. This clinical perspective examines the emotional challenges associated with infertility and fertility treatment and highlights the importance of integrating psychosocial support into routine fertility services. It discusses the potential role of psychological counselling, individual coping strategies, partner and family support, and sensitive communication between healthcare professionals and patients. It also presents practical recommendations for identifying emotional distress, facilitating informed decision-making, providing support following unsuccessful treatment, and developing culturally responsive, patient-centred fertility services. The article emphasises that psychological support should be accessible throughout the fertility journey rather than introduced only when significant distress becomes apparent. Although further research is needed to establish the effectiveness and feasibility of different support models across diverse populations, integrating psychological care into reproductive healthcare may help individuals and couples manage uncertainty, strengthen coping, and feel heard and supported during treatment. Recognising emotional well-being as an integral component of fertility care can contribute to a more compassionate and holistic approach to reproductive health.**

***Index Terms*—Fertility care; infertility; emotional well-being; psychological distress; reproductive psychology; psychological counselling; psychosocial support; patient-centred care.**

I. INTRODUCTION

Fertility care has advanced considerably through developments in reproductive medicine, diagnostic procedures, hormonal treatments, and assisted reproductive technologies (ART). These developments have expanded treatment options for individuals and couples experiencing difficulties conceiving. However, the fertility journey involves more than medical procedures and clinical outcomes. Behind every consultation, scan, injection, embryo transfer, and pregnancy test is an individual or couple experiencing hopes, fears, uncertainty, and emotional expectations. Although clinical indicators such as ovarian response, embryo quality, implantation, and pregnancy outcomes are central to fertility treatment, the psychological experiences of patients are also important components of comprehensive reproductive healthcare.

Infertility is recognised as a global reproductive health concern. The World Health Organization (WHO, 2023) reported that approximately one in six people worldwide experience infertility during their lifetime, demonstrating the substantial global need for accessible and appropriate fertility services. Infertility may affect individuals irrespective of their social, economic, and cultural backgrounds. However, the

experience of infertility is shaped not only by biological factors but also by personal expectations, relationship dynamics, family structures, financial circumstances, and social attitudes towards parenthood. Consequently, fertility care requires an approach that considers both reproductive health and emotional well-being.

For many individuals, the experience of infertility may involve uncertainty, repeated medical investigations, demanding treatment schedules, financial commitments, and the anticipation of treatment outcomes. The waiting period between procedures and results may be particularly difficult because patients often have limited control over the outcome. An unsuccessful treatment cycle may lead to disappointment, sadness, grief, or concerns about future possibilities. Individuals may also face difficult decisions regarding whether to continue treatment, take a break, consider alternative family-building options, or discontinue treatment altogether. These experiences indicate that the emotional dimensions of fertility care may extend across the entire treatment journey rather than being limited to the period surrounding diagnosis.

Psychological distress associated with infertility can manifest in different ways, including anxiety, depressive symptoms, frustration, guilt, feelings of inadequacy, and social withdrawal. However, individuals do not necessarily respond to fertility difficulties in the same manner. Some may maintain effective coping strategies and supportive relationships, whereas others may experience persistent distress that affects daily functioning and interpersonal relationships. The presence of emotional distress should not automatically be interpreted as psychological weakness or an inability to cope. Rather, it may represent an understandable response to uncertainty, loss, social pressure, and the emotional demands associated with fertility treatment.

Social and cultural expectations surrounding marriage, conception, and parenthood may further influence the experience of infertility. Questions such as “Any good news?” or “When are you planning a baby?” may be intended as expressions of interest but can be painful for individuals experiencing fertility difficulties. In some social environments, parenthood is closely associated with personal identity, marital expectations, family continuity, and social acceptance. Individuals may therefore experience pressure to disclose private medical information, explain treatment decisions, or maintain an appearance of optimism despite emotional difficulties. These circumstances highlight the importance of privacy, sensitive communication, and culturally responsive psychological support.

Psychological care is consequently an important consideration in fertility services. It should not be restricted to individuals who develop severe psychological symptoms or explicitly request specialist mental health treatment. Instead, emotional well-being can be supported through respectful communication, early identification of psychosocial needs, appropriate counselling, partner support, and coordination between fertility professionals and mental health practitioners. Such an approach recognises that psychological support is not a substitute for medical treatment but a complementary component of comprehensive reproductive healthcare.

This clinical perspective examines the emotional dimensions of fertility treatment and the importance of integrating psychological support into routine fertility care. It focuses on infertility-related distress, coping with treatment uncertainty, the role of partners and social networks, the contribution of psychological counselling, and the development of compassionate, patient-centred reproductive healthcare. By considering emotional well-being alongside medical treatment, fertility services may better recognise the individual experiences, preferences, and support needs of those navigating the fertility journey.

1.1 Infertility as a reproductive health and psychosocial concern

Infertility is not solely a medical condition; it can also represent a significant psychosocial experience affecting individuals, couples, and families. The WHO (2023) emphasised the global scale of infertility and the importance of equitable access to prevention, diagnosis, and treatment. The substantial number of people affected indicates that fertility care needs to address not only biological causes and reproductive outcomes but also the broader consequences for quality of life and emotional well-being.

The experience of infertility can involve uncertainty about the future, changes in expectations regarding family formation, and concerns about personal identity and relationships. Medical investigations and treatment procedures may require considerable time, financial resources, and emotional adjustment. For some individuals, the repeated transition between hope

and disappointment may make fertility treatment particularly challenging. These experiences support the need to understand infertility within a broader biopsychosocial framework rather than exclusively through a biomedical perspective.

1.2 Psychological distress associated with infertility

Anxiety, depressive symptoms, stress, and infertility-related distress have been widely examined in the psychological literature. Rooney and Domar (2018) reviewed the relationship between stress and infertility and noted that infertility itself can be a source of considerable psychological distress. They also highlighted the distinction between recognising the emotional burden of infertility and assuming that psychological stress necessarily causes infertility or determines treatment outcomes. This distinction is important because individuals should not be made to feel responsible for unsuccessful treatment because they experienced anxiety, sadness, or difficulty coping.

Tavousi et al. (2022) conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis of psychological assessment in infertility. Their review examined psychological assessment findings using measures such as the Symptom Checklist-90, Brief Symptom Inventory, State-Trait Anxiety Inventory, and Depression Anxiety Stress Scales. The authors reported significant findings for some psychological measures, while results for anxiety, depression, and stress on the DASS were not statistically significant in their pooled analysis. The findings illustrate that psychological difficulties are important to investigate, but estimates can vary depending on assessment instruments, study populations, and methodological characteristics. Consequently, fertility services should avoid assuming that all patients experience the same degree or type of distress.

Psychological responses to infertility may also vary across treatment stages. The initial diagnosis may involve shock or uncertainty, while subsequent investigations may generate concerns about treatment options, costs, and the possibility of success. During treatment, individuals may experience anticipatory anxiety and emotional tension associated with procedures and waiting periods. An unsuccessful cycle may require adjustment to a changed expectation, while the decision to continue or discontinue treatment can involve competing emotional, medical, financial, and personal considerations.

These findings support the importance of recognising emotional distress as a possible component of the fertility journey and providing opportunities for patients to discuss their concerns without stigma or judgement.

1.3 Emotional experiences during assisted reproductive treatment

Assisted reproductive technologies can offer opportunities for individuals and couples experiencing infertility, but treatment may also involve repeated appointments, medication schedules, invasive procedures, uncertainty, and substantial financial commitments. Patients may develop strong expectations around individual treatment milestones, including ovarian stimulation, egg retrieval, embryo transfer, and pregnancy testing. When expected outcomes do not occur, the resulting disappointment may be emotionally demanding.

Rooney and Domar (2018) emphasised that although the association between infertility and psychological distress is evident, the direction and strength of the relationship between stress and reproductive outcomes remain complex. It is therefore important to distinguish the emotional effects of undergoing treatment from claims that psychological distress determines whether treatment succeeds.

Dube et al. (2023) examined psychological interventions for individuals and couples experiencing infertility through a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. Their review included 58 trials, of which 54 assessed psychological outcomes and 21 assessed pregnancy rates. The authors reported an overall beneficial effect of psychological interventions on combined psychological outcomes, although the effect varied by study region. They also found a beneficial association with pregnancy outcomes, while noting that the overall evidence quality was low to moderate and that many included trials had a high risk of bias. These findings support attention to psychological well-being while cautioning against making definitive claims about the effects of counselling on reproductive success.

1.4 Social expectations, stigma, and cultural context

Fertility difficulties may occur within social environments in which parenthood is strongly associated with adulthood, marital expectations, family identity, and social acceptance. In such circumstances, infertility may be accompanied by feelings of inadequacy, embarrassment, isolation, or fear of being judged. Questions about pregnancy, comparisons with relatives or friends, and unsolicited advice can intensify distress, even when they are intended to express concern.

These issues are particularly relevant in contexts where decisions about having children are not viewed solely as private choices but are also influenced by extended families and wider social expectations. Individuals may feel pressure to disclose medical information, continue treatment, or demonstrate optimism even when they are uncertain about their future decisions. The emotional burden may therefore reflect both the medical experience of infertility and the social meaning attached to parenthood.

A study of urban Indian women undergoing in vitro fertilisation by Unisa (2001) is frequently cited in discussions of the social context of infertility in India. The study explored women's experiences of IVF and highlighted the importance of social pressures, stigma, isolation, treatment-related stress, and the need for adequate information and counselling. These observations are relevant to understanding why fertility care should be culturally sensitive and attentive to the social environment in which treatment decisions are made.

The literature suggests that respectful communication should be a routine part of fertility care. Patients should be able to discuss their circumstances without being expected to explain private decisions or meet social expectations. Emotional support should acknowledge individual differences and avoid treating distress as a personal failure.

1.5 Partner relationships and social support

Infertility is often experienced within a relationship, meaning that its psychological consequences may affect both partners. However, partners may differ in the way they interpret infertility, express emotions, manage uncertainty, and make decisions about treatment. One partner may prefer to discuss feelings and seek reassurance, while the other may focus on practical solutions or avoid emotionally difficult conversations. These differences can influence communication, relationship satisfaction, and the ability to provide mutual support.

The ESHRE guideline developed by Gameiro et al. (2015) identifies relational and social needs as important aspects of psychosocial care during infertility and medically assisted reproduction. The guideline highlights the value of sensitive healthcare professionals, involvement in decision-making, continuity of care, and attention to the needs of both partners. It also recognises that coping responses and perceived partner responsiveness are relevant to infertility-related distress.

Social support may provide emotional reassurance and practical assistance during demanding treatment periods. However, support is not always experienced as helpful. Repeated questions about pregnancy, comparisons with others, unsolicited advice, and pressure to continue treatment may increase feelings of distress. Individuals may therefore benefit from supportive relationships in which their experiences are acknowledged without judgement and their privacy and autonomy are respected.

These findings suggest that psychological support should consider the broader interpersonal environment in which fertility treatment occurs. Where appropriate, counselling may help partners communicate their concerns, recognise different coping styles, and make treatment-related decisions together. At the same time, the preferences and confidentiality of each individual should remain central to care.

1.6 Psychological counselling and psychosocial interventions

Psychological counselling can provide a structured and confidential setting in which individuals and couples can explore their feelings, understand their coping responses, and identify strategies for managing treatment-related stress. Depending on individual needs, support may include psychoeducation, emotional validation, cognitive-behavioural strategies, relaxation, stress management, communication skills, and decision-making support.

Gameiro et al. (2015) developed the ESHRE guideline on routine psychosocial care to assist fertility professionals in identifying and responding to patients' emotional, relational, behavioural, and informational needs. The guideline emphasises that psychosocial

care is relevant before, during, and after treatment. It also recommends additional specialised care for patients who experience or are at risk of clinically significant psychological difficulties.

Evidence concerning the effectiveness of psychological interventions has also developed through systematic reviews and meta-analyses. Dube et al. (2023) examined randomised controlled trials of psychological interventions for individuals and couples experiencing infertility. Their findings indicated beneficial effects on combined psychological outcomes, although the magnitude of the effects varied across regions and the overall evidence was limited by methodological concerns. This suggests that psychological interventions may support emotional well-being, but their effectiveness should be evaluated carefully rather than assumed to be uniform across individuals and settings.

Hinkle and Dodd (2022) reviewed interventions targeting infertility-related distress and identified a range of intervention components, including relaxation and stress-management strategies. Their review also highlighted the need for further research to establish which components are most effective for particular psychological difficulties. This is relevant to clinical practice because psychological support should be responsive to individual needs rather than based on the assumption that one counselling approach will benefit everyone equally.

The literature therefore supports making psychological support accessible within fertility services while recognising the need for further high-quality research. Counselling should be offered to support emotional adjustment and decision-making, not as a guarantee of pregnancy or as a requirement that patients eliminate distress before treatment can proceed.

1.7 Emotional well-being as part of patient-centred fertility care

Patient-centred fertility care involves recognising the individual's experiences, values, preferences, and support needs alongside clinical requirements. This approach includes providing clear information, allowing sufficient time for questions, acknowledging uncertainty, and respecting decisions about treatment continuation or discontinuation.

The ESHRE guideline (Gameiro et al., 2015) provides a framework for integrating routine psychosocial care into fertility services. It identifies the importance of understanding patients' preferences, assessing emotional needs, addressing treatment-related concerns, and referring individuals for specialised support when necessary. These recommendations support a model of care in which emotional well-being is considered throughout the fertility journey rather than addressed only when psychological symptoms become severe.

The need for such care is also supported by the review conducted by Rooney and Domar (2018), which emphasises the importance of addressing infertility-related distress while distinguishing psychological support from claims about reproductive outcomes. In practice, the purpose of emotional care is to help individuals feel supported, understand their experiences, develop coping strategies, and make informed decisions, irrespective of whether treatment results in pregnancy.

A patient-centred approach also requires sensitivity to the possibility that emotional experiences may continue after treatment ends. An unsuccessful cycle may involve grief, disappointment, and difficult decisions about future treatment. Conversely, achieving pregnancy does not necessarily eliminate all worries, as some individuals may continue to experience uncertainty and anxiety. Psychological support should therefore be flexible and responsive to changing circumstances.

The literature establishes that infertility may have significant psychological, relational, and social implications and that psychosocial care is an important component of fertility services. However, several considerations remain relevant to clinical practice.

First, emotional support may not always be incorporated systematically into routine fertility consultations. Patients may receive detailed medical information while having fewer opportunities to discuss their emotional concerns. Second, psychological needs can change throughout treatment, requiring support that is responsive to different stages of the fertility journey. Third, social expectations, stigma, privacy concerns, and family pressures may influence how individuals communicate distress and seek help. Fourth, although psychological interventions have been studied, the evidence concerning their effects varies according to the outcome measured, intervention characteristics,

cultural context, and study quality (Dube et al., 2023; Gameiro et al., 2015).

In the Indian context, Widge (2005) documented the experiences of women and couples seeking in vitro fertilisation in two major cities, highlighting stigma, isolation, social pressures, and the emotional and financial demands of treatment. These findings underscore the importance of understanding fertility care within its social and cultural context rather than relying exclusively on medical indicators.

Against this background, the present clinical perspective, Beyond Scans and Embryos: A Clinical Perspective on Emotional Well-Being and Psychological Support in Fertility Care, emphasises the need to recognise emotional experiences as an integral part of reproductive healthcare. It brings together relevant literature and clinical recommendations to discuss psychological distress, coping, partner and family support, counselling, and practical approaches to more compassionate fertility services.

The central argument is that fertility care should not be evaluated solely through medical procedures and reproductive outcomes. Attention to emotional well-being, respectful communication, and appropriate psychological support may help create a more humane and comprehensive experience of care. As this article is a clinical perspective, it does not test an intervention or present original patient data; rather, it identifies considerations for clinical practice and areas requiring further research.

II. METHODOLOGY

2.1. Study Design and Approach

This study adopts a clinical perspective approach informed by relevant peer-reviewed literature and professional guidelines on infertility-related psychological distress, emotional well-being, coping strategies, and psychosocial care. The primary purpose is to examine the emotional challenges associated with infertility and fertility treatment and to discuss the importance of integrating psychological support into routine fertility services.

Rather than presenting original empirical findings, the article provides a literature-informed clinical discussion of the psychological experiences of individuals undergoing fertility treatment. It considers the relevance of psychological counselling, coping mechanisms, partner and family support, and the integration of psychosocial care into routine reproductive healthcare.

2.2. Scope of the Review

The article addresses six interrelated areas: (a) emotional and psychological responses to infertility and fertility treatment; (b) distress associated with diagnostic investigations, treatment procedures, waiting periods, and unsuccessful treatment cycles; (c) coping strategies and psychological counselling; (d) partner relationships, family expectations, social support, and cultural influences; (e) integration of psychosocial assessment and support into fertility services; and (f) clinical recommendations for improving emotional well-being and patient-centred care.

The discussion is informed by selected literature on infertility and psychological well-being, including the World Health Organization's information on the global burden of infertility (WHO, 2023) and the European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology guideline on routine psychosocial care in infertility and medically assisted reproduction (Gameiro et al., 2015).

2.3. Literature Selection and Thematic Synthesis

Relevant peer-reviewed publications, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and professional guidelines were considered according to their relevance to the psychological and emotional dimensions of fertility care. Particular attention was given to literature addressing infertility-related distress, treatment-related uncertainty, coping responses, psychological interventions, social support, and recommendations for psychosocial care.

The selected literature was synthesised thematically to identify recurring concerns and their implications for clinical practice. The discussion was organised around five principal themes: emotional distress during fertility treatment, coping with uncertainty and unsuccessful treatment, psychological counselling and psychosocial interventions, partner and family support, and the integration of emotional care into fertility services.

The synthesis aims to connect findings from existing literature with practical considerations for healthcare professionals. Research findings are distinguished from clinical recommendations, and the discussion

does not assume that all individuals undergoing fertility treatment experience the same psychological difficulties or require identical forms of support.

2.4. Review Objectives

The primary objective of this article is to examine the emotional and psychological dimensions of infertility and fertility treatment and to highlight the importance of integrating psychological support into routine fertility care. Specifically, the article aims to:

1. Describe the psychological and emotional challenges associated with infertility and fertility treatment.
2. Examine the influence of treatment-related uncertainty, unsuccessful treatment cycles, and social expectations on emotional well-being.
3. Discuss the role of psychological counselling, coping strategies, and partner and family support.
4. Highlight opportunities for integrating psychosocial care into routine fertility services.
5. Provide clinical recommendations for promoting compassionate, holistic, and patient-centred reproductive healthcare.

2.5. Ethical Considerations

This article is based on published literature and professional guidelines and does not involve the recruitment of human participants, collection of primary data, or analysis of identifiable patient records. Accordingly, participant consent and primary-data ethics approval were not applicable to the preparation of this article. Any additional declarations required by the target journal, including those concerning conflicts of interest or funding, should be addressed in accordance with its submission guidelines.

III. CLINICAL PERSPECTIVES ON EMOTIONAL WELL-BEING IN FERTILITY CARE

3.1. Emotional and Psychological Distress Associated With Infertility

Infertility is not solely a reproductive health concern; it may also affect emotional well-being, personal identity, intimate relationships, social participation, and expectations about the future. The experience of infertility varies considerably between individuals and couples, depending on factors such as the duration and perceived cause of infertility, previous reproductive experiences, treatment history, available social support, financial resources, and cultural expectations surrounding parenthood. Consequently, psychological distress should be understood as a possible response to a complex life experience rather than an inevitable consequence of infertility for every individual.

The World Health Organization (WHO, 2023) estimated that approximately 17.5% of the adult population experiences infertility during their lifetime. Its report also highlighted the associations between infertility, psychological distress, stigma, and financial hardship. The high prevalence of infertility, combined with unequal access to fertility services, makes the psychosocial dimension of care an important clinical and public health consideration. In settings where fertility treatment is primarily funded through out-of-pocket expenditure, financial uncertainty may compound emotional strain and influence decisions about treatment continuation.

Individuals experiencing infertility may report anxiety about whether conception will occur, sadness related to unmet reproductive expectations, frustration associated with prolonged investigations, and uncertainty about future family life. Some may experience self-blame or perceive infertility as a threat to their identity, relationships, or social standing. These responses can be intensified when individuals encounter repeated questions about pregnancy, pressure from relatives, or social assumptions that parenthood is an essential marker of adulthood or marital fulfilment. Nevertheless, these experiences should not be generalised to all patients; their intensity and significance depend on individual circumstances and available coping resources.

Evidence from psychological assessment research further demonstrates the importance of examining distress carefully. Tavousi et al. (2022) conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis of psychological assessment findings among individuals experiencing infertility. They reported significantly higher scores on the Symptom Checklist-90 (SCL-90) in the infertility group. However, pooled differences in anxiety, depression, and stress measured using the Depression Anxiety Stress Scales (DASS) were not statistically significant. The authors also reported substantial heterogeneity in the SCL-90 findings, indicating variability across the included studies.

These findings suggest that psychological outcomes may differ according to the instruments used, the

characteristics of the populations studied, and the methods applied in individual studies. A lack of statistically significant pooled differences on particular measures should not be interpreted as evidence that infertility-related distress is unimportant. Rather, the findings support a nuanced approach to assessment that considers emotional symptoms alongside the person's own account of their experience.

From a clinical perspective, fertility services should create opportunities for patients to discuss emotional concerns without feeling that these concerns are secondary to medical investigations. Brief conversations about mood, worries, coping, relationship difficulties, and perceived support may help clinicians identify individuals who would benefit from more detailed assessment. Where symptoms are persistent, severe, or interfere with daily functioning, referral to an appropriately qualified mental health professional may be indicated. Psychological support should complement, rather than replace, appropriate reproductive healthcare.

3.2. Emotional Challenges During Fertility Treatment

The fertility treatment pathway may involve repeated consultations, diagnostic investigations, medication schedules, invasive procedures, waiting periods, financial commitments, and decisions about subsequent treatment options. Each stage can introduce different emotional demands. For some individuals, beginning treatment provides a sense of direction and hope. For others, the same process may increase anxiety because of uncertainty about the procedures, anticipated discomfort, possible side effects, financial consequences, or the likelihood of success.

Waiting periods can be particularly challenging because patients may have limited control over the timing and outcome of important events. Individuals may repeatedly think about possible results, interpret physical sensations as signs of pregnancy or treatment failure, or find it difficult to concentrate on work and everyday responsibilities. These experiences are clinically relevant because emotional support may be needed even when treatment is progressing according to the medical plan. Distress should not be assessed solely by whether a treatment cycle has succeeded or failed.

An unsuccessful treatment cycle may bring disappointment, sadness, grief, or uncertainty about whether to continue treatment. The emotional impact may be influenced by the meaning attached to the cycle, the resources already invested, previous unsuccessful attempts, and the person's expectations. For some, a negative outcome may also require reconsideration of financial priorities, family plans, or alternative reproductive options. These decisions can be emotionally demanding and should not be approached as purely technical choices.

Rooney and Domar (2018) reviewed the relationship between stress and infertility and discussed the difficulty of interpreting associations between psychological stress and reproductive outcomes. Their review supports a distinction between recognising the emotional burden of infertility and making claims that stress directly determines treatment success. The relationship is complex, and evidence about stress should not be used to imply that patients are responsible for unsuccessful treatment because they experience anxiety, sadness, or worry.

This distinction has important implications for clinical communication. Statements suggesting that patients must remain positive, avoid worrying, or relax in order to conceive may unintentionally increase guilt and psychological pressure. Instead, clinicians should acknowledge that distress is an understandable response to uncertainty and that emotional support is valuable for well-being regardless of reproductive outcome.

The European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology (ESHRE) guideline developed by Gameiro et al. (2015) emphasises the importance of recognising psychosocial needs across the fertility treatment pathway. These needs may include emotional concerns, difficulties understanding information, relational issues, and challenges with treatment-related decisions. The guideline provides a framework for fertility staff to identify and respond to such needs rather than waiting until distress becomes severe.

Accordingly, psychological support can be integrated at several points: when the diagnosis is communicated, when treatment options are discussed, during periods of waiting, following unsuccessful cycles, and when patients consider continuing or discontinuing treatment. Such support should be responsive to the

person's preferences and should not assume that every patient requires formal psychotherapy.

Table 1. Emotional Challenges and Recommended Psychological Support in Fertility Care

Clinical situation	Possible emotional challenges	Recommended psychological support
Diagnosis of infertility	Shock, sadness, anxiety, uncertainty, or self-blame	Empathetic communication, emotional assessment, and clear information
Beginning fertility treatment	Fear of procedures, uncertainty, and treatment-related stress	Preparation for treatment, psychoeducation, and coping strategies
Waiting for treatment results	Anxiety, repetitive worrying, and fear of failure	Supportive check-ins, relaxation strategies, and counselling when needed
Unsuccessful treatment cycle	Disappointment, grief, sadness, or uncertainty about next steps	Emotional validation, time to process the outcome, and discussion of options
Repeated treatment attempts	Emotional exhaustion, financial strain, or relationship difficulties	Reassessment of support needs, counselling, and discussion of treatment burden
Family and social pressure	Stigma, isolation, privacy concerns, or feelings of inadequacy	Boundary-setting strategies and family education with the patient's consent
Decisions about continuing treatment	Ambivalence, decisional conflict, or uncertainty about alternatives	Shared decision-making and counselling that respects the patient's values

3.3. Psychological Counselling and Coping Strategies

Psychological counselling can form an important component of comprehensive fertility care by providing a confidential and non-judgemental setting in which individuals and couples can explore their emotional experiences. Its purpose is not to guarantee pregnancy or eliminate all distress. Rather, psychological support can help patients understand their responses, develop coping strategies, communicate their needs, make informed decisions, and obtain further mental health care when appropriate.

The nature of support should be matched to the individual's concerns. Psychoeducation may help patients understand common emotional responses to infertility and recognise when additional assistance could be beneficial. Supportive counselling may provide space to express disappointment, fear, anger, or uncertainty. Stress-management approaches, including relaxation exercises and mindfulness-based practices, may be useful for some individuals as ways of managing distress. Cognitive and behavioural approaches may help patients examine unhelpful patterns of thinking, develop practical coping skills, and maintain engagement with everyday responsibilities. However, the suitability of any particular approach should be assessed individually rather than assumed.

Couple-based counselling may be appropriate when partners experience difficulties communicating, differ in their preferred coping strategies, or disagree about continuing treatment. Individual counselling may be more suitable when a patient wishes to discuss private concerns or when the partner is unavailable or does not wish to participate. Where clinically indicated, referral for specialised mental health assessment is important, particularly when emotional symptoms are severe, persistent, or associated with significant functional impairment.

Evidence for psychological interventions is encouraging but requires careful interpretation. Dube et al. (2023) conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis of 58 randomised controlled trials. Of these, 54 assessed psychological outcomes and 21 examined pregnancy rates. The authors found a beneficial pooled effect on combined psychological outcomes, although the magnitude of the effect varied by geographical region. Their analysis also identified a beneficial pooled effect on pregnancy outcomes. However, the overall evidence was rated as low to moderate in quality, and most included trials were considered to have a high risk of bias. The authors therefore emphasised the need for more rigorous research.

These findings support offering psychological interventions for their potential contribution to emotional well-being, while avoiding claims that a particular intervention will reliably improve pregnancy outcomes. They also highlight the importance of considering differences in culture, healthcare access, intervention delivery, and the

populations represented in existing research. Findings from one geographical or clinical context may not transfer directly to another.

The ESHRE guideline by Gameiro et al. (2015) further supports a responsive approach to psychosocial care. Rather than providing the same intervention to every patient, fertility staff should seek to identify individual needs and arrange additional support when necessary. This approach allows routine emotional support to be offered within fertility services while preserving access to specialised psychological care for patients who need it.

A clinically useful model is therefore one of stepped support: clear information and compassionate communication for all patients; opportunities to discuss emotional concerns during treatment; targeted counselling for those reporting greater distress; and referral for specialised assessment or treatment when clinically indicated. The aim is to make support accessible without pathologising normal emotional responses or placing an additional burden on patients already navigating demanding treatment.

3.4. Partner Relationships, Family Expectations, and Social Support

Infertility-related distress may extend beyond the individual and influence partner relationships, family interactions, and social participation. Partners may respond differently to uncertainty, express emotions in different ways, or have contrasting preferences regarding disclosure, treatment continuation, and future family plans. One partner may seek frequent discussion and reassurance, while the other may cope by focusing on practical tasks or limiting conversations about infertility. Such differences do not necessarily indicate a lack of concern; however, they may contribute to misunderstanding when each partner interprets the other's coping style negatively.

The experience of infertility can also affect intimacy, communication, and the balance between treatment-related responsibilities and other aspects of shared life. Appointments, procedures, financial planning, and repeated discussions about treatment outcomes may become central to a couple's routine. Making space for communication about feelings, individual preferences, and non-treatment-related activities may help couples maintain a broader sense of their relationship beyond fertility care.

Social and cultural expectations can add another layer of complexity. In some families and communities, parenthood is closely associated with marital fulfilment, family continuity, or social acceptance. Questions about pregnancy, comparisons with other couples, unsolicited advice, or assumptions about the cause of infertility may contribute to embarrassment or isolation. Women may experience particular scrutiny in settings where infertility is incorrectly attributed primarily to them, although infertility may involve female factors, male factors, combined factors, or remain unexplained. Clinicians should avoid reinforcing blame and should communicate the medical assessment in a way that respects both partners.

The WHO (2023) identifies stigma and psychological distress among the broader consequences associated with infertility. These concerns reinforce the importance of treating patients respectfully and protecting confidentiality.

Social support may be helpful when it is consistent with the patient's preferences. A trusted partner, relative, friend, or peer may offer practical assistance, listen without judgement, and reduce feelings of isolation. However, disclosure should not be treated as obligatory. Some patients may prefer to keep treatment private because they anticipate stigma, intrusive questions, or unwanted advice. Healthcare professionals should therefore ask whom the patient wishes to involve and what information, if any, may be shared.

Where appropriate and with consent, couple counselling may help partners communicate about distress, clarify expectations, and discuss treatment decisions. Family education may also be useful when family members are willing to participate and their involvement is desired by the patient. Such approaches should remain sensitive to cultural context and individual autonomy. The central clinical principle is that support should strengthen the patient's sense of safety and agency rather than introduce further pressure to disclose, continue treatment, or meet others' expectations.

3.5. Integration of Psychosocial Support Into Fertility Services

Psychosocial care is most useful when considered an integral part of fertility services rather than an optional addition offered only after significant distress has

developed. Fertility treatment involves both medical procedures and personal decisions under uncertainty. Accordingly, communication, emotional support, and opportunities for referral should be incorporated throughout the treatment pathway.

Gameiro et al. (2015), in the ESHRE guideline on routine psychosocial care in infertility and medically assisted reproduction, outlined ways for fertility staff to recognise and respond to patients' psychosocial needs. The guidance emphasises awareness of patient preferences, identification of concerns across treatment stages, and access to further care when needed. The guideline is particularly relevant because it frames psychosocial care as a shared responsibility within fertility services, while recognising that some patients require specialised support beyond routine clinical communication.

At the initial consultation, clinicians can provide clear information about investigations, possible treatment pathways, uncertainties, costs, and available support services. Asking open-ended questions about the patient's principal concerns may help reveal emotional or practical difficulties that would otherwise remain unaddressed. Communication should avoid unnecessarily technical language and should allow time for patients to ask questions and consider information.

During treatment, brief emotional check-ins may help identify changes in mood, coping, relationship concerns, or perceived support. These conversations need not be lengthy or intrusive. Their purpose is to create a predictable opportunity for patients to raise concerns and to help staff determine whether further support is appropriate. Where services have the resources, a structured psychosocial assessment or an appropriate screening tool may help identify patients who would benefit from additional evaluation. Screening results should be interpreted in context and should not be treated as a substitute for clinical judgement.

After an unsuccessful cycle, healthcare professionals should communicate results sensitively, acknowledge that patients may experience disappointment or grief, and provide opportunities to discuss subsequent options. Patients should be given appropriate information and sufficient space to consider their preferences rather than being pressed to make immediate decisions. For those undertaking repeated treatment, the burden of ongoing appointments, financial commitments, and uncertainty may warrant renewed discussion about emotional well-being and treatment goals.

Fertility services can also establish clear referral pathways to qualified psychologists, counsellors, psychiatrists, or other relevant mental health professionals. Referral arrangements should be transparent, accessible, and sensitive to the practical constraints patients face. Where specialist services are limited, staff training in empathetic communication, recognition of distress, and appropriate referral procedures may be particularly important. However, routine staff support should not be presented as a replacement for specialised assessment or treatment when this is clinically indicated.

The potential value of this approach lies in its responsiveness to individual needs. Dube et al. (2023) provide evidence that psychological interventions may benefit psychological outcomes, but they also identify limitations in the quality and generalisability of the available evidence. Therefore, the case for integrating psychosocial care should rest primarily on providing appropriate, person-centred healthcare and responding to patients' expressed needs, rather than promising improved pregnancy rates as a consequence of psychological support.

Table 2. Integrating Psychosocial Support Into Fertility Services

Stage of fertility care	Suggested clinical action	Clinical purpose
Initial consultation	Ask about emotional well-being, concerns, and available support	Identify support needs early and establish a patient-centred approach
Treatment planning	Explain procedures, possible outcomes, uncertainties, costs, and options clearly	Support informed decision-making and reduce avoidable uncertainty
During treatment	Offer opportunities to discuss emotional concerns and coping difficulties	Recognise changing needs and facilitate timely support
While awaiting results	Acknowledge uncertainty and invite patients to raise concerns	Provide emotional support during periods of heightened anticipation

After an unsuccessful cycle	Communicate sensitively, acknowledge possible grief, and discuss follow-up	Support emotional adjustment and informed consideration of next steps
During repeated treatment	Revisit emotional well-being, relationship concerns, and treatment burden	Identify evolving support needs and facilitate review of preferences
When distress is persistent or severe	Arrange assessment or referral to a qualified mental health professional	Enable appropriate specialist care
When partner or family involvement is desired	Include chosen support persons with the patient's consent	Strengthen communication while respecting confidentiality and autonomy

IV. DISCUSSION

4.1. Recognising Emotional Well-Being as Part of Fertility Care

The literature discussed in this article reinforces the importance of understanding infertility as a reproductive health concern with medical, psychological, relational, social, and financial dimensions. Although reproductive investigations and treatment outcomes remain central to fertility services, the emotional experience of infertility can influence how individuals interpret their diagnosis, engage with healthcare professionals, communicate with partners, and make decisions about treatment. A clinically meaningful approach must therefore consider not only the biological aspects of infertility but also the broader circumstances in which patients experience it.

The World Health Organization (WHO, 2023) identified infertility as a global health concern affecting approximately one in six people during their lifetime. Its report also drew attention to the psychological distress, social stigma, and financial hardship associated with infertility. These concerns demonstrate why fertility care should not be evaluated exclusively in terms of investigations performed or reproductive outcomes achieved. The quality of communication, accessibility of information, respect for patient preferences, and availability of emotional support are also important dimensions of patient-centred care.

Tavousi et al. (2022) provide a useful perspective on the interpretation of psychological distress. Their systematic review and meta-analysis found significantly higher scores on the Symptom Checklist-90 among individuals experiencing infertility, whereas pooled differences in anxiety, depression, and stress measured using the Depression Anxiety Stress Scales were not statistically significant. The considerable heterogeneity reported for some outcomes indicates that findings varied across the included studies. Consequently, these results should not be interpreted as demonstrating that infertility uniformly causes psychological disorders or that psychological distress is absent when particular measures fail to show statistically significant differences. Instead, they highlight the importance of considering the assessment instrument, population characteristics, and individual circumstances when interpreting psychological outcomes.

This interpretation is consistent with the broader psychosocial framework proposed by Gameiro et al. (2015), whose European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology (ESHRE) guideline addresses emotional, relational, behavioural, and cognitive needs throughout the fertility treatment pathway. The guideline recommends that fertility staff recognise patient preferences, provide relevant information, identify psychosocial concerns, and facilitate access to specialised support when required. It also acknowledges that the evidence supporting some recommendations remains limited, indicating the need for continued evaluation of psychosocial care practices.

An important clinical distinction is that emotional distress does not automatically indicate a psychiatric disorder. Fear, sadness, frustration, and uncertainty may be understandable responses to an emotionally demanding experience. At the same time, persistent or severe symptoms should not be dismissed as an inevitable part of infertility. A balanced approach recognises emotional responses without either pathologising normal adjustment or overlooking clinically significant difficulties.

Accordingly, fertility services should create opportunities for patients to discuss their concerns without fear of judgement. Clinicians should avoid assuming that patients must remain optimistic, cope independently, or demonstrate emotional resilience throughout treatment. Emotional support should be

offered in a manner that respects individual differences and acknowledges that hope and distress may coexist.

4.2. Understanding Uncertainty and Unsuccessful Treatment

Uncertainty is a defining feature of many fertility treatment experiences. Patients may be required to make important decisions despite incomplete information about the likelihood of success, the duration of treatment, the financial implications, and the emotional consequences of subsequent attempts. Waiting for results can intensify anticipation, while unsuccessful cycles may require individuals and couples to reconsider their expectations and future plans.

The emotional significance of an unsuccessful cycle may extend beyond the immediate disappointment of not achieving pregnancy. It can involve grief over unmet expectations, concerns about the possibility of biological parenthood, and uncertainty about whether to continue, pause, or discontinue treatment. These responses may differ according to previous treatment experiences, financial resources, family expectations, relationship circumstances, and personal beliefs about parenthood. Therefore, the same clinical outcome may have different meanings for different patients.

Rooney and Domar (2018) discussed the complex relationship between stress and infertility, emphasising the need to distinguish the emotional burden of infertility from claims that stress directly determines reproductive outcomes. This distinction is particularly important in clinical communication. Patients should not be led to believe that their anxiety, sadness, or inability to relax is responsible for unsuccessful treatment. Such messages may intensify guilt and create the additional burden of feeling that they must manage their emotions correctly to conceive.

The ESHRE guideline by Gameiro et al. (2015) also identifies treatment-related concerns and emotional adjustment as relevant throughout the fertility pathway, including when patients consider the implications of unsuccessful treatment. It supports providing opportunities for patients to clarify concerns, discuss decisions, and access specialised psychosocial care when clinically significant difficulties arise. However, the guideline also notes that evidence concerning some needs after unsuccessful treatment is limited. This makes it important to distinguish recommended good clinical practice from conclusions supported by strong intervention evidence.

From a clinical perspective, communication following an unsuccessful cycle should be sensitive, clear, and responsive to the patient's preferences. Patients may need time to process the outcome before discussing further treatment options. Healthcare professionals should acknowledge possible grief, explain available options without unrealistic reassurance, and avoid creating pressure to continue treatment immediately. Where appropriate, follow-up appointments or counselling referrals may provide opportunities to address emotional concerns that emerge after the initial consultation.

The decision to continue or discontinue treatment should also be understood as a personal and potentially complex process rather than a simple measure of motivation or resilience. Psychological support can assist patients in considering their values, emotional capacity, financial circumstances, and preferences while preserving their autonomy. The aim is not to eliminate uncertainty, which may be impossible, but to help patients navigate it with adequate information, appropriate support, and freedom from unnecessary blame.

4.3. The Role of Psychological Counselling

Psychological counselling can contribute to comprehensive fertility care by providing a confidential and supportive environment in which individuals and couples can express difficult feelings, examine coping patterns, and consider treatment-related decisions. Its value should primarily be understood in terms of emotional well-being, psychological adjustment, communication, and informed decision-making rather than as a means of guaranteeing pregnancy.

Dube et al. (2023) conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis of 58 randomised controlled trials evaluating psychological interventions for individuals experiencing infertility. Their analysis found a beneficial pooled effect on combined psychological outcomes. However, the effect varied by geographical region, and the authors identified methodological limitations and concerns regarding the quality of the evidence. Their analysis also reported a beneficial pooled effect on pregnancy outcomes, but this finding should be interpreted cautiously given the limitations of the included studies. The findings support the

potential value of psychological interventions while leaving questions about the most effective approaches, the patients most likely to benefit, and the transferability of results across healthcare settings.

These findings have two important implications. First, psychological support should not be dismissed because its effects on reproductive outcomes remain uncertain. Emotional well-being is an important clinical consideration in its own right. Second, counselling should not be presented as a treatment that guarantees conception or reliably increases the probability of pregnancy in every patient. Such claims would go beyond what the evidence can establish.

The ESHRE guideline by Gameiro et al. (2015) complements this evidence by recommending routine attention to psychosocial needs and referral for specialised care when indicated. The American Society for Reproductive Medicine (ASRM) also recognises the role of appropriately qualified mental health professionals in reproductive medicine, where patients may encounter complex emotional, relational, and treatment-related concerns (Practice Committee & Mental Health Professional Group of the American Society for Reproductive Medicine, 2021).

In practice, psychological support may include psychoeducation, supportive counselling, coping-skills development, relaxation strategies, or more specialised psychological treatment, depending on the person's needs and the professional assessment. Couple-based counselling may be appropriate when partners experience communication difficulties or different preferences regarding treatment. Individual counselling may be preferable when patients wish to explore private concerns or when joint participation is unsuitable.

An important principle is that support should be proportionate to need. Some patients may benefit from clear information, empathetic communication, and brief opportunities to discuss concerns. Others may require structured counselling or specialist mental health care. A stepped approach can help make support accessible without implying that every individual experiencing infertility requires psychotherapy.

Psychological care should also be delivered without stigma. Patients should not be labelled as psychologically unfit for treatment merely because they experience distress, and they should not be expected to demonstrate emotional stability before receiving appropriate reproductive healthcare. Instead, counselling should support autonomy, adjustment, and well-being while remaining integrated with medical care.

4.4. Partner and Family Support

Infertility may affect both partners, even when the medical diagnosis or most treatment procedures relate to one person. Partners may differ in how they express distress, seek reassurance, communicate concerns, or approach decisions about treatment. These differences may create misunderstandings when one partner interprets the other's coping style as indifference, avoidance, or a lack of commitment.

Pasch and Sullivan (2017) reviewed stress and coping among couples facing infertility and emphasised the importance of considering the interdependent nature of the experience. Their discussion suggests that the ways in which partners appraise and manage infertility-related stress may influence communication and relationship adjustment. This perspective challenges approaches that consider each partner's emotional experience in isolation and highlights the relevance of understanding the couple's interaction patterns.

A more recent systematic review by Moutzouri et al. (2024) examined associations between one partner's coping strategies and the other partner's psychological adjustment. Although only five studies met the review's inclusion criteria, the findings suggested that partners' coping patterns may be associated with each other's emotional adjustment and that these relationships may differ across treatment stages. The small number of included studies, however, limits the strength and generalisability of the conclusions.

These findings support the value of considering both individual and relational needs during fertility care. Where appropriate, counselling may help partners discuss their emotional experiences, clarify expectations, and understand differences in coping. However, joint counselling should not be treated as compulsory, and each patient's confidentiality, autonomy, and safety must be respected.

Family and social relationships may also influence the experience of infertility. In some cultural contexts, expectations surrounding parenthood can create pressure to conceive, disclose treatment details, or continue treatment despite emotional or financial difficulties. Widge (2005), in a qualitative study involving 22 childless women and couples seeking in vitro fertilisation in New Delhi and Mumbai, described

stigma, isolation, social pressure, and the emotional and financial demands of treatment. Although the study was small and conducted in two urban settings, it offers context-specific insight into how social expectations can shape the experiences of people seeking fertility care in India. Its findings should not be assumed to represent all Indian individuals or families.

Xie et al. (2023), in a systematic review of 28 studies, also identified associations between infertility-related stigma and psychological burden among women experiencing infertility. Their findings highlighted the relevance of social support, living environment, educational background, occupation, and fertility awareness to the experience of stigma. As the review included predominantly cross-sectional and qualitative studies, these findings should be interpreted as evidence of associations and reported experiences rather than proof of causal relationships.

Taken together, these publications suggest that social support may be valuable, but its usefulness depends on how it is offered. Supportive relatives and friends may reduce isolation by listening, respecting privacy, and providing practical assistance. In contrast, intrusive questions, unsolicited advice, assumptions about the cause of infertility, or pressure to remain positive may intensify distress.

Fertility professionals should therefore ask patients whom they wish to involve in their care and what information they are comfortable sharing. Family education or partner involvement may be helpful when desired by the patient, but disclosure should never be treated as an obligation. A culturally sensitive approach recognises the influence of family and community while protecting individual choice and confidentiality.

4.5. Conclusion

Infertility is a complex reproductive health concern that extends beyond medical investigations and treatment outcomes to include emotional well-being, psychological adjustment, partner relationships, social expectations, and financial pressures. The literature discussed in this article highlights the importance of recognising these interconnected dimensions when providing comprehensive, patient-centred fertility care. Emotional responses such as anxiety, sadness, frustration, uncertainty, and grief may occur during different stages of the fertility journey, particularly while waiting for results or coping with unsuccessful treatment. However, these experiences vary between individuals and should not automatically be interpreted as psychological disorders.

Psychological counselling and psychosocial support can help individuals and couples manage treatment-related uncertainty, strengthen coping strategies, improve communication, and make informed decisions consistent with their personal values and circumstances. Partner and family support may also contribute to emotional adjustment, provided that it respects individual preferences, privacy, and autonomy. Importantly, psychological support should be offered to promote mental health and quality of life rather than presented as a means of guaranteeing pregnancy or improving reproductive outcomes in every case.

Integrating psychological care into fertility services requires empathetic communication, appropriate identification of psychosocial needs, timely referral to qualified mental health professionals, and culturally sensitive support throughout the treatment pathway. A stepped-care approach may help ensure that support is proportionate to individual needs, ranging from clear information and supportive communication to structured counselling and specialised psychological interventions. Fertility professionals should also avoid language that creates guilt, reinforces stigma, or suggests that patients are responsible for unsuccessful treatment because of their emotional responses.

Although existing literature supports greater attention to psychosocial care, differences in study populations, assessment methods, intervention approaches, and research quality limit the generalisability of some findings. Further research is needed to evaluate culturally appropriate psychological interventions, identify patients' changing support needs across treatment stages, and establish effective models for integrating mental health services into routine fertility care. Longitudinal studies and well-designed intervention trials may provide stronger evidence regarding the sustained effects of psychosocial support on emotional well-being, relationship adjustment, and treatment experiences.

In conclusion, fertility care should extend beyond scans, medications, procedures, and reproductive outcomes to recognise the emotional experiences and individual needs of those undergoing treatment. Integrating compassionate communication,

psychological counselling, and appropriate social support into reproductive healthcare can promote a more respectful, responsive, and holistic model of care in which emotional well-being is valued alongside physical and reproductive health.

4.6. Implications for Clinical Practice

4.6.1. Routine Assessment of Emotional Well-Being

Emotional well-being should be considered at different stages of the fertility treatment pathway rather than assessed only when patients express severe distress. Initial consultations provide an opportunity to explore emotional concerns, coping resources, expectations, and available social support. These discussions may be revisited during waiting periods, after unsuccessful treatment cycles, and when patients are considering further treatment.

Brief, sensitive questions can encourage patients to discuss their concerns and communicate that emotional well-being is a legitimate component of fertility care. Where significant or persistent distress is identified, more detailed psychological assessment or referral to a qualified mental health professional may be appropriate. Routine emotional check-ins should complement, rather than replace, formal assessment when clinically indicated (Gameiro et al., 2015).

4.6.2. Clear Communication and Informed Decision-Making

Healthcare professionals should provide clear, balanced, and accessible information about investigations, treatment procedures, possible outcomes, financial implications, and available options. Patients should be encouraged to ask questions and discuss uncertainties without feeling rushed or pressured.

Communication should avoid unrealistic reassurance or statements that imply certainty when treatment outcomes remain uncertain. In particular, patients should not be led to believe that controlling stress or maintaining a positive attitude will guarantee conception. Rooney and Domar (2018) emphasised the complexity of the relationship between stress and infertility, supporting careful communication that does not attribute treatment failure to a patient's emotional state.

Clear communication can help patients participate meaningfully in decisions and understand the options available to them. This is particularly important when treatment involves uncertainty, repeated attempts, or decisions about whether to continue, pause, or discontinue care.

4.6.3. Accessibility of Psychological Counselling and Referral Services

Fertility services should establish accessible pathways to psychological counselling and specialist mental health care. Routine support may include empathetic communication, psychoeducation, and opportunities to discuss concerns. Patients who require additional assistance may benefit from structured counselling or specialised psychological assessment.

The American Society for Reproductive Medicine's guidance on qualifications for fertility counsellors highlights the importance of appropriate professional training in reproductive mental health care (Practice Committee & Mental Health Professional Group of the American Society for Reproductive Medicine, 2021). Referral pathways should be clearly communicated to patients and should take account of practical barriers, including affordability, availability of professionals, and the time required to access services.

Psychological counselling should be presented as a supportive component of comprehensive care, not as a guarantee of improved pregnancy outcomes. Dube et al. (2023) reported potential benefits of psychological interventions for psychological outcomes but also identified limitations in the quality of the available evidence. Accordingly, the principal clinical objective should be to support emotional well-being, coping, and informed decision-making.

4.6.4. Sensitive Support Following Unsuccessful Treatment

Unsuccessful treatment cycles may be associated with disappointment, sadness, grief, or uncertainty about future options. Healthcare professionals should communicate outcomes sensitively, acknowledge the possibility of emotional distress, and provide patients with opportunities to discuss their concerns.

Patients should be allowed adequate time to consider their next steps rather than being pressured to make immediate decisions about further treatment. Follow-up consultations or counselling referrals may be helpful when patients request additional support or when significant distress is identified.

The decision to continue, pause, or discontinue treatment should respect individual preferences, emotional readiness, financial circumstances, and personal values. Such decisions should be supported through balanced information and shared decision-making rather than assumptions about a patient's resilience or commitment to parenthood.

4.6.5. Culturally Responsive and Confidential Care

Cultural beliefs, family expectations, gender roles, and social attitudes toward parenthood may influence how individuals experience infertility and seek support. Widge (2005), in a qualitative study of urban Indian women and couples seeking in vitro fertilisation, described the influence of social expectations, stigma, and the emotional and financial demands of treatment. Although the study provides valuable context-specific insights, its findings should not be generalised to all Indian individuals or families.

Fertility professionals should explore patients' circumstances without making assumptions about their cultural or family backgrounds. Some patients may welcome partner or family involvement, whereas others may prefer to maintain privacy. Family education and partner participation should therefore be offered where appropriate and with the patient's consent.

Confidentiality, respect, and non-judgemental communication are particularly important when patients anticipate criticism, intrusive questions, or pressure from others. A culturally responsive approach recognises the importance of family and community while protecting individual autonomy and personal preferences.

4.6.6. Evaluation and Improvement of Psychosocial Care

Fertility services should periodically evaluate whether their psychosocial support arrangements adequately address patients' needs. Relevant areas for evaluation may include access to information, opportunities to discuss emotional concerns, awareness of counselling services, timeliness of referrals, and patients' experiences of communication with healthcare professionals.

Where feasible, patient-reported experience measures and appropriate psychological well-being measures may help services identify unmet needs and improve support pathways. Evaluation should distinguish psychological outcomes, patient experience, and reproductive outcomes, as these represent different dimensions of fertility care.

Further research is needed to determine which approaches are most feasible and effective across different populations and service settings. As Dube et al. (2023) and Gameiro et al. (2015) indicate, although psychosocial support is clinically important, the strength of evidence varies across interventions and recommendations. Services should therefore implement support strategies thoughtfully and evaluate their acceptability and outcomes.

4.6.7. Developing a Person-Centred Model of Fertility Care

Integrating psychosocial support does not necessarily require every fertility service to provide specialist psychotherapy directly. It does, however, require healthcare professionals to recognise emotional needs, communicate compassionately, provide appropriate information, and establish clear referral pathways when further support is needed.

A person-centred model acknowledges that patients may experience hope, fear, disappointment, and uncertainty at the same time. It avoids blaming individuals for treatment outcomes and recognises emotional well-being as an important clinical consideration regardless of whether pregnancy is achieved.

Overall, incorporating psychosocial care into fertility services may help create a more responsive and supportive treatment environment. The aim is to ensure that individuals and couples receive care that addresses not only their reproductive health needs but also their emotional experiences, relationships, preferences, and dignity throughout the fertility journey.

4.7. Limitations

1. This article presents a clinical perspective informed by selected literature rather than an original empirical investigation or a systematic review. Therefore, it does not provide a comprehensive assessment of all available evidence.
2. The literature was not identified through a documented, exhaustive search strategy. Formal study selection, systematic risk-of-bias

assessment, and quantitative synthesis were not undertaken.

3. The available studies differ in research design, assessment instruments, participant characteristics, and treatment settings. These differences limit the comparability of findings and make it difficult to draw uniform conclusions about psychological distress and the effectiveness of psychosocial interventions.
4. Findings from specific populations may not apply to all individuals experiencing infertility. Differences in cultural backgrounds, socioeconomic circumstances, family expectations, and access to fertility services may influence emotional experiences and support needs.
5. Research examining the relationship between partners' coping strategies and emotional adjustment remains limited. Further investigation is needed to understand how partners influence each other's psychological well-being throughout fertility treatment.
6. The recommendations presented in this article are clinically informed suggestions rather than findings from interventions evaluated by the authors. The article does not establish the comparative effectiveness, cost-effectiveness, or feasibility of specific psychosocial support models.

4.8. Future Recommendations

1. Future studies should examine the effectiveness, acceptability, and feasibility of psychological counselling, psychoeducation, couple-based interventions, brief emotional assessments, and referral pathways within routine fertility services.
2. Studies should follow individuals across different stages of fertility care, including diagnosis, treatment initiation, waiting periods, unsuccessful treatment cycles, and decisions about further treatment, to identify changing emotional needs.
3. Research should investigate how previous psychological difficulties, coping strategies, perceived social support, relationship functioning, and financial strain influence emotional adjustment and responses to psychological interventions.
4. Studies in India and other underrepresented settings should examine the influence of infertility-related stigma, gender expectations, family involvement, cultural beliefs, financial constraints, and access to fertility services.
5. Future research should consider individuals from different socioeconomic backgrounds, rural and urban communities, and varied treatment pathways. Where appropriate, both partners should be included to understand differences in emotional experiences and coping strategies.
6. Researchers should employ appropriate, validated measures of infertility-related distress, anxiety, depression, perceived stress, coping, quality of life, relationship functioning, and satisfaction with care.
7. Future studies should assess emotional well-being and reproductive outcomes separately. Improvements in coping, quality of life, communication, and informed decision-making are valuable outcomes in their own right and should not be judged solely by pregnancy rates.
8. Research should explore how fertility clinics can integrate psychological support through staff training, clear referral procedures, collaboration with mental health professionals, and accessible in-person or remote counselling.
9. Future evaluations should examine the costs, resource requirements, and practical barriers associated with psychosocial services to identify sustainable approaches for different healthcare settings.
10. Patient feedback should inform the development and evaluation of psychological support services, particularly regarding confidentiality, cultural sensitivity, stigma, and the need to feel heard and respected during treatment.

REFERENCES

[1] D. Dube, K. Bright, K. A. Hayden, and J. L. Gordon, "Efficacy of psychological interventions for mental health and pregnancy rates among individuals with infertility: A systematic review and meta-analysis," Human Reproduction Update, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 71–94, 2023, doi: 10.1093/humupd/dmac034.

[2] S. Gameiro, J. Boivin, E. Dancet, C. de Klerk, M. Emery, C. Lewis-Jones, P. Thorn, U. Van den Broeck, C. Venetis, C. M. Verhaak, T. Wischmann, and N. Vermeulen, "ESHRE

guideline: Routine psychosocial care in infertility and medically assisted reproduction—A guide for fertility staff," Human Reproduction, vol. 30, no. 11, pp. 2476–2485, 2015, doi: 10.1093/humrep/dev177.

[3] M. Hinkle and J. Dodd, "A systematic review of interventions targeting infertility-related distress: A search for active ingredients," Journal of Sex & Marital Therapy, vol. 48, no. 2, pp. 167–193, 2022, doi: 10.1080/0092623X.2021.1974623.

[4] M. Moutzouri, A. Sarantaki, G. Koulierakis, and K. Gourounti, "Coping strategies associated with emotional adjustment during the dyadic experience of infertility and its treatment: A systematic review," Journal of Mother and Child, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 61–69, 2024, doi: 10.34763/jmotherandchild.20242801.d-24-00013.

[5] L. A. Pasch and K. T. Sullivan, "Stress and coping in couples facing infertility," Current Opinion in Psychology, vol. 13, pp. 131–135, 2017, doi: 10.1016/j.copsyc.2016.07.004.

[6] Practice Committee and Mental Health Professional Group of the American Society for Reproductive Medicine, "Guidance on qualifications for fertility counselors: A committee opinion," Fertility and Sterility, vol. 115, no. 6, pp. 1411–1415, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2021.02.016.

[7] K. L. Rooney and A. D. Domar, "The relationship between stress and infertility," Dialogues in Clinical Neuroscience, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 41–47, 2018, doi: 10.31887/DCNS.2018.20.1/klrooney.

[8] S. A. Tavousi, M. Behjati, A. Milajerdi, and A. H. Mohammadi, "Psychological assessment in infertility: A systematic review and meta-analysis," Frontiers in Psychology, vol. 13, Art. no. 961722, 2022, doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2022.961722.

[9] S. Unisa, "Sequence of fertility treatments among childless couples in Ranga Reddy District, Andhra Pradesh, India," Asia-Pacific Population Journal, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 161–176, 2001, doi: 10.18356/1958b1a4-en.

[10] Widge, "Seeking conception: Experiences of urban Indian women with in vitro fertilisation," Patient Education and Counseling, vol. 59, no. 3, pp. 226–233, 2005, doi: 10.1016/j.pec.2005.07.014.

[11] World Health Organization, "1 in 6 people globally affected by infertility: WHO," Apr. 4, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://www.who.int/news/item/04-04-2023-1-in-6-people-globally-affected-by-infertility

[12] Y. Xie, Y. Ren, C. Niu, Y. Zheng, P. Yu, and L. Li, "The impact of stigma on mental health and quality of life of infertile women: A systematic review," Frontiers in Psychology, vol. 13, Art. no. 1093459, 2023, doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1093459.